



**MEASURE P OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE
(MPOC) REGULAR MEETING AT 6:00
PM**



FEBRUARY 9, 2026

COMMITTEE MEMBERS

Jackie Jones (Chair, At-Large)
Adjoa McDonald (Vice-Chair, Dist. 6)
Loretta Gaddies (Dist. 2)
Regina Briseno (Dist. 3)
Aleta Santos (Dist. 4)
VACANT (Dist. 1)
Michael Bunch (Dist. 5)

HYBRID MEETING
www.Cityofvallejo.net

Council Chambers
555 Santa Clara Street
Vallejo, CA 94590

<p>NOTICE: Members of the Public will be able to participate in-person or remotely via Zoom</p>	<p>City Hall and the Council Chambers will be open to members of the public 30 minutes prior to the start of the meeting.</p>
<p>PUBLIC COMMENT: Members of the Public may provide public comments during the Meeting in person or via ZOOM (https://ZoomRegular.Cityofvallejo.net), or via phone, by dialing (669) 900-6833.</p>	<p>For additional instructions on how to speak remotely during public comment, please visit, www.cityofvallejo.net/publiccomment</p>
<p>VIEW THE MEETING: There are four different ways you can view this public meeting:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In Person • Watch Vallejo local channel 28 • Stream from the City website: www.cityofvallejo.net/Streaming • Join the Zoom webinar: https://ZoomRegular.Cityofvallejo.net 	<p>Scan QR code for live captions and translation in Spanish and Tagalog.</p> 
<p>Hybrid Options are available for members of the public to participate. To participate remotely</p>	
<p><u>Option to Join by Computer</u> From your browser go to https://ZoomRegular.CityofVallejo.net to launch and join the zoom application. Meeting ID: 914 0075 0676# Meeting Password: 131313</p>	<p><u>Option to Join by Phone</u> Dial (669) 900-6833 Enter Meeting ID: 914 0075 0676# Meeting Password: 131313 Press *9 to digitally raise your hand from the phone. Press *6 to unmute/mute</p>
<p>Any supplemental writing related to an agenda item for an open session of a regular meeting that is distributed to all or a majority of all members of the Committee less than 72 hours before the meeting will be posted concurrently on the City’s website at www.cityofvallejo.net/agendas Written material distributed during the meeting, will be available at the meeting if prepared by the City or after the meeting if prepared by someone else. Such materials may be obtained from the Committee Secretary.</p>	
	<p>Vallejo Room is ADA compliant. Devices for the hearing impaired are available from the Board Secretary. Requests for disability related modifications or accommodations, aids or services may be made by a person with a disability to the Board Secretary’s office via email to Ashley.Haik@cityofvallejo.net or via phone at (707) 648-4579 no less than 72 hours prior to the meeting as required by Section 202 of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 and the federal rules and regulations adopted in implementation thereof</p>

AGENDA

1 CALL TO ORDER

2 PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

3 ROLL CALL

4 COMMUNITY FORUM

Anyone wishing to address the Council on any matter for which another opportunity to speak is not provided on the agenda, and which is within the jurisdiction of the Council to resolve, is requested to submit a completed speaker card to the City Clerk. When called upon, each speaker should step to the podium, state his /her name, and address for the record. Each speaker is limited to three minutes pursuant to Vallejo Municipal Code Section 2.20.300.

5 CONSENT CALENDAR AND APPROVAL OF AGENDA

A APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Recommendation: Approval of minutes from the regular meeting of December 8, 2025.

Contact: Florita Cruz, Committee Secretary (707) 648-5542
Forita.Cruz@cityofvallejo.net

6 REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE/BOARD SECRETARY

7 CITY ATTORNEY'S REPORT

8 REPORT OF THE CITY COUNCIL LIAISON

9 REPORT OF THE CHAIRPERSON AND MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE

10 ACTION CALENDAR

NOTICE: Members of the public wishing to address the Council on Action Calendar Items are requested to submit a completed speaker card to the City Clerk. Each speaker is limited to five minutes pursuant to Vallejo Municipal Code Section 2.02.420.

A ADOPT A RESOLUTION RECOMMENDING, OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, NOT RECOMMENDING THAT THE CITY COUNCIL RECEIVE AND FILE THE MEASURE P ANNUAL REPORT FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2025

Recommendation: Adopt a resolution recommending or, in the alternative, not recommending that the City Council receive and file the Measure P Annual Report for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2025.

Contact: Florita Cruz, Assistant Finance Director (707) 648-5542
florita.cruz@cityofvallejo.net

B CONSIDER WHETHER THE MEASURE P OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE SHOULD AGENDIZE A REQUEST BY VICE CHAIR MCDONALD TO

CONSIDER RECOMMENDING TO THE CITY COUNCIL AN RFP FOR NON-PROFIT ORGANIZATIONS TO PROVIDE SERVICES TO THE UNHOUSED POPULATION WITH A TOTAL ALLOCATION OF \$100,000 TO PROVIDE \$10,000 AWARDS TO ELIGIBLE NON-PROFITS THAT HAVE ANNUAL OPERATING BUDGETS OF LESS THAN \$250,000 (STEP 1 OF 2)

Recommendation: Consider whether the Measure P Oversight Committee should agendize a request by Vice Chair McDonald to consider recommending to the City Council an RFP for non-profit organizations to provide services to the unhoused population with a total allocation of \$100,000 to provide \$10,000 awards to eligible non-profits that have annual operating budgets of less than \$250,000 (Step 1 of 2).

Contact: Florita Cruz, Assistant Finance Director (707) 648-5433
Florita.Cruz@cityofvallejo.net

C COMMITTEE DISCUSSION AND RESPONSE ON THE MRG QUESTIONNAIRE

Recommendation: For the Measure P Oversight Committee to discuss and provide responses to the MRG Questionnaire.

Contact: Florita Cruz, Assistant Finance Director (707) 648-5433
Florita.Cruz@cityofvallejo.net

11 INFORMATION CALENDAR

12 FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

13 ADJOURNMENT

ADDITIONAL CITY INFORMATION

Members of the public can:

- Like us on Facebook and Instagram ([@cityofvallejo](#))
- Sign up to receive City Communications via e-mail (www.cityofvallejo.net/subscribe)
- Sign up for emergency alerts at: alertsolan.com

I, Florita Cruz, Committee Secretary, do hereby certify that I have caused a true copy of the above notice and agenda to be delivered to each of the members of the Measure P Oversight Committee,

Jackie Jones (Chair, At-Large)
Adjoa McDonald (Vice-Chair, Dist. 6)
Loretta Gaddies (Dist. 2)
Regina Briseno (Dist. 3)
Aleta Santos (Dist. 4)
VACANT (Dist. 1)
Michael Bunch (Dist. 5),

at the time and in the manner prescribed by law and that this agenda was posted at City Hall, 555 Santa Clara Street, CA at 4:00 PM, February 3, 2026

Dated: February 3, 2026

Florita Cruz

Florita Cruz, Committee Secretary

**MEASURE P OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE (MPOC)
REGULAR MEETING MINUTES
DECEMBER 8, 2025**

**COUNCIL CHAMBERS
555 Santa Clara Street, Vallejo, California**

1 CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order at 6:00 p.m.

2 PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

3 ROLL CALL

Present: Chair Jones, Committee Members Briseno, Bunch, and Santos

Absent: Vice Chair McDonald and Committee Member Gaddies

Staff Present: Interim Public Works Director Alcantar, Acting Assistant Finance Director Bean, Acting Finance Director/Assistant City Manager Conley, Chief Assistant City Attorney Risner, Administrative Manager Martir, and Executive Secretary McCalister

4 COMMUNITY FORUM – None

5 CONSENT CALENDAR AND APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Action: *moved by Committee Member Briseno, seconded by Chair Jones, and carried by Jones, Briseno, and Santos to approve the Agenda and adopt the Consent Calendar with Bunch abstaining (Absent: McDonald & Gaddies)*

A APPROVAL OF AGENDA AND MEETING MINUTES FOR SEPTEMBER 8, 2025

Recommendation: Approve agenda and September 8, 2025, meeting minutes.

Action: *approved minutes.*

6 REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE/BOARD SECRETARY

Commissioner Bunch and Acting Assistant Finance Director Bean were introduced. Commissioner Farrell was honored and recognized as retired.

7 CITY ATTORNEY'S REPORT – None

8 REPORT OF THE CITY COUNCIL LIAISON – None

9 REPORT OF THE CHAIRPERSON AND MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE/BOARD – None

10 ACTION CALENDAR

A ADOPT A RESOLUTION RECOMMENDING OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, NOT RECOMMENDING, THAT THE CITY COUNCIL AUTHORIZE \$1 MILLION OF MEASURE P FUNDING FOR TRAFFIC CALMING MEASURES

Recommendation: Adopt a resolution recommending that the City Council authorize the use of \$1,000,000 of Measure P budget to fund the cost of materials for roundabouts, traffic signs, speed tables and paint needed for the installation of traffic calming measures.

Action: *moved by Chair Jones, seconded by Commissioner Briseno, and carried unanimously by members present to recommend the City Council authorize \$1M of MPOC funding for Traffic Calming measures (Absent: McDonald & Gaddies)*

B ADOPT A RESOLUTION RECOMMENDING OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE NOT RECOMMENDING, THAT THE CITY COUNCIL AUTHORIZE \$50,000 OF MEASURE P FUNDING TO MAINTAIN THE INFRASTRUCTURE OF THE PORTION OF THE BAY TRAIL AND VINE TRAIL THAT ARE WITHIN CITY LIMITS

Recommendation: Adopt a resolution recommending or, in the alternative, not recommending that the City Council authorize \$50,000 of Measure P funding to maintain the infrastructure of the portion of the Bay Trail and Vine Trail that is within city limits.

Action: *moved by Commissioner Briseno, seconded by Chair Jones, and carried unanimously by members present to recommend the City Council authorize \$50k of MPOC funding to maintain the infrastructure of the portion of the Bay Trail and Vine Trail that are within City Limits (Absent: McDonald & Gaddies)*

C ADOPT A RESOLUTION RECOMMENDING OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, NOT RECOMMENDING THAT THE CITY COUNCIL AUTHORIZE \$11 MILLION OF MEASURE P FUNDING FOR THE 2026 ROADWAY PAVING RESTORATION AND RENEWAL PROJECTS

Recommendation: Adopt a resolution recommending or, in the alternative, not recommending that the City Council authorize \$11 million of Measure P funding for the 2026 roadway paving restoration and renewal projects.

Action: *moved by Commissioner Briseno, seconded by Commissioner Bunch, and carried unanimously by members present to recommend the City Council authorize \$11M of MPOC funding for the 2026 Roadway Paving Restoration and Renewal projects (Absent: McDonald & Gaddies)*

D ADOPT A RESOLUTION RECOMMENDING OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, NOT RECOMMENDING THAT THE CITY COUNCIL AUTHORIZE \$500,000 OF MEASURE P FUNDING FOR POTHOLE REPAIRS

Recommendation: Adopt a resolution recommending or, in the alternative, not recommending that the City Council authorize \$500,000 of Measure P funding for pothole repairs

Action: *moved by Chair Jones, seconded by Commissioner Briseno, and carried unanimously by members present to recommend the City Council authorize \$500k of MPOC funding for pothole repairs (Absent: McDonald & Gaddies)*

E ADOPT A RESOLUTION RECOMMENDING OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE NOT RECOMMENDING, THAT THE CITY COUNCIL APPROPRIATE \$500,000 OF MEASURE P FUNDING FOR ONGOING LITTER AND ILLEGAL DUMPING CLEANUP AND PREVENTION EFFORTS

Recommendation: Adopt a resolution recommending or, in the alternative not recommending, that the City Council appropriate \$500,000 of Measure P funding for ongoing litter and illegal dumping cleanup and prevention efforts.

Action: *moved by Chair Jones, seconded by Commissioner Bunch, and carried unanimously by members present to recommend the City Council authorize \$500k of MPOC funding for ongoing litter and illegal dumping cleaning and prevention efforts (Absent: McDonald & Gaddies)*

11 INFORMATION CALENDAR – None

12 FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

Presentation of the Measure P compliance report for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2025.

13 ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 7:12pm

DocuSigned by:

6812E8D0A86543F

JACKIE JONES, CHAIR

ATTEST:

Signed by:

00489A04D3DE4E3

FLORITA CRUZ, SECRETARY

Approved as to form:

By:  for _____
Veronica Nebb, City Attorney

RESOLUTION NO. _____

**RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF VALLEJO MEASURE P OVERSIGHT
COMMITTEE RECOMMENDING THAT THE CITY COUNCIL RECEIVE AND FILE
THE ANNUAL MEASURE P REPORT FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30,
2025**

WHEREAS, Vallejo Municipal Code (VMC) Section 3.09.140 requires the Measure P transaction and use tax (Measure P) be subject to the same independent audit requirements as other General Fund revenues; and

WHEREAS, the VMC also requires the independent auditor's report to include an accounting of the revenues received and expenditures made from the Measure P, and that the report be presented annually to the Citizens' Oversight Committee and the City Council and made available for public review; and

WHEREAS, LSL, LLP, has performed an independent audit of the City, in which the City's General Fund and Capital Projects Funds were opinion units, and issued an unmodified opinion ("clean opinion") on the Annual Comprehensive Financial Report (ACFR); and

WHEREAS, Measure P was included within the scope of the annual audit performed over the General Fund and Capital Project Funds opinion units for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2025, and is reported in aggregate with other General Fund and Capital Project Funds' revenues and expenditures in the ACFR, in accordance with the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) standards; and

WHEREAS, Measure P-specific revenues and expenditures are separately prepared and reported in the Independent Auditor's Annual Measure P Report on Compliance to isolate Measure P activity from other General Fund and Capital Project Fund resources for additional transparency and to comply with the ordinance.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Measure P Oversight Committee hereby recommends that the City Council receive and file the Independent Auditor's Annual Measure P Report on Compliance for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2025.

Adopted by the Measure P Oversight Committee at a regular meeting held on February 9, 2026,
with the following vote:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:

ABSTAIN:

JACKIE JONES, CHAIR

ATTEST:

FLORITA CRUZ, SECRETARY



CITY OF VALLEJO, CALIFORNIA
MEASURE P TRANSACTION AND USE TAX FUND
FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2025

REPORT ON COMPLIANCE

Focused
on YOU



CITY OF VALLEJO, CALIFORNIA
MEASURE P TRANSACTION AND USE TAX FUND

Report on Compliance

For the Year Ended June 30, 2025

CITY OF VALLEJO, CALIFORNIA
MEASURE P TRANSACTION AND USE TAX FUND

Report on Compliance

For the Year Ended June 30, 2025

Table of Contents

	<u>Page Number</u>
Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance with Applicable Requirements and on Internal Control Over Compliance.....	1
Supplemental Schedules:	
Balance Sheet Schedules	3
Statement of Revenues and Expenditures	4
Measure P Transactions	5
Notes to the Supplemental Schedules	7

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE
AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council
City of Vallejo, California

Report on Compliance

Opinion on the Measure P Transaction and Use Tax Fund

We have audited the compliance of the Measure P Transaction and Use Tax Fund of the City of Vallejo, California (the "City")'s compliance with the requirements described in Ordinance No. 1866 N.C (2d) (the "Ordinance"), and the Council expenditure direction approved with Resolutions No. 22-222 N.C. (the "Resolution"), for the year ended June 30, 2025.

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the type of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the requirements referred to above applicable to the City, for the year ended June 30, 2025.

Basis for Opinion

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the requirements of the Ordinance and Resolution. Our responsibilities under those standards, and the Ordinance and Resolutions are further described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section of our report. We are required to be independent of the City and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with the relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion on compliance with the Ordinance and allowability per the Resolution. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above.

Emphasis of Matter

We draw attention to the fact that the General Fund Subfund - #005 Measure P Transaction Use Tax, and Capital Outlay Fund #201 and Measure P Capital Fund #228, and Fleet Maintenance Replacement Fund Subfund - #502 Equipment Replacement (collectively the "Capital Projects Funds") were not subjected to a separate financial statement audit at the fund level. Instead, the financial activities and balances of the Measure P Fund and the Capital Projects Funds were included within the scope of the financial statement audit performed over the City's General Fund, Capital Outlay Fund #201 and Measure P Capital Fund #228 and the Fleet Maintenance Replacement Fund opinion units, respectively, for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2025. Refer to the Supplementary Information section below.

Responsibilities of Management for Compliance

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements referred to above and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, statutes, regulations, rules and provisions of contracts or grant agreements applicable to the City's government programs.



To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council
City of Vallejo, California

Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether material noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above occurred, whether due to fraud or error, and express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, *Government Auditing Standards* will always detect a material noncompliance when it exists. The risk of not detecting a material noncompliance resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above is considered material if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, they would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user of the report on compliance about the City's compliance with the Ordinance and allowability per the Resolution. In performing an audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and *Government Auditing Standards*, the Ordinance and Resolution, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material noncompliance, whether due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the City's compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.
- Obtain an understanding of the City's internal control over compliance relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Ordinance and allowability per the Resolution, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and any significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in internal control over compliance that we identified during the audit.

Supplementary Information

We conducted an audit over the City of Vallejo for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements. The accompanying schedules, as listed in the table of contents (supplementary information), are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the City's basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of the City's management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the City's basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied during our audit of the City's basic financial statements and performance of certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

Report on Internal Control over Compliance

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. *A material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. *A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a



To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council
City of Vallejo, California

combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section above and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance may exist that were not identified.

Our audit was not designed for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed. The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Ordinance and allowability per the Resolution. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

LSL, LLP

Irvine, California
January 29, 2026

CITY OF VALLEJO, CALIFORNIA
General Fund Subfund - #005 Measure P Transaction Use Tax, and Capital Project Funds
Balance Sheet Schedules
June 30, 2025

	General Fund Subfund - #005 Measure P Transaction Use Tax	Capital Project Funds	Total
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
ASSETS:			
Pooled cash and investments	\$ 12,793,243	\$ 15,779,800	\$ 28,573,043
Taxes receivable	2,964,875	-	2,964,875
Notes and loans receivable	2,900,282	-	2,900,282
Total Assets	<u>\$ 18,658,400</u>	<u>\$ 15,779,800</u>	<u>\$ 34,438,200</u>
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE:			
Liabilities:			
Accounts payable	\$ 154,175	\$ 247,340	\$ 401,515
Total Liabilities	<u>154,175</u>	<u>247,340</u>	<u>401,515</u>
DEFERRED INFLOW OF RESOURCES			
Unavailable revenues	20,617	-	20,617
Total Deferred Inflows of Resources	<u>20,617</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>20,617</u>
	<u>174,792</u>	<u>247,340</u>	<u>422,132</u>
FUND BALANCE:			
Nonspendable - loan principal	2,879,665	-	2,879,665
Committed for Measure P projects	2,082,623	15,532,460	17,615,083
Assigned for Measure P	13,521,320	-	13,521,320
Total Fund Balance	\$ 18,483,608	\$ 15,532,460	\$ 34,016,068
Total Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources and Fund Balance	<u>\$ 18,658,400</u>	<u>\$ 15,779,800</u>	<u>\$ 34,438,200</u>

CITY OF VALLEJO, CALIFORNIA
General Fund Subfund - #005 Measure P Transaction Use Tax, and Capital Project Funds
Statement of Revenues and Expenditures
June 30, 2025

	General Fund Subfund - #005 Measure P Transaction Use Tax	Capital Project Funds	Total
REVENUES:			
Local sales and use tax	\$ 17,537,793	\$ -	\$ 17,537,793
Use of money and property	1,512,940	-	1,512,940
Total Revenues	<u>19,050,733</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>19,050,733</u>
EXPENDITURES:			
Fire services	210,800	-	210,800
Public Works	86,578	-	86,578
Capital outlay	-	6,183,388	6,183,388
Nondepartmental	125,000	-	125,000
Total Expenditures	<u>422,378</u>	<u>6,183,388</u>	<u>6,605,766</u>
EXCESS (DEFICIENCY) OF REVENUES OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES	<u>18,628,355</u>	<u>(6,183,388)</u>	<u>12,444,967</u>
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES):			
Transfers In	-	20,170,000	20,170,000
Transfers Out	(15,170,000)	(5,000,000)	(20,170,000)
Total Other Financing Sources (Uses)	<u>(15,170,000)</u>	<u>15,170,000</u>	<u>-</u>
Net Change in Fund Balance	3,458,355	8,986,612	12,444,967
FUND BALANCE - BEGINNING	15,025,253	6,545,848	21,571,101
FUND BALANCE - ENDING	<u>\$ 18,483,608</u>	<u>\$ 15,532,460</u>	<u>\$ 34,016,068</u>

CITY OF VALLEJO, CALIFORNIA
 General Fund Subfund - #005 Measure P Transaction Use Tax, and Capital Project Funds
 Supplemental Schedule of Measure P Transactions
 June 30, 2025

This schedule is presented for transparency and public information purposes only. It is not part of the City's Annual Comprehensive Financial Report (ACFR) prepared in accordance with GASB standards.

	Cumulative Amount	Actual Spending		Remaining Balance	Fund #
		FY 23-24	FY 24-25		
Actual Revenues					
FY 2022-2023 actual revenues	\$ 4,251,505				
FY 2023-2024 actual revenues	17,771,948				
FY 2024-2025 actual revenues	17,537,793				
Investment Income	1,512,939				
Total Revenues	41,074,185				#005
Appropriations					
No. Council Measure P Category					
1 Maintain emergency medical response	730,000	-	140,800	589,200	
Defibrillator Replacements for Fire Department	500,000	-	-	500,000	#005
Protective Equipment for Fire Department	85,000	-	85,000	-	#005
Thermal Imaging Camera for Fire Department	70,000	-	55,800	14,200	#005
Standards of Cover	75,000	-	-	75,000	#005
2 Maintain crime prevention	2,798,200	452,351	1,550,223	795,626	
Security Services	500,000	-	-	500,000	#005
Police Department Headquarters Project - Phase B (PW9818)	1,998,200	452,351	1,524,940	20,909	#201
Police Department Modular Dispatch Center (PDRAD)	300,000	-	25,283	274,717	#228
3 Address homelessness	4,479,665	-	3,979,665	500,000	
Extreme Weather Centers	500,000	-	-	500,000	#005
Navigation Center (Project PW9433)	1,100,000	-	1,100,000	-	#228
Loan Agreement for Broadway Project (HKEY22)	2,879,665	-	2,879,665	-	#005
4 Address blight	650,000	-	218,451	431,549	
Graffiti Removal Equipment	150,000	-	90,315	59,685	#502
Streetlight repair/expansion (PWC114)	500,000	-	128,136	371,864	#228
5 Address dumping	500,000	-	86,578	413,422	
Dumping Prevention	500,000	-	86,578	413,422	#005
6 Repair deteriorating neighborhood streets, roads and sidewalks	18,120,000	-	3,314,714	14,805,286	
Residential Street Resurfacing (Slurry seal) Project (PW9745)	2,000,000	-	1,998,975	1,025	#228
American with Disabilities Act (ADA) curb ramp compliance construction (PW9705)	500,000	-	-	500,000	#228
Comprehensive pavement construction design & project management services (PWC109)	1,000,000	-	-	1,000,000	#228
Potholes and traffic stripping (PWC109)	1,500,000	-	787,468	712,532	#228
Mare Island Causeway Bridge	3,000,000	-	-	3,000,000	#228
Intersection Improvement Project	100,000	-	-	100,000	#228
Bay Trail/Vine Trail maintenance and repair	20,000	-	200	19,800	#228
Roadway paving restoration and renewal project	10,000,000	-	528,071	9,471,929	#228
7 Youth priorities	275,000	-	195,000	80,000	
Council Support and Outreach	80,000	-	-	80,000	#005
Community Grants (for 2 year period)	125,000	-	125,000	-	#005
Firefighter Academy	70,000	-	70,000	-	#005
Total Expenditures	27,552,865	452,351	9,485,431	17,615,083	
Net Operating Results, reported as Assigned Fund balance	\$ 13,521,320				
Unspent, reported as Committed Fund balance in Balance Sheet				\$ 17,615,083	
Reported as Expenditures in Statement of revenues, expenditures			\$ 6,605,766		
Reported as Loans Receivable/ Nonspendable balance in Balance sheet			2,879,665		
Total			\$ 9,485,431		

**CITY OF VALLEJO, CALIFORNIA
GENERAL FUND SUBFUND - #005 MEASURE P TRANSACTION USE TAX, AND CAPITAL PROJECT FUNDS
Notes to the Financial Schedules
For the Year Ended June 30, 2025**

I. SUMMARY

In July 2022, the City Council approved Ordinance No. 1866 N.C.(2d) amending the City Municipal Code imposing an additional 0.875% to the transaction and use tax subject to approval by majority of the voters on the November 2022 election. The amendment also includes new sections for the Independent annual audit and creation of a Citizen's Oversight Committee. The Citizens' Oversight Committee shall annually review and report on (a) the City's proposed and actual expenditure of proceeds generated by the additional 0.875% transaction and use tax and (b) the required independent annual audit. The Committee's report shall be submitted to the City Council for review and discussion at a public meeting of the City Council and shall be available to the public.

In November 2022, the voters of the City of Vallejo passed Measure P, a ballot measure adding 0.875% to the current transaction and use tax effective April 1, 2023.

Following approval of the ballot measure, the City Council approved Resolution No. 22-222 N.C. directing staff to prioritize the following uses of Measure P revenues as follows:

1. Maintain critical city services such as keeping public spaces healthy, safe and clean
2. Maintain fire protection
3. Maintain emergency medical response
4. Maintain crime prevention
5. Address homelessness
6. Address blight
7. Address dumping
8. Repair deteriorating neighborhood streets, roads and sidewalks; and
9. Youth priorities

II. COLLECTION, MANAGEMENT AND EXPENDITURES

Measure P is a transaction and use tax which is implemented in the same manner as a sales tax. The California Department of Tax and Fee Administration manages the collection and distribution of the tax-generated revenue and distributes funds to the City monthly. The funds go into the City's Measure Transaction and Use Fund (#005), Fund, a sub-fund of the City's General Fund, to clearly identify this additional revenue and allows separate tracking of Measure P dollars from collection to disbursement, enabling the public to easily see how and where these funds are applied.

The City additionally tracks its multi-year Measure P project appropriations under Capital Outlay Fund #201, Measure P Capital Fund #228, and Fleet Maintenance Replacement Fund -Subfund #502 Equipment Replacement. Collectively, these funds are referred to as the "Capital Project Funds", providing a consolidated framework for managing and monitoring the cumulative spending of the City's Measure P multi-year capital projects that span multiple fiscal years.

III. COMPLIANCE

The Vallejo Municipal Code (VMC) Section 3.09.140 states that the proceeds resulting from the transactions and use tax are deposited into the City's General Fund and are subject to the same independent annual audit requirements as other General Fund revenues. The independent auditor's report includes an accounting of the revenues received and expenditures made from the transactions and use tax and is presented annually to the Citizens' Oversight Committee and the City Council and made available for public review.

The City's Auditors performed a financial audit over the City in which the City's General Fund and Capital Projects were opinion units. The financial audit was designed to provide an opinion on the City's General Fund and Capital Project Funds as a whole. Measure P funds and transactions were evaluated using City's General Fund and Capital Project Funds' materiality thresholds and were not subject to the level of scrutiny or focus that would have been applied had Measure P been audited as a stand-alone opinion unit with its own materiality.

**CITY OF VALLEJO, CALIFORNIA
GENERAL FUND SUBFUND - #005 MEASURE P TRANSACTION USE TAX, AND CAPITAL PROJECT FUNDS
Notes to the Financial Schedules
For the Year Ended June 30, 2025**

The city ensures transparency and accountability for Measure P tax revenues through the following oversight and reporting framework for Fiscal Year 2024-25, consistent with applicable Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) standards:

Overall Measure P revenues and related expenditures are tracked in Fund #005 within the General Fund to facilitate detailed internal monitoring. However, for external reporting, the presentation of these amounts is governed by GASB standards, which limit the level of detail that may be displayed in the basic financial statements. As a result, in the Annual Comprehensive Financial Report (ACFR), Measure P revenues are reported in aggregate with other General Fund tax revenues, and Measure P expenditures are combined with other General Fund expenditures by function and department.

Because Measure P activities are accounted within the General Fund and multi-year Measure P project expenditures are additionally tracked within the Capital Project Funds, the City prepares an Annual Report on Compliance to provide a detailed, itemized accounting of Measure P-specific revenues and expenditures. This report isolates Measure P activity from other General Fund and Capital Project Fund resources and is prepared in accordance with VMC Section 3.09.140.

RESOLUTION NO. 25-20

**A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF VALLEJO MEASURE P OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE
RECOMMENDING CHANGES TO THE MEASURE P ANNUAL AUDIT PRACTICES TO
INCREASE TRANSPARENCY, ACCOUNTABILITY, AND INDEPENDENCE**

WHEREAS, the Measure P Oversight Committee is charged with reviewing and monitoring the use of Measure P funds to ensure they are spent in accordance with the voter-approved purposes; and

WHEREAS, the Measure P Oversight Committee seeks to strengthen audit practices to enhance public trust and ensure rigorous financial oversight; and

WHEREAS, the Committee finds that it is in the best interest of the citizens of Vallejo that the Measure P audit process includes expanded procedures to ensure independence, transparency, and comprehensive evaluation;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Measure P Oversight Committee hereby recommends to the City Council the following enhancements to the Measure P annual audit process:

1. That the audit scope be presented to the Measure P Oversight Committee for approval during a regular meeting prior to the commencement of the audit engagement;
2. That the audit firm engaged to perform the Measure P audit be rotated no less frequently than every five (5) years, with the first rotation to occur with the fiscal year 2024-2025 audit;
3. That the audit firm conducting the Measure P annual audit be an independent firm, distinct from the firm engaged to conduct audits for other City of Vallejo activities during the same fiscal year;
4. That the audit engagement include more sufficient evidence gathering practices, emphasizing the use of external evidence not solely provided by City management;
5. That the audit be conducted only after the balance sheet date to ensure complete and accurate reporting of financial position and activities;
6. That any loans issued from Measure P funds be evaluated for impairment as part of the audit scope, with any amount determined to be uncollectible reported directly to the Measure P Oversight Committee;

7. That the completed audit report be formally presented to the Measure P Oversight Committee during a regular meeting open to the public for review and discussion.
8. That this resolution, and any subsequent amendments thereto, shall be presented by a member of the Measure P Oversight Committee directly to the City Council during a regular meeting.


Adopted by the Measure P Oversight Committee at a regular meeting held on May 6, 2025, with the following vote:

AYES: Chair Salmeron, Vice-Chair Tibet, Commissioners, Briseno, Farrell and Jones.

NOES:

ABSENT: Gaddies


ABSTAIN:

DocuSigned by:

E8AD779798324EC...

JASMINE SALERON, CHAIR

(Chair Tibet will sign)

ATTEST:

DocuSigned by:

2C136D9BC07A462...

REKHA NAYAR, SECRETARY



DATE: February 9, 2026
TO: Measure P Oversight Committee Chair and members of the Committee
FROM: Florita Cruz, Assistant Finance Director
SUBJECT: **CONSIDER WHETHER THE MEASURE P OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE SHOULD AGENDIZE A REQUEST BY VICE CHAIR MCDONALD TO CONSIDER RECOMMENDING TO THE CITY COUNCIL AN RFP FOR NON-PROFIT ORGANIZATIONS TO PROVIDE SERVICES TO THE UNHOUSED POPULATION WITH A TOTAL ALLOCATION OF \$100,000 TO PROVIDE \$10,000 AWARDS TO ELIGIBLE NON-PROFITS THAT HAVE ANNUAL OPERATING BUDGETS OF LESS THAN \$250,000 (STEP 1 OF 2)**

RECOMMENDATION

Consider whether the Measure P Oversight Committee should agendize a request by Vice Chair McDonald to consider recommending to the City Council an RFP for non-profit organizations to provide services to the unhoused population with a total allocation of \$100,000 to provide \$10,000 awards to eligible non-profits that have annual operating budgets of less than \$250,000 (Step 1 of 2).

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION

Assisting the unhoused population is a key goal of the Measure P tax measure. Staff are requesting, on behalf of Vice Chair McDonald, the Measure P Oversight Committee's support for an allocation of \$100,000 in Measure P funding to offer to eligible nonprofits through the process of an Request for Proposals (RFP). This investment continues the City's commitment to the unhoused population of Vallejo.

RFP Recommendation

The recommendation is for City Staff to release a RFP focused on offering \$10,000 awards to nonprofits that:

- Provide housing, hygiene (wash), food, or navigation services to unhoused individuals and families,
- Have at least one year of experience working with unhoused populations, and
- Operate with an annual budget under \$250,000.

The intent would be to fund all eligible applicants who meet the criteria, with a total allocation not to exceed \$100,000.

Current Request

If the Measure P Oversight Committee finds this RFP request agreeable, it is requested that they agendize it for the March 9th, 2026 MPOC meeting to discuss and provide further direction and guidance on the potential RFP.

FISCAL IMPACT

Subject: CONSIDER WHETHER THE MEASURE P OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE SHOULD AGENDIZE A REQUEST BY VICE CHAIR MCDONALD TO CONSIDER RECOMMENDING TO THE CITY COUNCIL AN RFP FOR NON-PROFIT ORGANIZATIONS TO PROVIDE SERVICES TO THE UNHOUSED POPULATION WITH A TOTAL ALLOCATION OF \$100,000 TO PROVIDE \$10,000 AWARDS TO ELIGIBLE NON-PROFITS THAT HAVE ANNUAL OPERATING BUDGETS OF LESS THAN \$250,000 (STEP 1 OF 2)

There is no fiscal impact associated with this item. This recommendation for \$100,000 is for an RFP being planned for Fiscal Year 2026. If the request moves forward and is approved by Vallejo City Council there would be up to a \$100,000 impact on the Measure P Funds.

ATTACHMENTS

None

CONTACT

Florita Cruz, Assistant Finance Director (707) 648-5433

Florita.Cruz@cityofvallejo.net



DATE: February 9, 2026
TO: Measure P Oversight Committee Chair and members of the Committee
FROM: Florita Cruz, Assistant Finance Director
SUBJECT: COMMITTEE DISCUSSION AND RESPONSE ON THE MRG QUESTIONNAIRE

RECOMMENDATION

For the Measure P Oversight Committee to discuss and provide responses to the MRG Questionnaire.

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION

City Council asked for a review of all the Boards, Commissions, and Committees (BCCs) run by the City of Vallejo. The City hired MRG, LLC consultants to conduct a thorough assessment of the current BCCs along with associated practices, policies, and procedures, and to develop recommendations based on a combination of interviews, surveys, document review, and benchmarking. The desired outcome is to identify opportunities for consolidation and recommend best practices to maximize effective community service while ensuring efficient use of City Council and staff time.

The Measure P Oversight Committee does not have any consolidation recommendations by MRG; however, there are a total of 7 questions that every BCC must answer to accompany the report. The collected data will be presented to City Council on March 3rd.

FISCAL IMPACT

There is no fiscal impact associated with this item.

ATTACHMENTS

1.	Vallejo Boards, Commissions, and Committees Assessment Report
2.	BCC Questions

CONTACT

Florita Cruz, Assistant Finance Director (707) 648-5433
Florita.Cruz@cityofvallejo.net



City of Vallejo

Boards, Commissions, and Committees Assessment Report

March 25, 2024

Revised August 25, 2025

Prepared by
Ashwini Kantak
MRG, LLC
March 25, 2024
Revised August 25, 2025



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
II. BACKGROUND AND OVERVIEW	3
III. ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY	5
IV. KEY TAKEAWAYS FROM INTERVIEWS AND SURVEY	5
V. POLICIES AND PROCEDURES REVIEW	8
VI. BENCHMARKING	9
VII. RECOMMENDATIONS.....	9
VIII. IMPLEMENTATION	16
IX. APPENDICES	17



I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The City of Vallejo (City) is located in Northern California and is one of the most diverse cities in California, with a population of over 123,500 residents. Vallejo is a charter city with a Council-Manager form of government in which the City Manager makes program, policy, and budget priorities recommendations and the City Council develops legislation and adopts policies. The City charter provides that the City Council may create Boards, Commissions, and Committees (BCCs) which could serve as advisory, policy, appellate and/or rule making bodies.

BCCs serve a valuable role as conduit between the City and the community providing expertise, oversight, and opportunities for community engagement and participation. They can also prepare members to take on additional leadership roles in the community. The City currently has nineteen (19) active Council appointed Boards, Commissions, and Committees (BCCs). The City Clerk administers the appointment process for BCCs and the City Council interviews and makes appointments to the BCCs. Each BCC is supported by an assigned staff secretary from the relevant department and a staff person from the City Attorney's office.

Recruiting for and supporting the BCCs requires a significant amount of time commitment from the Council and staff. Additionally, the City has been having challenges retaining and attracting Commissioners. The City has hired MRG, LLC consultants, to conduct a thorough assessment of current BCCs along with associated practices, policies, and procedures, and to develop recommendations based on a combination of interviews, surveys, document review, and benchmarking. The desired outcome is to identify opportunities for consolidation and recommend best practices to maximize effective community service while ensuring efficient use of City Council and staff time.

MRG solicited input on several aspects of BCCs through Mayor, Councilmember, and executive staff interviews, and a staff survey. MRG also reviewed existing policies and procedures for BCCs in Vallejo and six other cities across three counties: El Cerrito, Fairfield, Milpitas, Palo Alto, Richmond, and Sunnyvale.

Based on the interviews, surveys, document review, and practices from other cities, MRG has developed recommendations across six key areas: recruitment process, membership and terms, potential changes to the number and roles of BCCs, workplans and budgets, staff functions and time commitment, training and resources, as well as a miscellaneous category covering various items.

In order to ensure a smooth implementation, MRG recommends conducting a Council Study Session to discuss the purpose and role of each BCC as an immediate next step. This study session could also serve as a public forum to solicit input from the community. MRG recommends implementing changes in two phases with the first phase focused on developing resources and training to enable staff and Commissioners to be more effective and making process improvements. The second phase should be focused on implementing changes to the



BCCs that could include refining the purpose and roles of BCCs or potential consolidation and/or elimination of BCCs over the next 12 to 18 months.

Based on the findings thus far, MRG is recommending reducing the number of BCCs from 19 to 14. These recommendations could be further informed by community input and Council discussion at the Study Session. Any material changes to the BCCs should ideally be implemented in a manner that allows time for Commissioners on BCCs slated to be either consolidated or eliminated, to transition to another BCC where possible or to serve for up to a 12-month period of their current BCC term.



Annual Commissioner Recognition Event

II. BACKGROUND AND OVERVIEW

The City of Vallejo is located in Northern California and is one of the most diverse cities in California, with a population of over 123,500 residents. The City’s charter provides for a Council-Manager form of government in which the City Manager makes program, policy, and budget priorities recommendations and the City Council develops legislation and adopts policies.

The City of Vallejo’s charter also provides that the City Council may create, by ordinance, boards and commissions. These boards and commissions may serve as advisory, policy, appellate and/or rule making. The enabling ordinance for each board and commission is codified in the Vallejo Municipal Code, Title 2. The City Council may also, by resolution, establish advisory committees to advise the Council on various issues. Advisory bodies serve a valuable role as conduit between the City and the community. They can also prepare members to take on additional leadership roles in the community. For the purpose of this report, Boards, Commissions, and Committees will collectively be referenced as BCCs.

Active Boards, Commissions, and Committees

The City currently has nineteen Council appointed BCCs. Of the nineteen BCCs, fourteen BCCs have 7 members, four have 5 members, and one has 11 members. Two BCCs have two and three alternates, adding up to a total of 134 Commissioner seats. The City Clerk administers the appointment process for all BCCs, including advertising vacancies and receiving applications, which are forwarded to the City Council for their consideration. The City Council interviews and makes appointments to the BCCs.

The nineteen active BCCs are listed below. See **Appendix A** for detailed information on each of the BCCs.

- | | |
|---|---|
| • Architectural Heritage and Landmarks Commission | • Marina Advisory Committee |
| • Building Standards Code Appeal Board | • McCune Collection Commission |
| • Beautification Commission | • Measure P Oversight Committee |
| • Civil Service Commission | • Participatory Budgeting Steering Committee |
| • Code Enforcement Appeals Board | • Police Oversight and Accountability Commission |
| • Commission on Culture and Arts | • Planning Commission |
| • Design Review Board | • Sister City Commission |
| • Economic Vitality Commission | • Surveillance Advisory Board |
| • Housing and Community Development Commission | • Vallejo Tourism Business Improvement Advisory Board |
| • Human Relations Commission | |



Of the nineteen BCCs, five BCCs are quasi-judicial: Architectural Heritage and Landmarks Commission, Building Standards Code Appeal Board, Civil Service Commission, Code Enforcement Appeals Board, and the Planning Commission; all other BCCs act as advisory bodies on specific topic areas. Quasi-judicial bodies render decisions that are binding whereas the role of advisory bodies is to advise and make recommendations that the City Council may consider. Each BCC is supported by an assigned staff secretary from the relevant department and a staff person from the City Attorney's office.

Prior Work Related to Boards, Commissions, and Committees

In March 2015, the City Council created an Ad-Hoc Committee on Commissions (AHCC), comprised of then Councilmembers McConnell, Miessner, and Sampayan and was supported by the Assistant City Manager and City Clerk. The AHCC met from June – December 2015.

On January 12 and April 26, 2016, the City Council discussed the AHCC report and recommendations. Based on the AHCC recommendations, the City Council eliminated three advisory bodies, re-established two advisory bodies, changed the name of one advisory body, and broadened membership of another advisory body. The Council did not take action on other recommendations including the elimination or consolidation of four advisory bodies. See **Appendix B** for a summary of AHCC's recommendations and a link to the full report. Since 2016, six (6) additional BCCs have been established.

Project Background and Scope

Based on our benchmark analysis, the number of active BCCs appears to be relatively high compared to other cities. Recruiting Commissioners and supporting the BCCs requires a significant amount of Council and staff time including posting vacancies, reviewing applications, and conducting Commissioner interviews as well as developing agendas, minutes, and following up on Commissioner requests. The recruiting efforts are further exacerbated by an ongoing high number of resignations, and it has been challenging for the City to attract qualified applicants. Additionally, over time, the original purpose of some of the advisory BCCs has evolved and led to inadvertent scope overlap between the BCCs. Although BCCs play a very important role in community engagement and provide future community leadership opportunities, the City wants to ensure that BCCs are being used in an effective and efficient manner.

The City has hired MRG, LLC consultants (MRG) to conduct a thorough assessment of current BCCs along with associated practices, policies, and procedures, and the development of recommendations based on a combination of interviews, surveys, document review, and benchmarking. For the purposes of this assessment, only BCCs comprised of community members were included, Council subcommittees or committees comprised of staff were not part of the assessment scope.



The desired outcome is to identify opportunities for consolidation and recommend best practices to maximize effective community service while ensuring efficient use of City Council and staff time.

III. ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY

Ashwini Katak of MRG, LLC, solicited input on several aspects of BCCs through two (2) individual interviews, five (5) group interviews, and a staff survey. See **Appendix C** for interview and survey questions.

The Mayor, Vice Mayor, five (5) Councilmembers, the City Manager, Assistant City Manager, Assistant to the City Manager, City Clerk, City Attorney, and the Senior Leadership Team members were interviewed in January and February 2024. A survey was sent to the secretaries of the BCCs on February 9, 2024, and with additional subsequent reminders sent to all survey recipients. The survey was closed on February 16, 2024, and had a 66% response.

Interviews were conducted with the Mayor and members of the Council in groups of 2 or 3 between February 19-23, 2024. No Commissioners nor members of the community were interviewed or surveyed.

The existing City policies and procedures for BCCs were reviewed. A similar effort was undertaken for six other cities across three counties – El Cerrito, Fairfield, Milpitas, Palo Alto, Richmond, and Sunnyvale.

IV. KEY TAKEAWAYS FROM INTERVIEWS AND SURVEY

The takeaways below were compiled based on input provided to MRG during the interviews and through the BCC staff secretary survey. The Mayor and Councilmembers provided robust feedback during the interviews about current challenges and potential opportunities for improvement. Almost all the current members of the City Council have previously served on an advisory body and provided insight both as former Commissioners and current members of the Council. They recognize the important role of the advisory bodies and would like to see improvements that maximize the effectiveness of the BCCs, honor the roles of the Commissioners, and use Council and staff time efficiently.

The interviews and survey responses from staff provided valuable insight into the functioning of the BCCs, the level of staff support needed, and suggestions for improvements in several areas.

Recruitment Process

Mayor and Council: The City Council spends a considerable amount of time interviewing candidates for the BCCs. With the current high rate of turnover on the BCCs, ongoing recruitments and interviews are not an efficient use of Council and staff time. The Mayor and



City Council offered suggestions to improve the recruitment process including keeping lists active for a period of time, ensuring that applicants understand the role and commitment required from a Commissioner, and leveraging the City Council’s social media channels to advertise vacancies by providing them with materials in jpeg and pdf formats.

Staff: As noted earlier in the report, the City Clerk’s office posts vacancies, accepts and reviews applications, and provides information to the City Council for interviews and appointments of Commissioners. Currently, recruitments occur throughout the year and require a significant amount of staff resources to ensure positions are filled in a timely manner. Staff suggestions included conducting recruitments twice a year to make the process more efficient and reducing the number of Commissioners in each BCC to address the issue of a lack of interested candidates.

Membership and Terms

Mayor and Council: Some members of the Council suggested including alternate Commissioner seats for some advisory BCCs to ensure the BCC is able to meet quorum despite vacancies and absences. Another area of suggested change was to disallow Commissioners from applying for another BCC until they have completed their term on the BCC they were currently serving on.

Staff: Given current challenges in recruiting, some staff commented that adding alternate Commissioner seats on BCCs will not add much value. Some staff suggested shortening the terms of service to encourage more applicants.

Potential Changes to Number and Roles of BCCs

Mayor and Council: The Mayor and Councilmembers were generally supportive of some BCC consolidation or elimination and acknowledged that any changes would need to be planned and implemented in a thoughtful manner. Members of the Council suggested various criteria for evaluating the BCCs for changes, including role of the BCC (advisory versus quasi-judicial), purpose of the BCC and scope overlap with other BCCs, and number of meeting cancellations due to a lack of quorum. BCCs suggested for evaluation and potential changes included: Beautification Commission, Design Review Board, Human Relations Commission, McCune Collection Commission, Marina Advisory Committee, Participatory Budgeting Steering Committee, and Sister City Commission.

Staff: Feedback received through the interviews and survey indicates overlap between some of the BCCs as well as a lack of clarity of purpose for some BCCs. This offers opportunities for both, further refinement of the purpose and duties of BCCs as well as potential consolidation of BCCs with overlapping scope.



There was strong staff support for decreasing number of BCC's, and only one suggestion to add a BCC related to homelessness. Staff suggested the following for potential changes to BCCs

- Incorporate Design Review Board into Planning Commission
- Explore consolidating Surveillance Advisory Board with Police Oversight and Accountability Commission; (subsequent staff feedback indicates that this may not be advisable, given the differences between the two bodies).
- Incorporate Commission on Culture and Arts and Marina Advisory Commission into the Economic Vitality Commission (subsequently renamed to Economic Development Commission)
- Eliminate McCune Collection Commission; eliminate Sister City Commission, appoint a Council liaison to the Sister City Association
- Evaluate scope and meeting frequency of the Human Relations Commission; evaluate scope of Beautification Commission; evaluate the purpose of the Vallejo Tourism Business Improvement Board

Workplans and Budget

Mayor and Council: The Mayor and Council expressed unanimous support for requiring a workplan for each BCC. It was suggested that either the Council liaison or the Commission Chair take the lead on developing the workplan for each BCC. They also expressed a desire to have the Commissioners present the workplan to the City Council on an annual basis and were open to the idea of establishing a budget for each BCC, based on their workplans.

Staff: There was mixed support from staff regarding mandatory workplans for each BCC, this was related to concerns that mandatory workplans could potentially increase staff workload. However, staff acknowledged that if budgets were to be provided to the BCCs, workplans would have to be mandated.

Staff Functions and Time Commitment

Staff: The primary functions performed by the BCC secretaries are preparing agendas and reviewing them with the City Attorney and BCC Chair, publishing agendas, attending BCC meetings, preparing meeting minutes and bookmarking the agenda video, and following up on Commissioner inquiries, including doing research and preparing responses. The staff secretary role is filled by a wide variety of job classifications.

Survey respondents typically spend between 8 to 10 hours performing a variety of functions to support BCCs, however, depending on the type of BCC, the time spent can be upwards of 20 hours. Some staff support multiple BCCs, thus the cumulative time spent on supporting BCCs could be as high as 30-40 hours a month.



Training and Resources

Commissioners are provided with the code of ethics and code of conduct and have to acknowledge receipt of both documents. The BCCs use Rosenberg’s Rules of Order, the City Clerk and City Attorney are currently developing related training for Commissioners. Training is provided to the staff secretaries, however, there are no standard templates for agendas and minutes available for use¹. There is also no comprehensive reference guide for BCC members and staff such as a BCC Handbook.

Staff: The majority of staff acknowledged that the training currently provided is inadequate; this was especially a key challenge for staff who are new in their role supporting a BCC. Staff expressed the need for a centralized repository of information and resources related to BCCs, potentially with the dedicated web page. Staff also requested standard templates for agendas and minutes.

Miscellaneous

Mayor and Council: In addition to the areas noted above, it was noted that Councilmembers need to serve on various regional boards and committees and time commitment related to these regional bodies should be taken into account while evaluating the number of City BCCs, which also require their time as Council liaisons. There was a suggestion that stipends be considered for Commissioners that serve on BCCs that require a significant time commitment. Currently, stipends are only provided for Commissioners serving on three quasi-judicial BCCs.

Staff: Several staff provided input that the purpose of certain BCCs was not clear. One of the challenges encountered was the inability to schedule meetings because of inadequate meeting space. Some staff supported Commissioners taking on administrative tasks to decrease the workload for staff while others did not. Some staff also suggested that any applicants for BCCs should be required to attend BCC meetings before applying so that expectations and roles were clearly understood.

V. POLICIES AND PROCEDURES REVIEW

The City’s Municipal Code is the governing document for all except one of the BCCs. See Appendix A for a complete listing of all BCCs with links to their governing documents. Although the purpose and duties are described for each BCC in the Vallejo Municipal Code and in some instances, in Council resolutions, these documents are broad and only provide general direction on the scope of work for each BCC. As stated earlier, there is no Handbook that provides comprehensive information on the purpose of the BCCs, roles of Commissioners, relevant City policies and procedures, and meeting frequencies and protocols.

¹ Subsequent to the completion of the original report in March 2024, the City implemented a new agenda management system which provides templates for meeting materials

Each staff secretary is responsible for coordinating the BCC agenda with the City Attorney's office, Commission chair and other relevant staff. There are no standard templates for agendas and minutes, which results in a lack of consistency across BCCs. Also, on occasion, agenda coordination with the City Attorney is not done in a timely manner, which results in the removal or deferral of agenda items after the agenda has been posted. Additionally, since BCCs are not required to do workplans, managing roles and purview of advisory BCCs can be challenging for the staff secretaries, especially those that are relatively new to supporting BCCs.

VI. BENCHMARKING

The policies and practices for Boards, Commissions, and Committees in six cities across three counties were reviewed: El Cerrito, Fairfield, Milpitas, Palo Alto, Richmond, and Sunnyvale. See **Appendix D** for a detailed summary.

The six cities varied in size in terms of population and the number of BCCs. The city of Fairfield, with a population of about 120,000, has the fewest number of BCCs at seven (7). The city of Richmond, with a population of about 116,000, has the highest number of BCCs at 24. The other four cities have standing BCCs ranging from eight (8) to thirteen (13). Five of the six cities either have a Handbook or a Council policy that provides comprehensive information on all the BCCs. Three of the six cities require annual workplans for all BCCs, two cities require annual workplans for some BCCs, and one city makes workplans optional. Commissioner appointments are made by the City Council, however in only two of the six cities are the applicants interviewed by the City Council.

VII. RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the review of the City's policies and procedures and those of the six benchmarked cities, Mayor, Councilmember, and executive staff interviews, and the staff survey, MRG is recommending improvements in seven categories, including a miscellaneous category which captures various improvements.

Recruitment Process

1. Conduct Commissioner recruitments twice a year.
2. Keep the list of candidates interviewed and found to be acceptable by City Council for a specific BCC, active for a period of six months or until next recruitment period, whichever is sooner; do not require candidates to apply and interview again if a vacancy opens up on that BCC.
3. Consider the use of alternate Commissioner seats to allow a longer period of time to fill vacancies.
4. Provide annual attendance records to Council to help inform the selection process.



5. Leverage City, Mayor, and Councilmembers’ social media channels; provide promotional material to member of the Council in user friendly formats.
6. Leverage City events to promote BCC accomplishments and service opportunities.
7. Require Commissioners to complete their terms on a BCC before considering them for a vacant position on another BCC.

Membership and Terms

1. Reduce number of Commissioners on all advisory BCCs from seven members to five members and 2 alternates.
2. Solicit input from the community about whether shortening terms to 3 years will encourage more applicants.

Potential Changes to BCCs

Consider reducing number of BCCs from 19 to 14 over a period of 12 to 18 months as outlined in this section. However, before finalizing any changes to existing BCCs, the City Council could conduct a Study Session to review the purpose of each of the Boards, Commissions, and Committees in order to validate the proposed recommended changes. If the City wished to conduct this review in phases, the first phase could include the BCCs recommended for elimination or consolidation with another BCC including the Marina Advisory Committee, Design Review Board, Sister City Commission, and the McCune Collection Commission. Clarifying the purpose of the Beautification Commission, Human Relations Commission, and the Vallejo Tourism Business Improvement District Board would also be beneficial to include in the first phase of review. It is important to note that this report did not incorporate feedback from the community. Soliciting community input at the Council Study Session could provide useful insight and help further refine the proposed plan.

Recommended Changes

1. **Rename Economic Vitality Commission to Economic Development Commission (EDC)², incorporate the functions of Marina Advisory Committee into the EDC**

The purpose of the Economic Vitality Commission (EVC) is to advise the City Council on issues that can enhance job growth, increase private investment, assist with business retention and attraction activities, and assist with the implementation of the economic development element of the General Plan. This Commission recommends policies that can incentivize job growth and investment by businesses and maintains relationships



² This change has been implemented since the draft report was submitted

with the Chamber of Commerce and regional and state economic development organizations. Since the main focus of this Commission is economic development, changing its name to Economic Development Commission better aligns with the purpose of the Commission.

The purpose of the Marina Advisory Committee (MAC) is to advise the City Council on all matters related to the Marina and to help enhance occupancy and stimulate growth at the Marina. Since the overall purpose of this Committee is to also support a thriving economy in a vital part of the City, including it under the umbrella of the Economic Development Commission is recommended.

The EVC has 7 members that are either residents or employees/owners of businesses. MAC also has 7 members, 4 of which are berthers at the Marina, and 3 are residents. If the MAC were to be incorporated into the proposed EDC, the City could consider having a berther be one of the 7 members, to ensure direct representation from the Marina.

2. Explore consolidation of the Surveillance Advisory Board with Police Oversight and Accountability Commission in the future

The Surveillance Advisory Board (SAB) advises the City Council and staff about the acquisition, deployment, and use of surveillance technology within the City. Commissioners must demonstrate interest and experience in civil and privacy rights.

The purpose of the Police Oversight and Accountability Commission (POAC) is to improve relations between law enforcement and the community and to foster good relations between the police and the diverse community they serve. Since both BCCs are focused on ensuring the public interest related to law enforcement, there may be some merit in combining these two BCCs in the future³.

3. Incorporate Design Review Board into Planning Commission

The Design Review Board (DRB) acts as an advisory body to the Planning Commission (PC) on topics related to architectural design, landscape design, and urban design. The



³ Subsequent to the initial staff engagement, concerns have been raised about this consolidation due to the significant differences between the two advisory bodies.

DRB also conducts design review and approves or denies plans for projects in downtown and other districts related to the waterfront design guidelines.

The PC is responsible for adopting a comprehensive long-term General Plan and for approving or making recommendations to the Council on development projects, zoning code, and other policies related to long term planning. Since the DRB advises the PC on elements of projects that the PC ultimately has to act upon, it is recommended that the role of the DRB be incorporated into the duties of the PC.

The DRB has 5 members that must have demonstrated competence and interest in architecture, landscape architecture, or urban design. While incorporating the DRB into the PC, the City could consider changing the criteria for Planning Commissioners and requiring one or two seats to be filled by Commissions with competence and interest in architecture, landscape architecture, or urban design.

4. Eliminate Sister City Commission, appoint a Council liaison to the Vallejo Sister City Association

The City has long-standing relationships with six Sister Cities. The Sister City Commission (SCC) plans and recommends to the City Council a Sister City program and helps stimulate and sustain participation and community support for the program. The SCC acts as a liaison with Sister Cities International and promotes events to foster friendly relationships between Vallejo and its sister cities.

Vallejo Sister City Association is a volunteer community group that has been involved with Sister Cities International since 1971. This group works closely with the Mayor, Council, and staff, through the SSC, to support relationships with six Sister Cities and three Friendship Cities. Since the purpose and mission of this group is very closely aligned with the SSC, it is recommended that the SSC be eliminated, and a Council Liaison be appointed to the Board of the Vallejo Sister City Association. This will ensure continued collaboration and support from the City while minimizing duplication of efforts on two bodies with a similar purpose and mission.

5. Eliminate McCune Collection Commission (MCC), explore partnership with Library and Naval Historical Museum

The McCune Collection Commission advises the Council and City Manager on matters related to the McCune Collection facilities, properties, and the endowment fund. The MCC also investigates and makes advisory reports related to the McCune Collection.



The City Attorney's Office is currently researching archived documents to determine if there are any legal obligations related to the endowment that requires the City to keep this Commission active. Based on the review of available documents, and contingent upon the findings from the legal review, it is recommended that this Commission be eliminated. If the MCC were to be eliminated, the City could explore partnerships with the Library and/or the Naval Historical Museum to act as a steward for the McCune Collection, as suggested by some members of the Council.

6. Clarify purpose and role of Beautification Commission and Human Relations Commission; evaluate purpose and scope of Vallejo Tourism Business Improvement Board

The purpose of the Beautification Commission (BC) is to support the beautiful appearance of public properties and public right of way. The BC is supposed to advise the Council on policies and programs and engage the community in supporting departmental efforts to enhance the appearance of infrastructure and landscaping. The scope of the BC is broad and general and does not provide adequate guidance to the Commissioners or staff. It is recommended that a workplan with actionable goals be developed so that the BC can focus on one or more specific projects or programs that will enhance the appearance of public properties and the public right of way.

The purpose of the Human Relations Commission (HRC) is to promote equal treatment of all individuals, eliminate discrimination, make recommendations to alleviate tension and conflict in the community, and advise on issues involving relationships between law enforcement, the justice system, and the community. The HRC is also supposed to make recommendations to staff, labor groups, Civil Service Commission, and the City Council, to promote equal employment opportunities and fair contracting practices. The scope of this Commission is extremely broad and does not provide specific guidance in terms of areas of focus for this Commission. It is recommended that the purpose and scope of this Commission be re-evaluated and more narrowly defined. Using an example from the City of Sunnyvale, the role of the HRC could be modified as follows:

- Should focus on policy issues and not interfere with City operations
- Study, evaluate, and advise the Council on policies and programs related to diversity, equity, access, and inclusion
- Celebrate and encourage Vallejo's rich cultural diversity
- Promote regular, civil, and authentic engagement with diverse groups of residents



- Advise on increasing accessibility and transparency of local government policies, programs and services

In addition to re-defining the scope, an annual workplan should include specific events or programs that this Commission would be leading or supporting.

The purpose of the Vallejo Tourism Business Improvement District Advisory Board is to make recommendations to the City Council on the expenditure of revenues derived from the levy of assessments within the Vallejo Tourism Business Improvement District. Since this BCC does not meet on a regular basis, the City may want to evaluate its purpose and scope to ensure its effectiveness. Since this BCC was created by a statute, any desired changes will need to be closely coordinated with the City Attorney's Office.

7. Youth Involvement

Some members of the City Council expressed a strong interest in resurrecting the Youth Commission, which is currently suspended. If the City does not wish to add another Commission that would require additional staff support, the City could consider a model for youth involvement that is being used in the city of Milpitas. A non-voting youth commissioner seat for students in grades 9-12 could be added to all advisory BCCs. This would not only allow several opportunities for high school students to get involved in BCCs and become familiar with a variety of topic areas that support local government but would also facilitate youth representation on all advisory BCCs.

Workplans and Budgets

1. Require workplans and annual reports for every BCC. This may require a change to the City's municipal code.
2. Provide Commission Chairs with the opportunity to present workplans to the Council on an annual basis. The City may want to consider adding two special sessions a year, specifically focused on discussing BCC work plans and reports.
3. Provide templates for workplans and reports, require consistency in format and content across BCCs.
4. Workplans should have a maximum of 3-5 goals with specific deliverables and lead Commissioners for each goal identified.
5. Goals should be aligned with adopted Council and community priorities.
6. Consider a modest annual budget of \$1,000 for each BCC, exceptions could be made for any BCCs with significant workplan items. This may require a change to existing Council Policy 2024-01



7. Eligible and ineligible uses of the budget should be in accordance with Council Policy 2024-01.

Staff Functions and Time Commitment

1. Staff should continue to prepare agenda and minutes, in coordination with the BCC Chair, City Attorney, and other staff; Commissioners should not be taking on this role. The City's Municipal Code may need to be revised to codify this change.
2. The City should evaluate the appropriate level of staff supporting the BCCs. The role of the staff secretary is not administrative; staff secretaries should be well versed in the programmatic areas under the BCC's purview. They should also be able to appropriately guide Commissioners on meeting protocols and Commissioner roles, ensure agenda topics align with the BCC's purpose and scope, and communicate Council and community priorities.
3. Use of standard document templates, centralized resources, ongoing training, and potentially fewer BCCs will allow staff to be more efficient in supporting BCCs; all BCC resources should be easily accessible through the City's website and intranet.

Training and Resources

1. Develop a BCC Handbook and Commissioner Onboarding packet, links to examples from other cities are included in **Appendix C**.
2. Commissioner Onboarding packet should include requirements related to ethics, sexual harassment, code of conduct, Rosenberg's Rules of Order trainings, and a BCC Handbook.
3. Link to Handbook and other BCC resources to be available on a dedicated BCC webpage.
4. City Clerk and City Attorney to develop training for Commissioners, provide live training once a year, with the recording available for viewing anytime.
5. CMO/City Clerk to develop templates for agendas, minutes, workplans, and annual reports⁴.



⁴ The City has subsequently implemented an agenda management system that has addressed this recommendation.

VIII. IMPLEMENTATION

Implementation should be phased in to allow for adequate time to develop training resources and to allow consolidation through attrition and transition of commissioners from BCCs that may be slated for consolidation or elimination, to other BCCs.

Phase I (approximately 3 months)

1. Develop templates for meeting agendas, minutes⁵, workplans, annual reports, and powerpoint templates.
2. Develop a BCC Handbook and Commissioner Onboarding packet.
3. Develop a training program for Commissioners and staff secretaries, including an annual live training that can be recorded for viewing by new Commissioners and staff as well as any Commissioners or staff needing a refresher.
4. Hold a Council Study Session to discuss the role and purpose of each Commission, solicit input from the community at the same Study Session.

Phase II (approximately 12-15 months)

1. Develop a phased implementation plan for changes to existing BCCs based on Council discussion and direction.
2. Provide existing Commissioners of a BCC slated for consolidation or elimination, the opportunity to fill vacancies on another BCC, if eligible to serve on that BCC.
3. Commissioners on BCCs that may be incorporated into another BCC or eliminated, should be allowed to serve for up to a 12-month period of their current BCC term. Alternatively, they could choose to transition to another BCC, provided they meet eligibility requirements of that BCC.



⁵ The City has since implemented an Agenda Management system that addresses templates for meeting agendas and minutes.

IX. APPENDICES

Appendix A	Vallejo Boards, Commissions, and Committees Summary Report
Appendix B	2015-2016 Ad-Hoc Committee on Commissions (AHCC)
Appendix C	Interview and Survey Questions
Appendix D	Summary Report of Benchmarked Cities



Appendix A

Appendix A - Vallejo Boards, Commissions, and Committees Summary

Name	Membership	Purpose	Duties and Powers	Reference Documents	Meeting Frequency & Location	Council Liaison	Secretary
Architectural Heritage and Landmarks Commission	7 members appointed by Council, related background required	Preserve, protect, support economic value, foster civic pride, continued private ownership of historic structures	Conduct design review and hold public hearings, survey older buildings to identify historic structures, make policy recommendations to Council	Chapter 2.48 of Municipal Code	Monthly, 3rd Thursday at 6:30 pm in Council Chambers	Peter Bregenzer	Margaret Kavanaugh-Lynch (Planning)
Beautification Commission	7 members appointed by Council	Support the beautiful appearance of public properties and right of way	To promote and improve the quality of life, support departmental efforts through community involvement, advise the Council on policies and program, support programs that enhance the appearance of infrastructure and landscaping	Chapter 2.39 of Municipal Code	Every other month, 1st Wednesday of even months at 6:00 pm in Council Chambers	Verder-Aliga	Shelee Loughmiller (Community and Volunteer Coordinator)
Building Standards Code Appeal Board	5 members nominated by staff and appointed by Council	Hear and decide appeals of orders, decisions, or determinations made by the chief building official or fire code official relative to the application and interpretation of the California Building Standards Codes	Hear appeals as described in Chapter 2.75 and Chapter 12	Chapter 2.75 of Municipal Code	Meet as needed		
Civil Service Commission	5 members and appointed by the Council	Provide for the standardization and classification of all positions and employment in the classified service; competitive tests, rules and regulations - purpose is a little unclear	No specific duties and powers called out, rule making and appellate body	Chapter 2.43 of the Municipal Code	2nd Monday of the month as needed, 5:15 pm in Council Chambers; at least one regular meeting to be held every two months.	Diosdado "JR" Matulac	Pleshetta Dauzart (Executive Secretary)
Code Enforcement Appeals Board	7 members appointed by Council	Act as and exercise duties, functions, powers of the "code enforcement appeals board" and as "hearing officer" and "abandoned vehicle hearing board"	Act as hearing officer, hear appeals and hearings about special assessments for administrative citations - property maintenance, vacant buildings, abandoned vehicles, abandoned shopping carts, weed and rubbish abatement; render report to the Council	Chapter 2.49 of Municipal Code	4th Thursday of each month, 6-8 pm in Council Chambers	Diosdado "JR" Matulac	Dong Yoo, Sr. Code Enf. Officer, Sgt. Richard Wanzie
Commission on Culture and the Arts	7 members appointed by Council, shall represent cross-section of community interests and organizations	Serve as the official voice for the arts, serve as an advisory board in making referrals to appropriate groups and coordinating arts projects, identify problems experienced by local arts organizations and suggest solutions	Promote visual and performing arts groups, participate in publication and distribution of an events calendar, assist related groups, advise the City Council on public art projects, record decisions	Chapter 2.32 of the Municipal Code	4th Monday of each month at 6:00 pm in Council Chambers	Tina Arriola	Annette Taylor, Sr. Community Development Analyst

Appendix A - Vallejo Boards, Commissions, and Committees Summary

Name	Membership	Purpose	Duties and Powers	Reference Documents	Meeting Frequency & Location	Council Liaison	Secretary
Design Review Board	5 members appointed by Council, all members have to have their primary residence (min. 4) or employment in the city; demonstrated competence and interest in architecture, landscape arch., or urban design	Acts as an advisory body to the Planning Commission and others related to matters of architectural design, landscape design, and urban design	Advises the Planning Commission when requested or when required by an ordinance, conduct design review and approve/deny plans for project in Downtown and other districts related to the Waterfront Design Guidelines; review and comment on other projects including site development plan applications, that may be referred to them; advise on the Design Guidelines themselves	Chapter 2.59 of the Municipal Code	2nd Thursday of each month at 7:00 pm in Council Chambers	Charles Palmares	Margaret Kavanaugh-Lynch, Planning Manager
Economic Vitality Commission (renamed to Economic Development Commission since initial report was prepared)	7 members appointed by Council, shall be a resident of the city or an employee/owner of a business with a city business license	Advise the Council on issues that can enhance job growth and increase private investment, assist with implementation of econ. Dev. Element of the General Plan, assist with business retention and attraction activities	can incentivize job growth and business investment, plan and conduct business retention and attraction programs, maintain relationships with Chamber, regional and state ED orgs., make recommendations about website and	Chapter 2.36 of the Municipal Code	2nd Wednesday of even months at 6:00 pm in Council Chambers	Mina Loera-Diaz	Ivette Iraheta, Econ. Dev. Program Manager
Housing and Community Development Commission	7 members	Serves various functions in both, housing and community development	Review and make recommendations on all matters to come before the Housing Authority (except emergency matters) and on all agreements related to affordable housing that go to the Authority or Council. May perform advisory and appellate functions as may be delegated by the Council. Hold hearings related to mobile home parks rent control. Hold hearings related to CDBG and HOME program applications, recommend to the Council adoption of a 3 or 5 year comm. dev. plan, annual housing and community development program, hold hearings to assess progress and performance, and serve in an advisory capacity on implementation of various projects.	Chapter 2.46 of the Municipal Code	1st Thursday of each month at 7:00 pm in Council Chambers	Peter Bregenzer	Chari Barrera, Administrative Manager

Appendix A - Vallejo Boards, Commissions, and Committees Summary

Name	Membership	Purpose	Duties and Powers	Reference Documents	Meeting Frequency & Location	Council Liaison	Secretary
Human Relations Commission	7 members appointed by the Council, individuals with strong commitment to good human relations, fairness, ethnic diversity and group will reflect ethnic and other diversity of the community	Promote equal treatment of all individuals, prohibit discrimination based on race, color, national origin, religion, sex, disability, age, genetic info, marital status, sexual or gender orientation an identity, medial condition, political activities, military or veteran status, domestic violence victim, etc.	Inform and consult with Council to alleviate tension and conflict, consider issues involving relationships between police, justice system, community; make recommendations to staff, labor groups, civil service commission and City Council to promote equal employment opportunity and fair contracting practices	Chapter 2.40 of the Municipal Code	4th Wednesday of Jan, Apr, July, Oct (if agenda items) at 6:00 pm in Council Chambers	Diosdado "JR" Matulac	Shawn Hadnot, Employee and Labor Relations Officer
Marina Advisory Committee	7 members, 4 (berthers from the Marina), 3 at-large; appointed by the Mayor; at-large members have to be residents	Make recommendations to the City Council on all policy matters related to the Marina, support communication between staff and berthers, stimulate growth and occupancy rates at the Marina	Make recommendations to Council and staff, assist staff in prioritizing projects in CIP, work with staff and community to enhance occupancy , improve communications, and develop solutions to address issues	Resolution No. 02-362 N.C.	1st Thursday of the month, quarterly at 5:00 pm in City Hall, PW Conf. Room	Tina Arriola	Marina Walker
McCune Collection Commission	7 members appointed by the Council	Advise Council and City Manager on policy and other matters related to McCune Collection facilities and property, investigate and make advisory reports; advise on the Endowment Fund	Advise Council and City Manager on matters related to McCune, investigate and make reports	Ordinance No. 1729 N.C.	1st Monday, bi-monthly at 4:30 pm at City Hall	Charles Palmares	Annette Taylor, Sr. Community Development Analyst
Measure P Oversight Committee	7 members to be appointed by each member of the Council (1 each), members shall be residents and appointed by Councilmembers to represent their respective districts. Member appointed by the Mayor may reside anywhere in the city	Act as a citizen oversight committee for Measure P (transaction and use tax measure)	Review and report on the City's proposed and actual expenditures from the Measure P tax and also on the required independent annual audit; report shall be discussed publicly	Chapter 3.09.150 of the Municipal Code	At least twice each calendar year at a TBD time, in City Hall	TBD	Debbie Martir, Public Works Administrative Manager
Participatory Budgeting Steering Committee	11 members and 3 alternates, 1 from African American Alliance, 1 from NAACP, 1 from NCBW, 8 at-large;	Ensure that budget process is transparent, fair, and inclusive	Facilitate the budget (PB) process including recommending program rules, planning public meetings, and conducting outreach with diverse communities			Tina Arriola, Peter Bregenzer	
Planning Commission	7 members appointed by the Council, no member can serve more than 8 years	Responsible for adopting a comprehensive long-term general plan	Such powers and duties as prescribed by law and governed by the procedures provided by law	Chapter 2.44 of the Municipal Code	1st and 3rd Monday of each month at 7:00 pm in Council Chambers	Charles Palmares	Margaret Kavanaugh-Lynch, Planning Manager

Appendix A - Vallejo Boards, Commissions, and Committees Summary

Name	Membership	Purpose	Duties and Powers	Reference Documents	Meeting Frequency & Location	Council Liaison	Secretary
Police Oversight and Accountability Commission	7 members and 2 alternates (youth and community member) appointed by the Council, each Councilmember appoints 1 from their District, Mayor appoints 1 from any District, various eligibility criteria	Promote the health, safety and well being of all residents by utilizing the best possible practices and policies while ensuring effective, efficient, trustworthy, and just law enforcement. Improve relations between law enforcement and the community and foster good relations.	Review Independent Investigative reports and Internal Affairs reports and supporting evidence and opine on findings and conclusions and recommend further investigation if needed. Advise Mayor, Council, City Manager, and Chief of Police on police community relations issues. Conduct public outreach. See ordinance for additional duties and powers.	Chapter 18.03 of the Municipal Code.	TBD	TBD	TBD
Sister City Commission	7 members appointed by the Council	Plan and recommend to the Council a sister city program and stimulate and sustain participation and community support for the program	Conduct research, act as liaison with Sister Cities international, promote events, tour groups, formal and friendly relationships between groups in both cities, maintain a translation committee, make recommendations on existing sister cities	Chapter 2.38 of the Municipal Code	3rd Monday - bi-monthly at 5:30 pm in the Library	Rozzana Verder-Aliga	Erik Rzomp, Executive Assistant to the Mayor
Surveillance Advisory Board	7 members, 1 resident appointed by each member of the Council and Mayor - District specific when appointed by Councilmembers, members must demonstrate interest and experience in civil and privacy rights	Provide advice to the Council, City Manager, and departments about the acquisition, deployment and use of surveillance technology within the city	Advise the Council and staff on best practices to protect the safety, privacy, and civil rights of residents related to surveillance technology, conduct public meetings, and submit reports with findings and recommendations to the Council; review and respond to requests from staff on equipment or policies related to surveillance technology; may work with City Attorney to recommend model legislation related to surveillance technology	Ordinance No. 1859 N.C.	3rd Thursday, bi-monthly, time TBD, in Council Chambers	Tina Arriola	Naveed Ashraf, IT Director/Chief Information Officer
Vallejo Tourism Business Improvement District Advisory Board	5 members appointed by the Council - chair and vice chair of the Vallejo convention and visitors' bureau board, 3 members who are owners or business managers of businesses being assessed	Make recommendations to the Council on expenditure of revenues derived from the levy of assessments with the tourism BID	Advisory body related to the tourism BID assessment expenditures	Chapter 2.41 of the Municipal Code	Annually, Time TBA, in the Ferry Building	N/A	Annette Taylor, Sr. Community Development Analyst

Appendix B

CITY OF VALLEJO

2016 Boards, Commissions, and Committees Assessment Summary

(Excerpts from 1/12/16 and 4/26/16 City Council agenda reports)

Consultant – Ashwini Kantak

March 2024



In March 2015, the City Council created an Ad-Hoc Committee on Commissions (AHCC), comprised of then Councilmembers McConnell, Miessner, and Sampayan and was supported by the Assistant City Manager and City Clerk. The AHCC met from June – December 2015.

The AHCC provided recommendations on the following topics related to Commissions:

- Number of Commissions/Changes to Commission roles
- Work plans of Commissions
- Procedure for Selecting Commissioners
- Recognition/Commissioner Appreciation
- Term Limits
- Education and Training
- Attendance Policy for Commissioners
- Commissioner Orientation
- Role of City Councilmember Liaisons to Commissions
- Role of City Councilmember to non-Commission Agencies
- Fund-raising by Commissions
- Broadcast and Access to Commission Meeting
- City Council Review of Commission Structure

On January 12 and April 26, 2016, the City Council discussed the AHCC report and recommendations. Based on the AHCC recommendations, the City Council eliminated three advisory bodies, re-established two advisory bodies, changed the name of one advisory body, and broadened membership of another advisory body, as listed below.

1. No changes to three (3) BCC bodies - Architectural and Landmarks Commission, Code Enforcement Appeals Board, Human Relations Commission, and Planning Commission.
2. Eliminated three (3) BCC bodies - the Commission on Aging, the Library Board, Mobile Home Rent Review Board.
3. Re-created a stand-alone Design review Board as advisory to the Planning Commission and re-established a Beautification Commission.
4. Changed the name of the Housing and Redevelopment Commission to Housing and Community Development Commission.
5. Broadened membership of the Vallejo Tourism Business Improvement District Board.

The City Council did not act on the following AHCC recommendations:

1. Continue suspension of the Commission on Culture and the Arts
2. Various changes related to the Economic Vitality Commission
3. Eliminate Marina Advisory Committee and instead establish an ad-hoc citizens committee when needed.

APPENDIX B

4. Consolidate Sister City Commission with Sister City Association and appoint a City Council liaison.
5. Eliminate Youth Commission

The AHCC also made recommendations on the various topics mentioned earlier, however, the City Council chose to only accept a subset of the recommendations.

Appendix C

CITY OF VALLEJO INTERVIEWS (Mayor and Council) Boards, Commissions, and Committees Assessment

Consultant – Ashwini Kantak

February 2024



Project Scope: perform a comprehensive assessment of current Boards and Commissions along with associated practices, policies, and procedures, and develop recommendations based on a combination of interviews, surveys, document review, and benchmarking.

- 1. Before we begin with the interview do you have any questions or thoughts about the scope of the project?**
- 2. Have you served on any Commissions prior to being elected to the Council? If so, which Commissions and how long did you serve as Commissioner?**
- 3. Follow up if response to Q2 is yes. What drew you to serve as a Commissioner and did that experience help you decide to run for Council?**
- 4. Are you familiar with the Ad Hoc Committee's work on Boards and Commissions and the recommendations made to Council in 2016? If so, are there any lessons learned from that effort that we should be taking into consideration?**
- 5. The current recruiting process for Boards and Commissions can be time consuming and at times challenging due to a lack of applicants. Do you have any input on potential improvements to the current process?**
- 6. Boards and Commissions are currently not required to prepare annual workplans or reports. What are your thoughts on requiring annual workplans and reports?**
- 7. Based on your observations, do you see any opportunities for consolidation of any of the Boards and Commissions? Follow up question – do you have any insight of how consolidations could be successfully implemented?**
- 8. Are you aware of any best practices for Boards and Commissions that the City might want to evaluate as part of this assessment process?**
- 9. What else do we need to know to complete an effective assessment of the Boards and Commissions and make actionable recommendations?**

CITY OF VALLEJO INTERVIEWS (Executive Staff) Boards, Commissions, and Committees Assessment

Consultant – Ashwini Kantak

February 2024



Project Scope: perform a comprehensive assessment of current Boards and Commissions along with associated practices, policies, and procedures, and develop recommendations based on a combination of interviews, surveys, document review, and benchmarking.

- 1. What is your role with respect to Boards and Commissions?**
- 2. What challenges do you typically encounter in your current role as it pertains to Boards and Commissions?**
- 3. Are you aware of any best practices for Boards and Commissions that the City might want to evaluate as part of this assessment process?**
- 4. Are you familiar with the selection process for Boards and Commissions and if so, do you have any input on potential improvements to the current process?**
- 5. Are you aware of any issues related to Boards and Commissions not meeting quorum? If so, do you have input on how this issue could be addressed, including potential changes to the attendance policy and inclusion of alternates?**
- 6. Based on your observations and your role as it relates to Boards and Commissions, do you see any opportunities for consolidation of any of the Boards and Commissions?**
- 7. Boards and Commissions are currently not required to prepare annual workplans or reports. What pros and cons do you foresee if annual workplans and reports were to be mandated?**
- 8. Are you familiar with the training provided to the Board and Commission members and if so, do you have any suggestions for improvements?**
- 9. Are you familiar with the Ad Hoc Committee's work on Boards and Commissions and the recommendations made to Council in 2016? If so, are there any lessons learned from that effort that we should be taking into consideration?**

APPENDIX C

- 10. Based on your experience as it relates to the Boards and Commissions, do you think the current structure and process for Boards and Commissions serves the City Council and the Vallejo community effectively?**

- 11. What else do we need to know to complete an effective assessment of the Boards and Commissions and make actionable recommendations?**

CITY OF VALLEJO STAFF SECRETARIES SURVEY
Boards, Commissions, and Committees Assessment

Consultant – Ashwini Kantak
February 2024



You have been selected to participate in this survey because of your role as staff secretary for one or more of the Boards and Commissions in the City of Vallejo.

MRG has been retained to conduct a thorough assessment of current Boards and Commissions and to develop recommendations based on a combination of interviews, surveys, document review, and benchmarking. The desired outcome is to identify opportunities for consolidation and recommend best practices to maximize effective community service while ensuring efficient use of City Council and staff time.

Your input and observations are extremely valuable given your direct involvement with a Board and/or Commission.

Individual survey responses will not be shared with anyone, the results be presented in summary form. The survey will take 15-20 minutes to complete. We kindly request that you complete the survey no later than February 16, 2024.

If you have any questions regarding the survey, please contact Ashwini Kantak, MRG Consultant, at akantak@klarityconsulting.net.

Thank you for your feedback.

- 1. Do you serve as staff secretary for a City of Vallejo Board or Commission?**
 - a. Yes
 - b. No

For a Yes response, please provide name(s) of Boards and/or Commissions you support. This information is optional and may be provided at your discretion.

If the response is No, do not proceed with the rest of the survey

- 2. Please provide the name of your department and job title** (This information is optional and may be provided at your discretion).
- 3. How long have you been in the role of staff secretary for a Board and/or Commission?**
 - a. Less than one year
 - b. Between one and five years
 - c. More than five years
 - d. Not sure

APPENDIX C

- 4. We are interested in learning about the key functions you perform as a staff secretary. For each Board or Commission you support, please provide a description of up to five key functions (a major task or regularly performed activity) that take up the most amount of your time, number of hours worked on each function in a month, and departments or divisions you work with for each function, if applicable).**
- 5. Are there any challenges you typically face in your role as staff secretary?**
- a. Yes
 - b. No
 - c. Not sure
- 6. If response to question 5 above was yes, please select all that apply.**
- a. I find it challenging to be familiar with all the rules and procedures associated with the Board/Commission I support
 - b. I do not have standard templates to prepare agendas and minutes
 - c. I am not able to meet the required timelines for Board/Commission agenda preparation and staff review due to workload issues
 - d. The Board or Commission I support does not have a clear understanding of its scope
 - e. Due to high turnover on the Board or Commission I support it is challenging to onboard and train new members on an ongoing basis
 - f. Other

If Other, please provide additional details

- 7. Have you had to cancel a Board or Commission meeting due to a lack of quorum in the past two years?**
- a. Yes
 - b. No
 - c. Not sure

If yes, please provide number of cancellations

- 8. Annual workplans and reports are not currently required for any Board or Commission. Do you think requiring these will make the Board/Commission more effective?**
- a. Yes

APPENDIX C

- b. No
- c. Not sure

For a Yes or No response, please include an explanation

9. Based on your observations and your role as it relates to Boards and Commissions, do you see any opportunities for consolidation of any of the Boards and Commissions?

- a. Yes
- b. No
- c. Not sure

For a Yes response, please describe potential opportunities

10. Are you familiar with the Ad Hoc Committee's work on Boards and Commissions and the recommendations made to Council in 2016?

- a. Yes
- b. No
- c. Not sure

For a Yes response, please include any lessons learned that we should take into consideration.

11. Based on your experience as it relates to the Boards and Commissions, do you think the current structure and process for Boards and Commissions serves the City Council and the Vallejo community effectively?

- a. Yes
- b. No
- c. Not sure

For a Yes or No response, please include an explanation

12. Are you aware of any best practices for Boards and Commissions that the City might want to evaluate as part of this assessment process?

- a. Yes
- b. No
- c. Not sure

APPENDIX C

For a Yes response, please list best practices

13. What else do we need to know to complete an effective assessment of the Boards and Commissions and make actionable recommendations?

Thank you for taking the survey. We appreciate your time!

Appendix D

Appendix D - Summary Report of Benchmarked Cities

City	Population	No. of Standing Boards/Commissions	Board/Commission/Committee Names	Membership	Term	Meeting Frequency	Recruitment Process	Workplans
El Cerrito	26,000	13	Arts and Culture, Citizens Street Oversight Committee, Civil Service Commission, Committee on Aging, Crime Prevention Committee, Design Review Board, Economic Development Committee, Environmental Quality Committee, Financial Advisory Board, Human Relations Commission, Park and Recreation Commission, Planning Commission, Urban Forest Committee.	ACC, HRC, PRC, PC (7), CSOC, CSC, DRB, FAB, (5), COA, CPC, EDC, EQC, UFC (15)	Four year terms, Board, Commission, and CSOC limited to two consecutive full terms on each body. Committees - 3 consecutive terms on each Committee. Term of individual seat is fixed. Staggered terms.	11 BCCs meet monthly, one BCC meets three times a year, one BCC meets as needed	Terms commence on March 1. City Clerk manages the application process. Council interviews in a public meeting.	Workplan templates provided but workplans not required for every BCC
Fairfield	120,000	7	CDBG Advisory Committee, Golf Advisory Board, Landscape Oversight Committee, Measure P Oversight Committee, Planning Commission, Rockville Citizen Advisory Committee, Youth Commission	CDBG (5 + 1 PC and 1 YC rep), GAB (7), LOC (7), PC (7), Measure P OC (5), RHRPCAC (7)	YC - 3 years, GAB, PC, RHRPCAC - 4 years, LOC, Measure P - 5 years	One BCC meets twice a month, one BCC meets monthly, 3 BCCs meet quarterly, frequency for two BCCs varies and is as needed	Quarterly recruitments for YC,	No workplans required except for YC
Milpitas	84,000	13	Arts, Community Advisory, Economic Development and Trade, Energy and Environmental Sustainability, Library and Education Advisory, Measure F Oversight, Parks, Recreation & Cultural Resources, Planning, Public Safety and Emergency Preparedness, Science, Technology and Innovation, Senior Advisory, Veterans, Youth Advisory	Planning (7), and PRCC (9 and 2 alternates), Youth (7 and 2 alternates), Arts (7, 2 alternates, 2 non voting youth members) all other Commissions (7 members, 2 alternates, 1 non-voting youth member)	3 year terms, no term limits	One BCC meets twice a month, six BCCs meet monthly, one BCC meets 4 times a year, four BCCs meet 5 times a year, one BCC meets quarterly	Applications are accepted throughout the year, appointments are made by Council in public meeting but no interviews.	Annual workplans and reports required to be presented to the City Council
Palo Alto	67,000	8	Architectural Review Board (5 members SMEs), Historic Resources Board, Human Relations Commission (5 members), Parks and Recreation Commission, Planning and Transportation Commission, Public Art Commission, Storm Water Management Oversight Committee, Utility Advisory Commission	ARB, HRC, PAC (5 members); HRB, PRC, PTC, SWMOC, UAC (7 members)	Max. 2 or 3 successive terms on the same board/commission; 2 year break before being appointed to same body	Three BCCs meet twice a month, five BCCs meet monthly	New appointments only once a year in spring; other appointments due to vacancies, as needed	Annual workplans due in June, up to 3 priorities. Chair presents workplan to Council - could be 1 year or 2 year workplans, status update to be presented on prior work; template for workplan provided

Appendix D - Summary Report of Benchmarked Cities

City	Population	No. of Standing Boards/Commissions	Board/Commission/Committee Names	Membership	Term	Meeting Frequency	Recruitment Process	Workplans
Richmond	116,000	24	Arts and Culture Commission, Community Police Review Commission, Commission on Aging, Design Review Board, Environmental Community Investment Agreement Transportation Oversight, Economic Development Commission, General Pension Board, Historic Preservation Commission, Housing Advisory Commission, Human Rights and Human Relations Commission, Library Commission, Personnel Board, Planning Commission, Police & Firemen's Pension Board, Recreation and Parks Commission, Reimagining Public Safety Community Task Force, Richmond Fund for Children and Youth Oversight Board, Richmond Rent Board, Shimida Friendship Commission, Urban forest Advisory Committee, Workforce Development Board, Youth Council, Zhoushan Friendship Commission	ACC, SFC, ZFC (11), CPRC, HRHRC, RPC (9), COA (13), DRB, HPC, HAC, PC (7), ECIATO, GPB, LC, PB, RRB (5), EDC (15), PFPB (4), PAAC (507), RPSCTF (21), RFCYOB (15), UFAC (7-11), WDB (35), YC (5-11)	Terms vary widely	Seventeen BCCs meet monthly, three meet once in two months, one BCC meets twice a month, three BCCs meet as needed	Ongoing recruitment	Optional yearly work program or goals statement, may be done in conjunction with the development of the relevant departmental work plan.
Sunnyvale	152,000	10	Arts Commission, Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Commission, Board of Library Trustees, Heritage Preservation Commission, Housing and Human Services Commission, Human relations Commission, Parks and Recreation Commission, Personnel Board, Planning Commission, Sustainability Commission	AC, BLT, HRC, PRC, PB (5); BPAC, HPC, HHSC, PC, SC (7)	Max, 2 consecutive 4 year terms	One BCC meets twice a month, eight BCCs meets monthly, one BCC meets every other month	Annual recruitment, appointments by Council but no interviews	Each BCC creates an annual workplan, advises Council on study issues and budget

Questions to facilitate discussion with BCCs members:

Name of Board, Commission, Committee: _____

Date of Meeting: _____

The City contracted with Municipal Resource Group (MRG) to perform a review of City Boards, Commissions and Committees (BCCs), make suggestions as to best practices, and review BCCs for potential consolidation. The report from MRG was presented to City Council and staff were instructed to ask for, and receive feedback from members of BCCs, to directly to include as data with the report.

1. Do you believe you have the necessary training and tools to help you be effective in your role?

1.b. What, if anything, could make you more effective?

2. Do you feel the topic(s) your (Board / Commission / Committee) oversees is easily understandable, focused, and reflects the work you do?

3. Do you believe having defined goals and a work plan would help your (Board / Commission / Committee) be more effective?

4. Do you feel your recommendations, if any, flow through to City Council well?

5. Do you feel consolidation of Boards, Commissions or Committees with overlapping focuses would be a positive step?

5.b. If so, do any specifically come to mind?