



www.cityofvallejo.net

AGENDA

BEAUTIFICATION COMMISSION REGULAR MEETING VIA TELECONFERENCE 6:00 P.M.

December 2, 2020

Erica Chappell, Chair,
Brian Duquette Vice-Chair

Board Members
Isabel Angel
Brenda Coleman
Yessica Martinez
Susan Slater
Rick Weyrich

This AGENDA contains a brief general description of each item to be considered. The posting of the recommended actions does not indicate what action may be taken. If comments come to the Beautification Commission Meeting without prior notice and are not listed on the AGENDA, no specific answers or response should be expected at this meeting per State law.

PUBLIC COMMENT: Members of the Public may provide public comments during the Beautification Commission Meeting via ZOOM:

(<https://ZoomRegular.Cityofvallejo.net>)

Option to join by phone

Dial (669) 900-6833

Enter Meeting ID: 914 0075 0676#

Press *9 to digitally raise your hand from the phone.

For additional instructions on how to speak during public comment, please visit, www.cityofvallejo.net/publiccomment

VIEW THE MEETING:

There are three different ways you can view this public meeting:

- Watch Vallejo local channel 28
- Stream from the City website: www.cityofvallejo.net/Streaming
- Join the Zoom webinar: <https://zoomregular.cityofvallejo.net>

Notice of Availability of Public Records: All public records relating to an open session item, which are not exempt from disclosure pursuant to the Public Records Act, that are distributed to a majority of the City Council will be available for public inspection at the City Clerk's Office, 555 Santa Clara Street, Vallejo, CA at the same time that the public records are distributed or made available to the City Council. Such documents may also be available on the City of Vallejo website subject to staff's ability to post the documents prior to the meeting. Information may be obtained by calling (707) 648-4527, TDD (707) 649-3562.

Want more City Information - Members of the public can:

Like us on Facebook (www.facebook.com/cityofvallejo)

Sign up to receive City Communications via e-mail (www.cityofvallejo.net/living/connect)

Sign up to receive City updates and get connected with your neighbors on Nextdoor (www.nextdoor.com)

Due to the Coronavirus emergency, all board and commission meetings will be held via teleconference. Members of the public may provide public comments during the Beautification Commission Meeting via ZOOM. Members of the public interested in participating or observing the meeting may either click the link above or type the link into an internet browser. You will be taken to the internet platform where the meeting is being held.

**CALL AND NOTICE OF MEETING
AT 6:00PM
OF THE BEAUTIFICATION COMMISSION VIA VIDEO CONFERENCE**

December 2, 2020

1. CALL TO ORDER

2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE – Chair Chappell

3. ROLL CALL

4. COMMUNICATIONS & CORRESPONDENCE

5. COMMUNITY FORUM

Due to the Coronavirus emergency, all board and commission meetings will be held via teleconference. Members of the public may provide public comments during the Beautification Commission Meeting via ZOOM. Members of the public interested in participating or observing the meeting may either click the link above or type the link into an internet browser. You will be taken to the internet platform where the meeting is being held. To make comments via Zoom please visit:

<https://ZoomRegular.Cityofvallejo.net>

Option to join by phone:

Dial (669) 900-6833

Enter Meeting ID: 914 0075 0676#

Press *9 to digitally raise your hand on the phone.

6. PRESENTATIONS –

1. Jennifer Kaiser, Public Information Officer, Vallejo Flood and Wastewater District
2. Gabe Lanusse, Executive Director, Greater Vallejo Recreation District

7. CITY COUNCIL LIASION REPORT

8. AGENDA APPROVAL

1. **Recommendation:** By motion, approve the Agenda for Dec. 2, 2020

9. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

1. **Recommendation:**
By motion, approve the Regular Meeting Minutes from Oct. 7, 2020

10. NEW BUSINESS

- A. [Commission Charter Review](#)

11. COMMITTEE / ACTIVITY REPORTS

- A. Comprehensive Calendar Events – Secretary Loughmiller
 - October and November Clean Up Event Results
 - Mapping Project
 - CalTrans Work Order Request [Link](#)

- B. Beautify Vallejo Ad Hoc Committee Update – Commissioners Angel, Martinez, Slater

12. OLD BUSINESS

1. Recognition Program for Neighborhoods, Homes, and Commercial Building Ad Hoc Committee Update
2. Adopt-A-Block AdHoc Committee Update

13. FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS –

1. Review and discuss items on the Future Agenda List
 - Presentation Requests

14. NEXT MEETING DATE: January 6, 2020

15. ADJOURNMENT

I, Shelee Loughmiller, Secretary, do hereby certify that I have caused a true copy of the above notice and agenda to be delivered to each of the members of the Vallejo Beautification Commission, at the time and in the manner prescribed by law and that this agenda was posted at City Hall, 555 Santa Clara Street, CA at 11:30 a.m. Monday, November 30, 2020.

Dated: Monday, November 30, 2020.

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Shelee Loughmiller", written in a cursive style.

Shelee Loughmiller, Executive Secretary

**BEAUTIFICATION COMMISSION
REGULAR MEETING MINUTES
OCTOBER 7, 2020**

1. CALL TO ORDER

The meeting called to order by Vice Chair Duquette at 6:00 p.m.

2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

3. ROLL CALL

Present: Vice Chair Duquette, Commissioner Coleman, Commissioner Martinez, Commissioner Slater, Commissioner Weyrich, Council Liaison Rozzana Verder-Aliga

Absent: Chair Chappell, Commissioner Angel

Staff: Secretary Loughmiller

4. PUBLIC COMMENT - NONE

5. COMMUNICATIONS & CORRESPONDENCE – NONE

6. PRESENTATION – Commissioner Martinez

7. AGENDA APPROVAL

- **Recommendation:** By motion, approve the Agenda

Action: Moved by Commissioner Weyrich, seconded by Commissioner Slater and the motion carried unanimously to approve the Agenda.

8. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

- **Recommendation:** By motion, approve the Special Meeting minutes from September 2, 2020

Action: Moved by Commissioner Slater, seconded by Commissioner Duguette and the motion carried unanimously to approve the Special Meeting minutes from September 2, 2020

8. ACTION CALENDAR – NONE

9. COMMITTEE / ACTIVITY REPORTS

- A. Recognition Program for Neighborhoods, Homes, and Commercial Building Ad Hoc Committee Update- Commissioner Coleman added to sub-committee
- B. Neighborhood Adopt A Block Ad Hoc Committee – Proposal still under review.

10. FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

- A. Speakers scheduled for presentations



www.cityofvallejo.net

AGENDA

BEAUTIFICATION COMMISSION REGULAR MEETING VIA TELECONFERENCE 6:00 P.M.

NOVEMBER 4, 2020

Erica Chappell, Chair,
Brian Duquette Vice-Chair

Board Members
Isabel Angel
Brenda Coleman
Yessica Martinez
Susan Slater
Rick Weyrich

This AGENDA contains a brief general description of each item to be considered. The posting of the recommended actions does not indicate what action may be taken. If comments come to the Beautification Commission Meeting without prior notice and are not listed on the AGENDA, no specific answers or response should be expected at this meeting per State law.

PUBLIC COMMENT: Members of the Public may provide public comments during the Beautification Commission Meeting via ZOOM:

(<https://ZoomRegular.Cityofvallejo.net>)

Option to join by phone

Dial (669) 900-6833

Enter Meeting ID: 914 0075 0676#

Press *9 to digitally raise your hand from the phone.

For additional instructions on how to speak during public comment, please visit, www.cityofvallejo.net/publiccomment

VIEW THE MEETING:

There are three different ways you can view this public meeting:

- Watch Vallejo local channel 28
- Stream from the City website: www.cityofvallejo.net/Streaming
- Join the Zoom webinar: <https://zoomregular.cityofvallejo.net>

Notice of Availability of Public Records: All public records relating to an open session item, which are not exempt from disclosure pursuant to the Public Records Act, that are distributed to a majority of the City Council will be available for public inspection at the City Clerk's Office, 555 Santa Clara Street, Vallejo, CA at the same time that the public records are distributed or made available to the City Council. Such documents may also be available on the City of Vallejo website subject to staff's ability to post the documents prior to the meeting. Information may be obtained by calling (707) 648-4527, TDD (707) 649-3562.

Want more City Information - Members of the public can:

Like us on Facebook (www.facebook.com/cityofvallejo)

Sign up to receive City Communications via e-mail (www.cityofvallejo.net/living/connect)

Sign up to receive City updates and get connected with your neighbors on Nextdoor (www.nextdoor.com)

Due to the Coronavirus emergency, all board and commission meetings will be held via teleconference. Members of the public may provide public comments during the Beautification Commission Meeting via ZOOM. Members of the public interested in participating or observing the meeting may either click the link above or type the link into an internet browser. You will be taken to the internet platform where the meeting is being held.

**CALL AND NOTICE OF MEETING
AT 6:00PM
OF THE BEAUTIFICATION COMMISSION VIA VIDEO CONFERENCE**

NOVEMBER 4, 2020

1. CALL TO ORDER

2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE – Chair Chappell

3. ROLL CALL

4. COMMUNICATIONS & CORRESPONDENCE

5. COMMUNITY FORUM

Due to the Coronavirus emergency, all board and commission meetings will be held via teleconference. Members of the public may provide public comments during the Beautification Commission Meeting via ZOOM. Members of the public interested in participating or observing the meeting may either click the link above or type the link into an internet browser. You will be taken to the internet platform where the meeting is being held. To make comments via Zoom please visit:

<https://ZoomRegular.Cityofvallejo.net>

Option to join by phone:

Dial (669) 900-6833

Enter Meeting ID: 914 0075 0676#

Press *9 to digitally raise your hand on the phone.

6. PRESENTATIONS – Jennifer Kaiser, Public Information Officer, Vallejo Flood and Wastewater District

7. CITY COUNCIL LIASION REPORT

8. AGENDA APPROVAL

1. **Recommendation:** By motion, approve the Agenda for Nov. 4, 2020

9. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

1. **Recommendation:**
By motion, approve the Regular Meeting Minutes from Oct. 7, 2020

10. NEW BUSINESS

- A. [Commission Charter Review](#)

11. COMMITTEE / ACTIVITY REPORTS

A. Comprehensive Calendar Events – Secretary Loughmiller

- October Clean Up Events
 - Mapping Project
 - CalTrans Work Order Request [Link](#)

B. Beautify Vallejo Ad Hoc Committee Update – Commissioners Angel, Martinez, Slater

12. OLD BUSINESS

1. Recognition Program for Neighborhoods, Homes, and Commercial Building Ad Hoc Committee Update
2. Adopt-A-Block AdHoc Committee Update

13. FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS –

1. Review and discuss items on the Future Agenda List
 - Presentation Requests

14. **NEXT MEETING DATE:** December 2, 2020

15. **ADJOURNMENT**

I, Shelee Loughmiller, Secretary, do hereby certify that I have caused a true copy of the above notice and agenda to be delivered to each of the members of the Vallejo Beautification Commission, at the time and in the manner prescribed by law and that this agenda was posted at City Hall, 555 Santa Clara Street, CA at 11:30 a.m. Friday, October 30, 2020.

Dated: Friday October 30, 2020.

Shelee Loughmiller, Executive Secretary

- **Chapter 2.39 - BEAUTIFICATION COMMISSION**

[SHARE LINK TO SECTIONPRINT SECTIONDOWNLOAD \(DOCX\) OF SECTIONEMAIL SECTIONCOMPARE VERSIONS](#)

- **2.39.010 - Creation.**

[SHARE LINK TO SECTIONPRINT SECTIONDOWNLOAD \(DOCX\) OF SECTIONEMAIL SECTIONCOMPARE VERSIONS](#)

Pursuant to Charter [Section 403](#), there is hereby created a beautification commission to support the beautiful appearance of public properties and rights of way in the city of Vallejo.

([Ord. No. 1730 N.C.\(2d\)](#), § 1, 5-24-2016)

- **2.39.020 - Purpose and duties.**

[SHARE LINK TO SECTIONPRINT SECTIONDOWNLOAD \(DOCX\) OF SECTIONEMAIL SECTIONCOMPARE VERSIONS](#)

The city council finds and declares that beautification, the overall appearance of our natural and built environments, is paramount to a healthy, safe and prosperous community.

The duties of the commission are:

A.To promote and improve the quality of life in Vallejo while instilling a sense of pride and personal responsibility for our residents.

B.To support departmental efforts through community involvement while enhancing the physical and natural environments of our community.

C.To engage, work with, and support the community through beautification programs and recognition.

D.To advise the city council on policies and programs to support improvements to the beauty of public properties and public rights of way in the city of Vallejo.

E.To support programs that support the enhancement of the appearance of infrastructure and landscaping of public properties and public rights of way in the city of Vallejo.

F.If requested by other city of Vallejo commissions, provide input on projects that include beautification of public properties and public rights of way in the city of Vallejo.

([Ord. No. 1730 N.C.\(2d\)](#), § 1, 5-24-2016; [Ord. No. 1737 N.C.\(2d\)](#), § 1, 7-26-2016)

- **2.39.030 - Membership.**

[SHARE LINK TO SECTIONPRINT SECTIONDOWNLOAD \(DOCX\) OF SECTIONSEMAIL SECTIONCOMPARE VERSIONS](#)

A. The board shall consist of seven members appointed by the city council.

([Ord. No. 1730 N.C.\(2d\)](#) , § 1, 5-24-2016; Ord. No. [1786 N.C. \(2d\)](#) , § 1, 4-10-2018)

- **2.39.040 - Term of office.**

[SHARE LINK TO SECTIONPRINT SECTIONDOWNLOAD \(DOCX\) OF SECTIONSEMAIL SECTIONCOMPARE VERSIONS](#)

A. The term of office for each commission member shall be four years.

B. The initial terms of commission members first appointed shall be staggered as follows: two for the term of four years; two for the term of three years; one for the term of two years. To arrange this result, the city council shall specify terms of members first appointed. Beginning 2018, with the expansion of the commission from five members to seven members, the terms of the two added commissioners shall be staggered, one for the term of four years, and one for the term of three years. To arrange this result, the city council shall specify terms of the two members appointed.

([Ord. No. 1730 N.C.\(2d\)](#) , § 1, 5-24-2016; Ord. No. [1786 N.C. \(2d\)](#) , § 2, 4-10-2018)

- **2.39.050 - Termination of membership.**

[SHARE LINK TO SECTIONPRINT SECTIONDOWNLOAD \(DOCX\) OF SECTIONSEMAIL SECTIONCOMPARE VERSIONS](#)

Membership shall terminate prior to the end of a term of office in the event of:

A.Death;

B.Resignation;

C. Forfeiture of office in accordance with [Chapter 2.26](#) of this code; and

D.Removal shall be governed by [Section 407](#) of the City Charter.

- **2.39.060 - Filling of vacancy.**

[SHARE LINK TO SECTIONPRINT SECTIONDOWNLOAD \(DOCX\) OF SECTIONSEMAIL SECTIONCOMPARE VERSIONS](#)

A vacancy shall be filled in the same manner as the original appointment. If an appointment is made due to an expired term, it shall be made for a new full term. Otherwise, the appointment shall be for the unexpired portion of the term.

([Ord. No. 1730 N.C.\(2d\)](#) , § 1, 5-24-2016)

- **2.39.070 - Officers.**

[SHARE LINK TO SECTIONPRINT SECTIONDOWNLOAD \(DOCX\) OF SECTIONEMAIL SECTIONCOMPARE VERSIONS](#)

A. The commission members shall elect a chairperson and a vice-chairperson from among its members. Each shall serve a term of one year and until a successor is appointed and takes office. The City Manager's designee shall serve as nonvoting secretary to the commission.

B. The chairperson or, in the chairperson's absence, the vice-chairperson, shall preside at all meetings of the commission. If both the chairperson and vice-chairperson are absent from a meeting or abstain from participation, the remaining voting members shall elect a temporary chairperson. They shall perform the duties necessary or incidental to their offices.

([Ord. No. 1730 N.C.\(2d\)](#) , § 1, 5-24-2016)

- **2.39.080 - Compensation.**

[SHARE LINK TO SECTIONPRINT SECTIONDOWNLOAD \(DOCX\) OF SECTIONEMAIL SECTIONCOMPARE VERSIONS](#)

Each commission member shall serve without compensation, unless otherwise provided by ordinance or resolution adopted by the city council.

([Ord. No. 1730 N.C.\(2d\)](#) , § 1, 5-24-2016)

- **2.39.090 - Meetings and quorum.**

[SHARE LINK TO SECTIONPRINT SECTIONDOWNLOAD \(DOCX\) OF SECTIONEMAIL SECTIONCOMPARE VERSIONS](#)

A. The commission shall meet no less than quarterly and fix the time and place of its regular meetings and may hold special meetings in the manner prescribed by state law.

B. Three voting members of the commission constitute a quorum for the transaction of business. An affirmative vote of at least three members is required to take official action. A tie vote shall have the same effect as a denial.

([Ord. No. 1730 N.C.\(2d\)](#) , § 1, 5-24-2016)

2.39.100 - Rules and records.

[SHARE LINK TO SECTION](#)[PRINT SECTION](#)[DOWNLOAD \(DOCX\) OF SECTION](#)[EMAIL SECTION](#)[COMPARE VERSIONS](#)

The commission may adopt rules and procedures for the transaction of its business. The commission secretary shall keep a record of all determinations, findings and actions of the commission.

([Ord. No. 1730 N.C.\(2d\)](#), § 1, 5-24-2016)

[2.38.040 - General rules and regulations.](#)

11. ADJOURNMENT

Moved by Commissioner Duguette, seconded by Commissioner Coleman and the motion carried unanimously to adjourn the meeting at 6:34 p.m.

BRIAN DUQUETTE, VICE CHAIR

ATTEST:

_____,
SHELEE LOUGHMILLER, SECRETARY

ORDINANCE NO. 1819 N.C. (2d)

**AMENDING MUNICIPAL CODE CHAPTER 2.02 RELATED
TO DECORUM AT PUBLIC MEETINGS**

SECTION 1. Section 2.02.190 of the Vallejo Municipal Code is hereby amended to read as follows:

2.02.190 – Rules of Decorum at Public Meetings.

- A. The purpose of these rules is to promote orderly meetings and respectful communications so that government business can be discussed and conducted in an atmosphere of respect and collaboration rather than one marked by divisiveness or antagonism.
- B. These rules of decorum shall apply at all meetings of the city council and at all public meetings of the city of Vallejo (hereinafter "Public Meetings") including those conducted by any commission, committee or board appointed by the city council.
- C. City appointed and elected officials, members of the public and city staff will observe these rules of decorum at Public Meetings:
 - 1. No person participating in or attending a Public Meeting shall engage in disorderly or boisterous conduct, including but not limited to applause, whistling, stamping of feet, booing, or making any loud, threatening, profane, abusive, personal, impertinent, or slanderous utterance that disturbs, disrupts, or otherwise impedes the orderly conduct of the meeting.
 - 2. All remarks by members of the public shall be addressed to the Mayor or the Chair (hereinafter "Presiding Officer") and not to any other member of the public or to any single Council, Board or Commission Member unless in response to a question from that Member.
 - 3. Signs, placards, banners, or other similar items shall not be permitted in the audience during a Public Meeting if the presence of such item obstructs the view of members of the public or disturbs, disrupts or otherwise impedes the orderly conduct of the meeting.
 - 4. All persons attending a Public Meeting shall remain seated in the seats provided, unless addressing the body at the podium or entering or leaving the meeting.
 - 5. All persons attending a Public Meeting shall obey any lawful order of the Presiding Officer to enforce the Rules of Decorum.
 - 6. No person shall approach a councilmember while the council is in session, unless specifically requested to do so by the Presiding Officer. Any message to, or contact with a councilmember while the council is in session shall be made through the city clerk.

SECTION 2. Section 2.02.220 of the Vallejo Municipal Code is hereby deleted in its entirety and a new 2.02.220 is enacted to read as follows:

2.02.220 - Enforcement of the Rules of Decorum.

- A. The Presiding Officer shall take all action reasonably necessary to preserve order at all times and uniformly enforce the Rules of Decorum at the Public Meeting.
- B. In the event that any person breaches the Rules of Decorum in a manner that disturbs, disrupts, or otherwise impedes the orderly conduct of the meeting, the Presiding Officer shall order that person to cease the offending conduct.
- C. If any person continues to breach the Rules of Decorum in a manner that disturbs, disrupts, or otherwise impedes the orderly conduct of the meeting following an order from the Presiding Officer to cease the offending conduct, the Presiding Officer may order that

- person to leave the Public Meeting.
- D. If any person refuses to leave the Public Meeting following an order from the Presiding Officer to do so, the Presiding Officer may order any law enforcement officer on duty to remove that person from the Public Meeting.
- E. In the event that any meeting is willfully interrupted by a group or groups of persons so as to render the orderly conduct of such meeting unfeasible and order cannot be restored by the removal of individuals who are willfully interrupting the meeting, the members of the legislative body conducting the meeting may order the meeting room cleared and continue in session. Only matters appearing on the agenda may be considered in such a session. Representatives of the press or other news media, except those participating in the disturbance, shall be allowed to attend any session held pursuant to this section. The Council may vote to readmit an individual or individuals not responsible for willfully disturbing the orderly conduct of the meeting.

SECTION 3. Severability.

If any section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase of work of this Ordinance is for any reason held to be invalid by a court of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this Ordinance. The City Council hereby declares that it would have passed and adopted this Ordinance, and each and all provisions hereof, irrespective of the fact that one or more provisions may be declared invalid.

SECTION 4. Effective Date.

This Ordinance shall take effect and be in full force and effect thirty (30) days from and after its final passage.

First read at a regular meeting of the Council of the City of Vallejo held on the 10th day of September 2019 and finally adopted at a regular meeting of the Council of the City of Vallejo on the 24th day of September 2019 by the following vote:

AYES: Mayor Sampayan, Vice Mayor Dew, Councilmembers Brown, McConnell,
Miessner, Sunga and Verder-Aliga
NOES: None
ABSENT: None
ABSTAIN: None



BOB SAMPAYAN, MAYOR

ATTEST:



DAWN G. ABRAHAMSON, CITY CLERK

Rosenberg's Rules of Order Cheat Sheet

For City of Vallejo Commissions

1. **Nothing gets discussed without a motion being on the floor.**
2. A member signals for recognition (asks for the floor) and the chair recognizes the member by name.
3. The member makes the motion: I move that.....

Motion to:	You say	Interrupt?	Second Needed*?	Debatable?	Amendable?	Vote needed
THE BASIC: Approve	I Move that we approve the resolution...	No, wait to be recognized.	Yes* But seconds are not absolutely required	Yes	Yes	Majority
THE BASIC: Deny	I Move that we deny the appeal...	No, wait to be recognized	Yes	Yes	Yes	Majority
THE MOTION TO AMEND:	I Move that the motion be amended by...(or) I Move that we amend the motion [to approve] by adding.....	No, wait to be recognized	Yes	Yes	Yes	Majority
●...“Friendly” amendment	I’d like to suggest a friendly amendment...	No, wait to be recognized	Yes	Yes	Yes	Majority
●...THE SUBSTITUTE MOTION	I Move a substitute motion....	No, wait to be recognized	Yes	Yes	Yes	Majority

4. Another member seconds the motion “I second”.
5. The Chair states the motion: “It is moved and seconded that...Are you ready for the question?”
6. Members debate the motion...each member must obtain the floor before speaking, with the member who made the motion usually going first debate is confined to the merits of the motion.
7. The chair puts the motion to a vote: “Are you ready for the question?” If no one rises to claim the floor the chair proceeds to take the vote. “The question is on the adoption of the motion that... Those who are in favor say “aye” ...Those who are opposed say ‘nay’”.
8. The chair announces the result of the vote.

OTHER MOTIONS...

Motion to:	You say (examples)	Interrupt?	Second Needed*?	Debatable?	Amendable?	Vote needed
Adjourn	I move that we adjourn	No	Yes	No	No	Majority
Recess	I move that we recess until....	No	Yes	No	Yes	Majority
Fix the time to adjourn	I move that we adjourn this meeting at 11:00pm tonight.	No	Yes	No	Yes	Majority
Table	I move that we table this item until our next quarterly meeting	No	Yes	No	Yes	Majority
Limit debate	I move the question Or just "question" Or I move that we limit debate on this item to 15 minutes	No	Yes	No	Yes	2/3
Reconsider -at the meeting where the item was first voted upon (unless the rules are suspended) -made by a member who voted in the majority.	I move to reconsider the (approval of xxx)	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	2/3
Point of Privilege <i>discomfort</i>	You say "point of privilege" Chair says: "state your point" You say "it's too loud in here, I can't hear the speaker " (for example)	Yes	No	No	No	Chair decides
Point of Order <i>Appropriate conduct</i>	You say "point of order" Chair says: "state your point" You say " the speaker is personally attacking the commissioner" Chair says "the speaker will refrain from personally attacking the commissioner..."	Yes	No	No	No	Chair decides
Appealing the Chair's Ruling	I appeal the chair's ruling that it is <i>not</i> too loud in here....	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Majority
Withdrawing your motion	I withdraw my motion	Yes	No	No	No	None



Rosenberg's Rules of Order

REVISED 2011

Simple Rules of Parliamentary Procedure for the 21st Century

By Judge Dave Rosenberg



MISSION AND CORE BELIEFS

To expand and protect local control for cities through education and advocacy to enhance the quality of life for all Californians.

VISION

To be recognized and respected as the leading advocate for the common interests of California's cities.

About the League of California Cities

Established in 1898, the League of California Cities is a member organization that represents California's incorporated cities. The League strives to protect the local authority and autonomy of city government and help California's cities effectively serve their residents. In addition to advocating on cities' behalf at the state capitol, the League provides its members with professional development programs and information resources, conducts education conferences and research, and publishes Western City magazine.

© 2011 League of California Cities. All rights reserved.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Dave Rosenberg is a Superior Court Judge in Yolo County. He has served as presiding judge of his court, and as presiding judge of the Superior Court Appellate Division. He also has served as chair of the Trial Court Presiding Judges Advisory Committee (the committee composed of all 58 California presiding judges) and as an advisory member of the California Judicial Council. Prior to his appointment to the bench, Rosenberg was member of the Yolo County Board of Supervisors, where he served two terms as chair. Rosenberg also served on the Davis City Council, including two terms as mayor. He has served on the senior staff of two governors, and worked for 19 years in private law practice. Rosenberg has served as a member and chair of numerous state, regional and local boards. Rosenberg chaired the California State Lottery Commission, the California Victim Compensation and Government Claims Board, the Yolo-Solano Air Quality Management District, the Yolo County Economic Development Commission, and the Yolo County Criminal Justice Cabinet. For many years, he has taught classes on parliamentary procedure and has served as parliamentarian for large and small bodies.




TABLE OF CONTENTS

About the Author	ii
Introduction	2
Establishing a Quorum	2
The Role of the Chair	2
The Basic Format for an Agenda Item Discussion	2
Motions in General	3
The Three Basic Motions	3
Multiple Motions Before the Body	4
To Debate or Not to Debate	4
Majority and Super-Majority Votes	5
Counting Votes	5
The Motion to Reconsider	6
Courtesy and Decorum	7
Special Notes About Public Input	7



INTRODUCTION

The rules of procedure at meetings should be simple enough for most people to understand. Unfortunately, that has not always been the case. Virtually all clubs, associations, boards, councils and bodies follow a set of rules — *Robert's Rules of Order* — which are embodied in a small, but complex, book. Virtually no one I know has actually read this book cover to cover. Worse yet, the book was written for another time and for another purpose. If one is chairing or running a parliament, then *Robert's Rules of Order* is a dandy and quite useful handbook for procedure in that complex setting. On the other hand, if one is running a meeting of say, a five-member body with a few members of the public in attendance, a simplified version of the rules of parliamentary procedure is in order.

Hence, the birth of *Rosenberg's Rules of Order*.

What follows is my version of the rules of parliamentary procedure, based on my decades of experience chairing meetings in state and local government. These rules have been simplified for the smaller bodies we chair or in which we participate, slimmed down for the 21st Century, yet retaining the basic tenets of order to which we have grown accustomed. Interestingly enough, *Rosenberg's Rules* has found a welcoming audience. Hundreds of cities, counties, special districts, committees, boards, commissions, neighborhood associations and private corporations and companies have adopted *Rosenberg's Rules* in lieu of *Robert's Rules* because they have found them practical, logical, simple, easy to learn and user friendly.

This treatise on modern parliamentary procedure is built on a foundation supported by the following four pillars:

1. **Rules should establish order.** The first purpose of rules of parliamentary procedure is to establish a framework for the orderly conduct of meetings.
2. **Rules should be clear.** Simple rules lead to wider understanding and participation. Complex rules create two classes: those who understand and participate; and those who do not fully understand and do not fully participate.
3. **Rules should be user friendly.** That is, the rules must be simple enough that the public is invited into the body and feels that it has participated in the process.
4. **Rules should enforce the will of the majority while protecting the rights of the minority.** The ultimate purpose of rules of procedure is to encourage discussion and to facilitate decision making by the body. In a democracy, majority rules. The rules must enable the majority to express itself and fashion a result, while permitting the minority to also express itself, but not dominate, while fully participating in the process.

Establishing a Quorum

The starting point for a meeting is the establishment of a quorum. A quorum is defined as the minimum number of members of the body who must be present at a meeting for business to be legally transacted. The default rule is that a quorum is one more than half the body. For example, in a five-member body a quorum is three. When the body has three members present, it can legally transact business. If the body has less than a quorum of members present, it cannot legally transact business. And even if the body has a quorum to begin the meeting, the body can lose the quorum during the meeting when a member departs (or even when a member leaves the dais). When that occurs the body loses its ability to transact business until and unless a quorum is reestablished.

The default rule, identified above, however, gives way to a specific rule of the body that establishes a quorum. For example, the rules of a particular five-member body may indicate that a quorum is four members for that particular body. The body must follow the rules it has established for its quorum. In the absence of such a specific rule, the quorum is one more than half the members of the body.

The Role of the Chair

While all members of the body should know and understand the rules of parliamentary procedure, it is the chair of the body who is charged with applying the rules of conduct of the meeting. The chair should be well versed in those rules. For all intents and purposes, the chair makes the final ruling on the rules every time the chair states an action. In fact, all decisions by the chair are final unless overruled by the body itself.

Since the chair runs the conduct of the meeting, it is usual courtesy for the chair to play a less active role in the debate and discussion than other members of the body. This does not mean that the chair should not participate in the debate or discussion. To the contrary, as a member of the body, the chair has the full right to participate in the debate, discussion and decision-making of the body. What the chair should do, however, is strive to be the last to speak at the discussion and debate stage. The chair should not make or second a motion unless the chair is convinced that no other member of the body will do so at that point in time.

The Basic Format for an Agenda Item Discussion

Formal meetings normally have a written, often published agenda. Informal meetings may have only an oral or understood agenda. In either case, the meeting is governed by the agenda and the agenda constitutes the body's agreed-upon roadmap for the meeting. Each agenda item can be handled by the chair in the following basic format:



First, the chair should clearly announce the agenda item number and should clearly state what the agenda item subject is. The chair should then announce the format (which follows) that will be followed in considering the agenda item.

Second, following that agenda format, the chair should invite the appropriate person or persons to report on the item, including any recommendation that they might have. The appropriate person or persons may be the chair, a member of the body, a staff person, or a committee chair charged with providing input on the agenda item.

Third, the chair should ask members of the body if they have any technical questions of clarification. At this point, members of the body may ask clarifying questions to the person or persons who reported on the item, and that person or persons should be given time to respond.

Fourth, the chair should invite public comments, or if appropriate at a formal meeting, should open the public meeting for public input. If numerous members of the public indicate a desire to speak to the subject, the chair may limit the time of public speakers. At the conclusion of the public comments, the chair should announce that public input has concluded (or the public hearing, as the case may be, is closed).

Fifth, the chair should invite a motion. The chair should announce the name of the member of the body who makes the motion.

Sixth, the chair should determine if any member of the body wishes to second the motion. The chair should announce the name of the member of the body who seconds the motion. It is normally good practice for a motion to require a second before proceeding to ensure that it is not just one member of the body who is interested in a particular approach. However, a second is not an absolute requirement, and the chair can proceed with consideration and vote on a motion even when there is no second. This is a matter left to the discretion of the chair.

Seventh, if the motion is made and seconded, the chair should make sure everyone understands the motion.

This is done in one of three ways:

1. The chair can ask the maker of the motion to repeat it;
2. The chair can repeat the motion; or
3. The chair can ask the secretary or the clerk of the body to repeat the motion.

Eighth, the chair should now invite discussion of the motion by the body. If there is no desired discussion, or after the discussion has ended, the chair should announce that the body will vote on the motion. If there has been no discussion or very brief discussion, then the vote on the motion should proceed immediately and there is no need to repeat the motion. If there has been substantial discussion, then it is normally best to make sure everyone understands the motion by repeating it.

Ninth, the chair takes a vote. Simply asking for the “ayes” and then asking for the “nays” normally does this. If members of the body do not vote, then they “abstain.” Unless the rules of the body provide otherwise (or unless a super majority is required as delineated later in these rules), then a simple majority (as defined in law or the rules of the body as delineated later in these rules) determines whether the motion passes or is defeated.

Tenth, the chair should announce the result of the vote and what action (if any) the body has taken. In announcing the result, the chair should indicate the names of the members of the body, if any, who voted in the minority on the motion. This announcement might take the following form: “The motion passes by a vote of 3-2, with Smith and Jones dissenting. We have passed the motion requiring a 10-day notice for all future meetings of this body.”

Motions in General

Motions are the vehicles for decision making by a body. It is usually best to have a motion before the body prior to commencing discussion of an agenda item. This helps the body focus.

Motions are made in a simple two-step process. First, the chair should recognize the member of the body. Second, the member of the body makes a motion by preceding the member’s desired approach with the words “I move ... ”

A typical motion might be: “I move that we give a 10-day notice in the future for all our meetings.”

The chair usually initiates the motion in one of three ways:

1. **Inviting the members of the body to make a motion**, for example, “A motion at this time would be in order.”
2. **Suggesting a motion to the members of the body**, “A motion would be in order that we give a 10-day notice in the future for all our meetings.”
3. **Making the motion**. As noted, the chair has every right as a member of the body to make a motion, but should normally do so only if the chair wishes to make a motion on an item but is convinced that no other member of the body is willing to step forward to do so at a particular time.

The Three Basic Motions

There are three motions that are the most common and recur often at meetings:

The basic motion. The basic motion is the one that puts forward a decision for the body’s consideration. A basic motion might be: “I move that we create a five-member committee to plan and put on our annual fundraiser.”



The motion to amend. If a member wants to change a basic motion that is before the body, they would move to amend it. A motion to amend might be: “I move that we amend the motion to have a 10-member committee.” A motion to amend takes the basic motion that is before the body and seeks to change it in some way.

The substitute motion. If a member wants to completely do away with the basic motion that is before the body, and put a new motion before the body, they would move a substitute motion. A substitute motion might be: “I move a substitute motion that we cancel the annual fundraiser this year.”

“Motions to amend” and “substitute motions” are often confused, but they are quite different, and their effect (if passed) is quite different. A motion to amend seeks to retain the basic motion on the floor, but modify it in some way. A substitute motion seeks to throw out the basic motion on the floor, and substitute a new and different motion for it. The decision as to whether a motion is really a “motion to amend” or a “substitute motion” is left to the chair. So if a member makes what that member calls a “motion to amend,” but the chair determines that it is really a “substitute motion,” then the chair’s designation governs.

A “friendly amendment” is a practical parliamentary tool that is simple, informal, saves time and avoids bogging a meeting down with numerous formal motions. It works in the following way: In the discussion on a pending motion, it may appear that a change to the motion is desirable or may win support for the motion from some members. When that happens, a member who has the floor may simply say, “I want to suggest a friendly amendment to the motion.” The member suggests the friendly amendment, and if the maker and the person who seconded the motion pending on the floor accepts the friendly amendment, that now becomes the pending motion on the floor. If either the maker or the person who seconded rejects the proposed friendly amendment, then the proposer can formally move to amend.

Multiple Motions Before the Body

There can be up to three motions on the floor at the same time. The chair can reject a fourth motion until the chair has dealt with the three that are on the floor and has resolved them. This rule has practical value. More than three motions on the floor at any given time is confusing and unwieldy for almost everyone, including the chair.

When there are two or three motions on the floor (after motions and seconds) at the same time, the vote should proceed *first* on the *last* motion that is made. For example, assume the first motion is a basic “motion to have a five-member committee to plan and put on our annual fundraiser.” During the discussion of this motion, a member might make a second motion to “amend the main motion to have a 10-member committee, not a five-member committee to plan and put on our annual fundraiser.” And perhaps, during that discussion, a member makes yet a third motion as a “substitute motion that we not have an annual fundraiser this year.” The proper procedure would be as follows:

First, the chair would deal with the *third* (the last) motion on the floor, the substitute motion. After discussion and debate, a vote would be taken first on the third motion. If the substitute motion *passed*, it would be a substitute for the basic motion and would eliminate it. The first motion would be moot, as would the second motion (which sought to amend the first motion), and the action on the agenda item would be completed on the passage by the body of the third motion (the substitute motion). No vote would be taken on the first or second motions.

Second, if the substitute motion *failed*, the chair would then deal with the second (now the last) motion on the floor, the motion to amend. The discussion and debate would focus strictly on the amendment (should the committee be five or 10 members). If the motion to amend *passed*, the chair would then move to consider the main motion (the first motion) as *amended*. If the motion to amend *failed*, the chair would then move to consider the main motion (the first motion) in its original format, not amended.

Third, the chair would now deal with the first motion that was placed on the floor. The original motion would either be in its original format (five-member committee), or if *amended*, would be in its amended format (10-member committee). The question on the floor for discussion and decision would be whether a committee should plan and put on the annual fundraiser.

To Debate or Not to Debate

The basic rule of motions is that they are subject to discussion and debate. Accordingly, basic motions, motions to amend, and substitute motions are all eligible, each in their turn, for full discussion before and by the body. The debate can continue as long as members of the body wish to discuss an item, subject to the decision of the chair that it is time to move on and take action.

There are exceptions to the general rule of free and open debate on motions. The exceptions all apply when there is a desire of the body to move on. The following motions are not debatable (that is, when the following motions are made and seconded, the chair must immediately call for a vote of the body without debate on the motion):

Motion to adjourn. This motion, if passed, requires the body to immediately adjourn to its next regularly scheduled meeting. It requires a simple majority vote.

Motion to recess. This motion, if passed, requires the body to immediately take a recess. Normally, the chair determines the length of the recess which may be a few minutes or an hour. It requires a simple majority vote.

Motion to fix the time to adjourn. This motion, if passed, requires the body to adjourn the meeting at the specific time set in the motion. For example, the motion might be: “I move we adjourn this meeting at midnight.” It requires a simple majority vote.



Motion to table. This motion, if passed, requires discussion of the agenda item to be halted and the agenda item to be placed on “hold.” The motion can contain a specific time in which the item can come back to the body. “I move we table this item until our regular meeting in October.” Or the motion can contain no specific time for the return of the item, in which case a motion to take the item off the table and bring it back to the body will have to be taken at a future meeting. A motion to table an item (or to bring it back to the body) requires a simple majority vote.

Motion to limit debate. The most common form of this motion is to say, “I move the previous question” or “I move the question” or “I call the question” or sometimes someone simply shouts out “question.” As a practical matter, when a member calls out one of these phrases, the chair can expedite matters by treating it as a “request” rather than as a formal motion. The chair can simply inquire of the body, “any further discussion?” If no one wishes to have further discussion, then the chair can go right to the pending motion that is on the floor. However, if even one person wishes to discuss the pending motion further, then at that point, the chair should treat the call for the “question” as a formal motion, and proceed to it.

When a member of the body makes such a motion (“I move the previous question”), the member is really saying: “I’ve had enough debate. Let’s get on with the vote.” When such a motion is made, the chair should ask for a second, stop debate, and vote on the motion to limit debate. The motion to limit debate requires a two-thirds vote of the body.

NOTE: A motion to limit debate could include a time limit. For example: “I move we limit debate on this agenda item to 15 minutes.” Even in this format, the motion to limit debate requires a two-thirds vote of the body. A similar motion is a *motion to object to consideration of an item*. This motion is not debatable, and if passed, precludes the body from even considering an item on the agenda. It also requires a two-thirds vote.

Majority and Super Majority Votes

In a democracy, a simple majority vote determines a question. A tie vote means the motion fails. So in a seven-member body, a vote of 4-3 passes the motion. A vote of 3-3 with one abstention means the motion fails. If one member is absent and the vote is 3-3, the motion still fails.

All motions require a simple majority, but there are a few exceptions. The exceptions come up when the body is taking an action which effectively cuts off the ability of a minority of the body to take an action or discuss an item. These extraordinary motions require a two-thirds majority (a super majority) to pass:

Motion to limit debate. Whether a member says, “I move the previous question,” or “I move the question,” or “I call the question,” or “I move to limit debate,” it all amounts to an attempt to cut off the ability of the minority to discuss an item, and it requires a two-thirds vote to pass.

Motion to close nominations. When choosing officers of the body (such as the chair), nominations are in order either from a nominating committee or from the floor of the body. A motion to close nominations effectively cuts off the right of the minority to nominate officers and it requires a two-thirds vote to pass.

Motion to object to the consideration of a question. Normally, such a motion is unnecessary since the objectionable item can be tabled or defeated straight up. However, when members of a body do not even want an item on the agenda to be considered, then such a motion is in order. It is not debatable, and it requires a two-thirds vote to pass.

Motion to suspend the rules. This motion is debatable, but requires a two-thirds vote to pass. If the body has its own rules of order, conduct or procedure, this motion allows the body to suspend the rules for a particular purpose. For example, the body (a private club) might have a rule prohibiting the attendance at meetings by non-club members. A motion to suspend the rules would be in order to allow a non-club member to attend a meeting of the club on a particular date or on a particular agenda item.

Counting Votes

The matter of counting votes starts simple, but can become complicated.

Usually, it’s pretty easy to determine whether a particular motion passed or whether it was defeated. If a simple majority vote is needed to pass a motion, then one vote more than 50 percent of the body is required. For example, in a five-member body, if the vote is three in favor and two opposed, the motion passes. If it is two in favor and three opposed, the motion is defeated.

If a two-thirds majority vote is needed to pass a motion, then how many affirmative votes are required? The simple rule of thumb is to count the “no” votes and double that count to determine how many “yes” votes are needed to pass a particular motion. For example, in a seven-member body, if two members vote “no” then the “yes” vote of at least four members is required to achieve a two-thirds majority vote to pass the motion.

What about tie votes? In the event of a tie, the motion always fails since an affirmative vote is required to pass any motion. For example, in a five-member body, if the vote is two in favor and two opposed, with one member absent, the motion is defeated.

Vote counting starts to become complicated when members vote “abstain” or in the case of a written ballot, cast a blank (or unreadable) ballot. Do these votes count, and if so, how does one count them? The starting point is always to check the statutes.

In California, for example, for an action of a board of supervisors to be valid and binding, the action must be approved by a majority of the board. (California Government Code Section 25005.) Typically, this means three of the five members of the board must vote affirmatively in favor of the action. A vote of 2-1 would not be sufficient. A vote of 3-0 with two abstentions would be sufficient. In general law cities in



California, as another example, resolutions or orders for the payment of money and all ordinances require a recorded vote of the total members of the city council. (California Government Code Section 36936.) Cities with charters may prescribe their own vote requirements. Local elected officials are always well-advised to consult with their local agency counsel on how state law may affect the vote count.

After consulting state statutes, step number two is to check the rules of the body. If the rules of the body say that you count votes of “those present” then you treat abstentions one way. However, if the rules of the body say that you count the votes of those “present and voting,” then you treat abstentions a different way. And if the rules of the body are silent on the subject, then the general rule of thumb (and default rule) is that you count all votes that are “present and voting.”

Accordingly, under the “present and voting” system, you would **NOT** count abstention votes on the motion. Members who abstain are counted for purposes of determining quorum (they are “present”), but you treat the abstention votes on the motion as if they did not exist (they are not “voting”). On the other hand, if the rules of the body specifically say that you count votes of those “present” then you **DO** count abstention votes both in establishing the quorum and on the motion. In this event, the abstention votes act just like “no” votes.

How does this work in practice?

Here are a few examples.

Assume that a five-member city council is voting on a motion that requires a simple majority vote to pass, and assume further that the body has no specific rule on counting votes. Accordingly, the default rule kicks in and we count all votes of members that are “present and voting.” If the vote on the motion is 3-2, the motion passes. If the motion is 2-2 with one abstention, the motion fails.

Assume a five-member city council voting on a motion that requires a two-thirds majority vote to pass, and further assume that the body has no specific rule on counting votes. Again, the default rule applies. If the vote is 3-2, the motion fails for lack of a two-thirds majority. If the vote is 4-1, the motion passes with a clear two-thirds majority. A vote of three “yes,” one “no” and one “abstain” also results in passage of the motion. Once again, the abstention is counted only for the purpose of determining quorum, but on the actual vote on the motion, it is as if the abstention vote never existed — so an effective 3-1 vote is clearly a two-thirds majority vote.

Now, change the scenario slightly. Assume the same five-member city council voting on a motion that requires a two-thirds majority vote to pass, but now assume that the body **DOES** have a specific rule requiring a two-thirds vote of members “present.” Under this specific rule, we must count the members present not only for quorum but also for the motion. In this scenario, any abstention has the same force and effect as if it were a “no” vote. Accordingly, if the votes were three “yes,” one “no” and one “abstain,” then the motion fails. The abstention in this case is treated like a “no” vote and effective vote of 3-2 is not enough to pass two-thirds majority muster.

Now, exactly how does a member cast an “abstention” vote?

Any time a member votes “abstain” or says, “I abstain,” that is an abstention. However, if a member votes “present” that is also treated as an abstention (the member is essentially saying, “Count me for purposes of a quorum, but my vote on the issue is abstain.”) In fact, any manifestation of intention not to vote either “yes” or “no” on the pending motion may be treated by the chair as an abstention. If written ballots are cast, a blank or unreadable ballot is counted as an abstention as well.

Can a member vote “absent” or “count me as absent?” Interesting question. The ruling on this is up to the chair. The better approach is for the chair to count this as if the member had left his/her chair and is actually “absent.” That, of course, affects the quorum. However, the chair may also treat this as a vote to abstain, particularly if the person does not actually leave the dais.

The Motion to Reconsider

There is a special and unique motion that requires a bit of explanation all by itself; the motion to reconsider. A tenet of parliamentary procedure is finality. After vigorous discussion, debate and a vote, there must be some closure to the issue. And so, after a vote is taken, the matter is deemed closed, subject only to reopening if a proper motion to consider is made and passed.

A motion to reconsider requires a majority vote to pass like other garden-variety motions, but there are two special rules that apply only to the motion to reconsider.

First, is the matter of timing. A motion to reconsider must be made at the meeting where the item was first voted upon. A motion to reconsider made at a later time is untimely. (The body, however, can always vote to suspend the rules and, by a two-thirds majority, allow a motion to reconsider to be made at another time.)

Second, a motion to reconsider may be made only by certain members of the body. Accordingly, a motion to reconsider may be made only by a member who voted in the majority on the original motion. If such a member has a change of heart, he or she may make the motion to reconsider (any other member of the body — including a member who voted in the minority on the original motion — may second the motion). If a member who voted in the minority seeks to make the motion to reconsider, it must be ruled out of order. The purpose of this rule is finality. If a member of minority could make a motion to reconsider, then the item could be brought back to the body again and again, which would defeat the purpose of finality.

If the motion to reconsider passes, then the original matter is back before the body, and a new original motion is in order. The matter may be discussed and debated as if it were on the floor for the first time.



Courtesy and Decorum

The rules of order are meant to create an atmosphere where the members of the body and the members of the public can attend to business efficiently, fairly and with full participation. At the same time, it is up to the chair and the members of the body to maintain common courtesy and decorum. Unless the setting is very informal, it is always best for only one person at a time to have the floor, and it is always best for every speaker to be first recognized by the chair before proceeding to speak.

The chair should always ensure that debate and discussion of an agenda item focuses on the item and the policy in question, not the personalities of the members of the body. Debate on policy is healthy, debate on personalities is not. The chair has the right to cut off discussion that is too personal, is too loud, or is too crude.

Debate and discussion should be focused, but free and open. In the interest of time, the chair may, however, limit the time allotted to speakers, including members of the body.

Can a member of the body interrupt the speaker? The general rule is “no.” There are, however, exceptions. A speaker may be interrupted for the following reasons:

Privilege. The proper interruption would be, “point of privilege.” The chair would then ask the interrupter to “state your point.” Appropriate points of privilege relate to anything that would interfere with the normal comfort of the meeting. For example, the room may be too hot or too cold, or a blowing fan might interfere with a person’s ability to hear.

Order. The proper interruption would be, “point of order.” Again, the chair would ask the interrupter to “state your point.” Appropriate points of order relate to anything that would not be considered appropriate conduct of the meeting. For example, if the chair moved on to a vote on a motion that permits debate without allowing that discussion or debate.

Appeal. If the chair makes a ruling that a member of the body disagrees with, that member may appeal the ruling of the chair. If the motion is seconded, and after debate, if it passes by a simple majority vote, then the ruling of the chair is deemed reversed.

Call for orders of the day. This is simply another way of saying, “return to the agenda.” If a member believes that the body has drifted from the agreed-upon agenda, such a call may be made. It does not require a vote, and when the chair discovers that the agenda has not been followed, the chair simply reminds the body to return to the agenda item properly before them. If the chair fails to do so, the chair’s determination may be appealed.

Withdraw a motion. During debate and discussion of a motion, the maker of the motion on the floor, at any time, may interrupt a speaker to withdraw his or her motion from the floor. The motion is immediately deemed withdrawn, although the chair may ask the person who seconded the motion if he or she wishes to make the motion, and any other member may make the motion if properly recognized.

Special Notes About Public Input

The rules outlined above will help make meetings very public-friendly. But in addition, and particularly for the chair, it is wise to remember three special rules that apply to each agenda item:

Rule One: Tell the public what the body will be doing.

Rule Two: Keep the public informed while the body is doing it.

Rule Three: When the body has acted, tell the public what the body did.



1400 K Street, Sacramento, CA 95814
(916) 658-8200 | Fax (916) 658-8240
www.cacities.org

To order additional copies of this publication, call (916) 658-8200.

\$10

© 2011 League of California Cities. All rights reserved.

♻️ Printed on recycled paper.



OPEN & PUBLIC IV:

A Guide to the Ralph M. Brown Act

— 2ND EDITION, REVISED JULY 2010 —

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The League thanks the following individuals for their work on this update to the original publication:

EDITORIAL BOARD FOR OPEN & PUBLIC IV

Michael Jenkins, *Chair*

Jenkins & Hogin LLP
City Attorney
Cities of Diamond Bar, Hermosa Beach,
Rolling Hills and West Hollywood

Sonia Rubio Carvalho

Best, Best & Krieger
City Attorney
Cities of Azusa, Claremont, and Yorba Linda

Debra E. Corbett

City Attorney
City of Tracy

Theresa E. Fuentes

Deputy City Attorney
City of Pasadena

Daniel S. Hentschke

General Counsel
San Diego County Water Authority

Gerald L. Hobrecht

City Attorney
City of Vacaville

Hilda Cantú Montoy

Montoy Law Office
City Attorney
City of San Joaquin

Eileen M. Teichert

City Attorney
City of Sacramento

EDITORIAL BOARD FOR OPEN & PUBLIC IV, 2ND EDITION

Michael Jenkins, *Chair*

Jenkins & Hogin LLP
City Attorney
Cities of Diamond Bar, Hermosa Beach,
Rolling Hills and West Hollywood

Lori Barker

City Attorney
City of Chico

Theresa E. Fuentes

Assistant City Attorney
City of Pasadena

Thomas D. Jex

Burke, Williams & Sorensen
Assistant City Attorney
City of Hemet

Martin Koczanowicz

Cota Cole & Associates
City Attorney
City of Grover Beach

Yana Welinder

Colantuono & Levin, PC
Assistant City Attorney
City of Auburn

Paul Zarefsky

Deputy City Attorney
City and County of San Francisco

League staff:

Patrick Whitnell, General Counsel

Kourtney Burdick, Deputy General Counsel

Janet Reynolds, Legal Secretary/Office Manager

The editors thank Manuela Albuquerque, Retired City Attorney, Berkeley; Steven L. Dorsey, Richards, Watson & Gershon; Whitnie Henderson, Association of California Water Agencies; Craig Labadie, City Attorney, Concord; Tom Newton, California Newspaper Publishers Association; Ted Prim, Attorney General's Office; Cindra J. Smith, Community College League of California; and JoAnne Speers, Institute for Local Government, for their invaluable assistance.

CHAPTER 1:

IT IS THE PEOPLE'S BUSINESS



THE RIGHT OF ACCESS

BROAD COVERAGE

NARROW EXEMPTIONS

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION
IN MEETINGS

CONTROVERSY

BEYOND THE LAW—GOOD
BUSINESS PRACTICES

ACHIEVING BALANCE

HISTORICAL NOTE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FOREWORD

CHAPTER 1: IT IS THE PEOPLE'S BUSINESS

The right of access	2
Broad coverage	3
Narrow exemptions.....	3
Public participation in meetings	4
Controversy	4
Beyond the law—good business practices.....	4
Achieving balance	5
Historical note.....	5

CHAPTER 2: LEGISLATIVE BODIES

What is a “legislative body” of a local agency?	8
What is <u>not</u> a “legislative body” for purposes of the Brown Act?	10

CHAPTER 3: MEETINGS

Brown Act meetings.....	14
Six exceptions to the meeting definition	14
Collective briefings	17
Retreats or workshops of legislative bodies	17
Serial meetings	17
Informal gatherings	19
Technological conferencing	19
Location of meetings.....	20

CHAPTER 4: AGENDAS, NOTICES, AND PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

Agendas for regular meetings	24
Mailed agenda upon written request	25
Notice requirements for special meetings.....	25
Notices and agendas for adjourned and continued meetings and hearings	25
Notice requirements for emergency meetings.....	26
Educational agency meetings	26
Notice requirements for tax or assessment meetings and hearings.....	26
Non-agenda items	26
Responding to the public	27
The right to attend and observe meetings.....	28
Records and recordings	29
The public’s place on the agenda	30

CHAPTER 5: CLOSED SESSIONS

Agendas and reports	35
Litigation.....	36
Real estate negotiations.....	37
Public employment.....	38
Labor negotiations.....	40
Labor negotiations—school and community college districts.....	40
Other Education Code exceptions.....	41
Grand jury testimony	41
License applicants with criminal records	41
Public security	41
Multijurisdictional drug law enforcement agency	41
Hospital peer review and trade secrets	42
The confidentiality of closed session discussions	42

CHAPTER 6: REMEDIES

Invalidation.....	46
Civil action to prevent future violations.....	47
Costs and attorney’s fees	47
Criminal complaints.....	47
Voluntary resolution	48

OPEN & PUBLIC IV

A GUIDE TO THE RALPH M. BROWN ACT



CH. 1: IT IS THE PEOPLE'S BUSINESS

CH. 2: LEGISLATIVE BODIES

CH. 3: MEETINGS

CH. 4: AGENDAS, NOTICES, AND
PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

CH. 5: CLOSED SESSIONS

CH. 6: REMEDIES

OPEN & PUBLIC IV:
A GUIDE TO THE RALPH M. BROWN ACT, 2ND EDITION

Revised July 2010



Copyright © 2000, 2007, 2010
League of California Cities

*All rights reserved. This publication, or parts thereof, may not be reproduced in any form
without the permission of the League of California Cities.*

FOREWORD

The goal of this publication is to explain the requirements of the Ralph M. Brown Act, California's open meeting law, in lay language so that it can be readily understood by local government officials and employees, the public and the news media. We offer practical advice—especially in areas where the Brown Act is unclear or has been the subject of controversy—to assist local agencies in complying with the requirements of the law.

A number of organizations representing diverse views and constituencies have contributed to this publication in an effort to make it reflect as broad a consensus as possible among those who daily interpret and implement the Brown Act. The League thanks the following organizations for their contributions:

Association of California Healthcare Districts
Association of California Water Agencies
California Association of Sanitation Agencies (CASA)
California Attorney General—Department of Justice
City Clerks Association of California
California Municipal Utilities Association
California Redevelopment Association
California School Boards Association
California Special Districts Association
California State Association of Counties
Community College League of California
California First Amendment Project
California Newspaper Publishers Association
Common Cause
League of Women Voters of California

This publication is current as of June 2010. Updates to the publication responding to changes in the Brown Act or new court interpretations are available at www.cacities.org/opengovernment.

This publication is not intended to provide legal advice. A public agency's legal counsel is responsible for advising its governing body and staff and should always be consulted when legal issues arise.

To improve the readability of this publication:

- Most text will look like this;
- Practice tips are in the margins;
- **Hypothetical examples are printed in blue; and**
- Frequently asked questions, along with our answers, are in shaded text.

Additional copies of this publication may be purchased by visiting CityBooks online at www.cacities.org/store.

CHAPTER 1:

IT IS THE PEOPLE'S BUSINESS



■ THE RIGHT OF ACCESS

Two key parts of the Brown Act have not changed since its adoption in 1953. One is the Brown Act's initial section, declaring the Legislature's intent:

"In enacting this chapter, the Legislature finds and declares that the public commissions, boards, and councils and the other public agencies in this State exist to aid in the conduct of the people's business. It is the intent of the law that their actions be taken openly and that their deliberations be conducted openly."

"The people of this State do not yield their sovereignty to the agencies which serve them. The people, in delegating authority, do not give their public servants the right to decide what is good for the people to know and what is not good for them to know. The people insist on remaining informed so that they may retain control over the instruments they have created."¹

The people reconfirmed that intent 50 years later in the November 2004 election by adopting Proposition 59, amending the California Constitution to include a public right of access to government information:

"The people have the right of access to information concerning the conduct of the people's business, and, therefore, the meetings of public bodies and the writings of public officials and agencies shall be open to public scrutiny."²

The Brown Act's other unchanged provision is a single sentence:

"All meetings of the legislative body of a local agency shall be open and public, and all persons shall be permitted to attend any meeting of the legislative body of a local agency, except as otherwise provided in this chapter."³

That one sentence is by far the most important of the entire Brown Act. If the opening is the soul, that sentence is the heart of the Brown Act.

Practice Tip:

The key to the Brown Act is a single sentence. In summary, all meetings shall be **open and public** except when the Brown Act authorizes otherwise.

■ BROAD COVERAGE

The Brown Act covers members of virtually every type of local government body, elected or appointed, decision-making or advisory. Some types of private organizations are covered, as are newly-elected members of a legislative body, even before they take office.

Similarly, meetings subject to the Brown Act are not limited to face-to-face gatherings. They also include any communication medium or device through which a majority of a legislative body discusses, deliberates or takes action on an item of business outside of a noticed meeting. They include meetings held from remote locations by teleconference.

New communication technologies present new Brown Act challenges. For example, common e-mail practices of forwarding or replying to messages can easily lead to a serial meeting prohibited by the Brown Act, as can participation by members of a legislative body in an Internet chatroom or blog dialogue. Communicating during meetings using electronic technology (such as laptop computers, personal digital assistants, or cellular telephones) may create the perception that private communications are influencing the outcome of decisions; some state legislatures have banned the practice. On the other hand, widespread cablecasting and web streaming of meetings has greatly expanded public access to the decision-making process.

■ NARROW EXEMPTIONS

The express purpose of the Brown Act is to assure that local government agencies conduct the public's business openly and publicly. Courts and the California Attorney General usually broadly construe the Brown Act in favor of greater public access and narrowly construe exemptions to its general rules.⁴

Generally, public officials should think of themselves as living in glass houses, and that they may only draw the curtains when it is in the public interest to preserve confidentiality. Closed sessions may be held only as specifically authorized by the provisions of the Brown Act itself.

The Brown Act, however, is limited to meetings among a majority of the members of multi-member government bodies when the subject relates to local agency business. It does not apply to independent conduct of individual decision-makers. It does not apply to social, ceremonial, educational, and other gatherings as long as a majority of the members of a body don't discuss issues related to their local agency's business. Meetings of temporary advisory committees—as distinguished from standing committees—made up solely of less than a quorum of a legislative body are not subject to the Brown Act.

The law does not apply to local agency staff or employees, but they may facilitate a violation by acting as a conduit for discussion, deliberation, or action by the legislative body.⁵

The law, on the one hand, recognizes the need of individual local officials to meet and discuss matters with their constituents. On the other hand, it requires—with certain specific exceptions to protect the community and preserve individual rights—that the decision-making process be public. Sometimes the boundary between the two is not easy to draw.

Practice Tip:

Think of the government's house as being made of glass. The curtains may be drawn only to further the public's interest.



■ PUBLIC PARTICIPATION IN MEETINGS

In addition to requiring the public's business to be conducted in open, noticed meetings, the Brown Act also extends to the public the right to participate in meetings. Individuals, lobbyists, and members of the news media possess the right to attend, record, broadcast, and participate in public meetings. The public's participation is further enhanced by the Brown Act's requirement that a meaningful agenda be posted in advance of meetings, by limiting discussion and action to matters listed on the agenda, and by requiring that meeting materials be made available.

Legislative bodies may, however, adopt reasonable regulations on public testimony and the conduct of public meetings, including measures to address disruptive conduct and irrelevant speech.

■ CONTROVERSY

Not surprisingly, the Brown Act has been a source of confusion and controversy since its inception. News media and government watchdogs often argue the law is toothless, pointing out that there has never been a single criminal conviction for a violation. They often suspect that closed sessions are being misused.

Public officials complain that the Brown Act makes it difficult to respond to constituents and requires public discussions of items better discussed privately—such as why a particular person should not be appointed to a board or commission. Many elected officials find the Brown Act inconsistent with their private business experiences. Closed meetings can be more efficient; they eliminate grandstanding and promote candor. The techniques that serve well in business—the working lunch, the sharing of information through a series of phone calls or emails, the backroom conversations and compromises—are often not possible under the Brown Act.

As a matter of public policy, California (along with many other states) has concluded that there is more to be gained than lost by conducting public business in the open. Government behind closed doors may well be efficient and business-like, but it may be perceived as unresponsive and untrustworthy.

■ BEYOND THE LAW—GOOD BUSINESS PRACTICES

Violations of the Brown Act can lead to invalidation of an agency's action, payment of a challenger's attorney's fees, public embarrassment, even criminal prosecution. But the Brown Act is a floor, not a ceiling for conduct of public officials. This guide is focused not only on the Brown Act as a minimum standard, but also on meeting practices or activities that, legal or not, are likely to create controversy. Problems may crop up, for example, when agenda descriptions are too brief or vague, when an informal get-together takes on the appearance of a meeting, when an agency conducts too much of its business in closed session or discusses matters in closed session that are beyond the authorized scope, or when controversial issues arise that are not on the agenda.

The Brown Act allows a legislative body to adopt practices and requirements for greater access to meetings for itself and its subordinate committees and bodies that are more stringent than the law itself requires.⁶ Rather than simply restate the basic requirements of the Brown Act, local open meeting policies should strive to anticipate and prevent problems in areas where the Brown Act doesn't provide full guidance. As with the adoption of any other significant policy, public comment should be solicited.



Practice Tip:

Transparency is a foundational value for ethical government practices. The Brown Act is a floor, not a ceiling, for conduct.

A local policy could build on these basic Brown Act goals:

- A legislative body's need to get its business done smoothly;
- The public's right to participate meaningfully in meetings, and to review documents used in decision-making at a relevant point in time;
- A local agency's right to confidentially address certain negotiations, personnel matters, claims and litigation; and
- The right of the press to fully understand and communicate public agency decision-making.

An explicit and comprehensive public meeting and information policy, especially if reviewed periodically, can be an important element in maintaining or improving public relations. Such a policy exceeds the absolute requirements of the law—but if the law were enough this guide would be unnecessary. A narrow legalistic approach will not avoid or resolve potential controversies. An agency should consider going beyond the law, and look at its unique circumstances and determine if there is a better way to prevent potential problems and promote public trust. At the very least, local agencies need to think about how their agendas are structured in order to make Brown Act compliance easier. They need to plan carefully to make sure public participation fits smoothly into the process.

■ ACHIEVING BALANCE

The Brown Act should be neither an excuse for hiding the ball nor a mechanism for hindering efficient and orderly meetings. The Brown Act represents a balance among the interests of constituencies whose interests do not always coincide. It calls for openness in local government, yet should allow government to function responsively and productively.

There must be both adequate notice of what discussion and action is to occur during a meeting as well as a normal degree of spontaneity in the dialogue between elected officials and their constituents.

The ability of an elected official to confer with constituents or colleagues must be balanced against the important public policy prohibiting decision-making outside of public meetings.

In the end, implementation of the Brown Act must ensure full participation of the public and preserve the integrity of the decision-making process, yet not stifle government officials and impede the effective and natural operation of government.

■ HISTORICAL NOTE

In late 1951, *San Francisco Chronicle* reporter Mike Harris spent six weeks looking into the way local agencies conducted meetings. State law had long required that business be done in public, but Harris discovered secret meetings or caucuses were common. He wrote a 10-part series on “Your Secret Government” that ran in May and June 1952.

Out of the series came a decision to push for a new state open meeting law. Harris and Richard (Bud) Carpenter, legal counsel for the League of California Cities, drafted such a bill and Assembly Member Ralph M. Brown agreed to carry it. The Legislature passed the bill and Gov. Earl Warren signed it into law in 1953.

The Ralph M. Brown Act, known as the “Brown Act”, has evolved under a series of amendments and court decisions, and has been the model for other open meeting laws—such as the Bagley-Keene Act, enacted in 1967 to cover state agencies.

Practice Tip:

The Brown Act should be viewed as a tool to facilitate the business of local government agencies. Local policies that go beyond the minimum requirements of law may help instill public confidence and avoid problems.



Assembly Member Brown is best known for the open meeting law that carries his name. He was elected to the Legislature in 1942 and served 19 years, including the last three years as Speaker. He then became an appellate court justice.

Endnotes

- 1 California Government Code section 54950
- 2 California Constitution, Art. 1, section 3 (b)(1)
- 3 California Government Code section 54953 (a)
- 4 This principle of broad construction when it furthers public access and narrow construction if a provision limits public access is also stated in the amendment to the state's Constitution adopted by Proposition 59 in 2004. California Constitution, Art. 1, section 3(b)(2)
- 5 California Government Code section 54952.2 (c); *Wolfe v. City of Fremont* (2006) 144 Cal.App.4th 533
- 6 California Government Code section 54953.7

Updates to this publication responding to changes in the Brown Act or new court interpretations are available at www.cacities.org/opengovernment. A current version of the Brown Act may be found at www.leginfo.ca.gov.

CHAPTER 2:

LEGISLATIVE BODIES



WHAT IS A "LEGISLATIVE BODY"
OF A LOCAL AGENCY?

WHAT IS NOT A "LEGISLATIVE BODY"
FOR PURPOSES OF THE BROWN ACT?

CHAPTER 2:

LEGISLATIVE BODIES



The Brown Act applies to the legislative bodies of local agencies. It defines “legislative body” broadly to include just about every type of decision-making body of a local agency.¹

■ WHAT IS A “LEGISLATIVE BODY” OF A LOCAL AGENCY?

A “legislative body” includes:

- **The “governing body”** of a local agency or any other local body created by state or federal statute.”² This includes city councils, boards of supervisors, school boards and boards of trustees of special districts. A “local agency” is any city, county, school district, municipal corporation, redevelopment agency, district, political subdivision, or other public agency.³ A housing authority is a local agency under the Brown Act even though it is created by and is an agent of the state.⁴ The California Attorney General has opined that air pollution control districts and regional open space districts are also covered.⁵ Entities created pursuant to joint powers agreements are local agencies within the meaning of the Brown Act.⁶
- **Newly-elected members** of a legislative body who have not yet assumed office must conform to the requirements of the Brown Act as if already in office.⁷ Thus, meetings between incumbents and newly-elected members of a legislative body, such as a meeting between two outgoing members and a member-elect of a five-member body, could violate the Brown Act.

Practice Tip:

The prudent presumption is that an advisory committee or task force is subject to the Brown Act. Even if one clearly is not, it may want to comply with the Brown Act. Public meetings may reduce the possibility of misunderstandings and controversy.

- Q.** On the morning following the election to a five-member legislative body of a local agency, two successful candidates, neither an incumbent, meet with an incumbent member of the legislative body for a celebratory breakfast. Does this violate the Brown Act?
- A.** *It might, and absolutely would if the conversation turns to agency business. Even though the candidates-elect have not officially been sworn in, the Brown Act applies. If purely a social event, there is no violation but it would be preferable if others were invited to attend to avoid the appearance of impropriety.*

- **Appointed bodies**—whether permanent or temporary, decision-making or advisory—including planning commissions, civil service commissions and other subsidiary committees, boards, and bodies. Volunteer groups, executive search committees, task forces, and “blue ribbon committees” created by formal action of the governing body are legislative bodies. When the members of two or more legislative bodies are appointed to serve on an entirely separate advisory group, the resulting body may be subject to the Brown Act. In one reported case, a city council created a committee of two members of the city council and two members of the city planning commission to review qualifications of prospective planning commissioners and make recommendations to the council. The court held that their joint mission made them a legislative body subject to the Brown Act. Had the two committees remained separate and met only to exchange information, they would have been exempt from the Brown Act.⁸
- **Standing committees** of a legislative body, irrespective of their composition, which have either: (1) a continuing subject matter jurisdiction, or (2) a meeting schedule fixed by charter, ordinance, resolution, or formal action of a legislative body.⁹ Even if comprised of less than a quorum of the governing body, a standing committee is subject to the Brown Act. For example, if a governing body creates long-term committees on budget and finance or on public safety, those are standing committees subject to the Brown Act. Further, function over form controls. For example, a statement by the legislative body that “the advisory committee shall not exercise continuing subject matter jurisdiction” or the fact that the committee does not have a fixed meeting schedule is not determinative.¹⁰ “Formal action” by a legislative body includes authorization given to the agency’s executive officer to appoint an advisory committee pursuant to agency-adopted policy.¹¹
- The governing body of any **private organization** either: (1) created by the legislative body in order to exercise authority that may lawfully be delegated by such body to a private corporation, limited liability company or other entity or (2) that receives agency funding and whose governing board includes a member of the legislative body of the local agency appointed by the legislative body as a full voting member of the private entity’s governing board.¹² These include some nonprofit corporations created by local agencies.¹³ If a local agency contracts with a private firm for a service (for example, payroll, janitorial, or food services), the private firm is not covered by the Brown Act.¹⁴ When a member of a legislative body sits on a board of a private organization as a private person and is not appointed by the legislative body, the board will not be subject to the Brown Act. Similarly, when the legislative body appoints someone other than one of its own members to such boards, the Brown Act does not apply. Nor does it apply when a private organization merely receives agency funding.¹⁵

Practice Tip:

It can be difficult to determine whether a subcommittee of a body falls into the category of a standing committee or an exempt temporary committee. Suppose a committee is created to explore the renewal of a franchise or a topic of similarly limited scope and duration. Is it an exempt temporary committee or a non-exempt standing committee? The answer may depend on factors such as how meeting schedules are determined, the scope of the committee’s charge, or whether the committee exists long enough to have “continuing jurisdiction.”

Q: The local chamber of commerce is funded in part by the city. The mayor sits on the chamber’s board of directors. Is the chamber board a legislative body subject to the Brown Act?

A: *Maybe. If the chamber’s governing documents require the mayor to be on the board and the city council appoints the mayor to that position, the board is a legislative body. If, however, the chamber board independently appoints the mayor to its board, or the mayor attends chamber board meetings in a purely advisory capacity, it is not.*

Q: If a community college district board creates an auxiliary organization to operate a campus bookstore or cafeteria, is the board of the organization a legislative body?

A: *Yes. But, if the district instead contracts with a private firm to operate the bookstore or cafeteria, the Brown Act would not apply to the private firm.*

- **Certain kinds of hospital operators.** A lessee of a hospital (or portion of a hospital) first leased under Health and Safety Code subsection 32121(p) after Jan. 1, 1994, which exercises “material authority” delegated to it by a local agency, whether or not such lessee is organized and operated by the agency or by a delegated authority.¹⁶

■ **WHAT IS NOT A “LEGISLATIVE BODY” FOR PURPOSES OF THE BROWN ACT?**

- A temporary advisory committee **composed solely of less than a quorum** of the legislative body that serves a limited or single purpose, that is not perpetual, and that will be dissolved once its specific task is completed is not subject to the Brown Act.¹⁷ Temporary committees are sometimes called *ad hoc* committees, a term not used in the Brown Act. Examples include an advisory committee composed of less than a quorum created to interview candidates for a vacant position or to meet with representatives of other entities to exchange information on a matter of concern to the agency, such as traffic congestion.¹⁸
- Groups advisory to a single decision-maker or appointed by staff are not covered. The Brown Act applies only to committees created by formal action of the legislative body and not to committees created by others. A committee advising a superintendent of schools would not be covered by the Brown Act. However, the same committee, if created by formal action of the school board, would be covered.¹⁹

Q. A member of the legislative body of a local agency informally establishes an advisory committee of five residents to advise her on issues as they arise. Does the Brown Act apply to this committee?

A. *No, because the committee has not been established by formal action of the legislative body.*

Q. During a meeting of the city council, the council directs the city manager to form an advisory committee of residents to develop recommendations for a new ordinance. The city manager forms the committee and appoints its members; the committee is instructed to direct its recommendations to the city manager. Does the Brown Act apply to this committee?

A. *Possibly, because the direction from the city council might be regarded as a formal action of the body notwithstanding that the city manager controls the committee.*

- Individual decision makers who are not elected or appointed members of a legislative body are not covered by the Brown Act. For example, a disciplinary hearing presided over by a department head or a meeting of agency department heads are not subject to the Brown Act since such assemblies are not those of a legislative body.²⁰
- County central committees of political parties are also not Brown Act bodies.²¹

Endnotes

- 1 *Taxpayers for Livable Communities v. City of Malibu* (2005) 126 Cal.App.4th 1123
- 2 California Government Code section 54952(a)
- 3 California Government Code section 54951. *But see*: Education Code section 35147, which exempts certain school councils and school site advisory committees from the Brown Act and imposes upon them a separate set of rules.
- 4 *Torres v. Board of Commissioners* (1979) 89 Cal.App.3d 545
- 5 71 Ops.Cal.Atty.Gen. 96 (1988); 73 Ops.Cal.Atty.Gen. 1 (1990)
- 6 *McKee v. Los Angeles Interagency Metropolitan Police Apprehension Crime Task Force* (2005) 134 Cal.App.4th 354
- 7 California Government Code section 54952.1
- 8 *Joiner v. City of Sebastopol* (1981) 125 Cal.App.3d 799
- 9 California Government Code section 54952(b)
- 10 79 Ops. Cal.Atty.Gen. 69 (1996)
- 11 *Frazer v. Dixon Unified School District* (1993) 18 Cal.App.4th 781
- 12 California Government Code section 54952(c)(1)(B). The same rule applies to a full voting member appointed prior to February 9, 1996 who, after that date, is made a non-voting board member by the legislative body. California Government Code section 54952(c)(2)
- 13 California Government Code section 54952(c)(1)(A); *International Longshoremen's and Warehousemen's Union v. Los Angeles Export Terminal* (1999) 69 Cal.App.4th 287; *Epstein v. Hollywood Entertainment Dist. II Business Improvement District* (2001) 87 Cal.App.4th 862; *see also*: 81 Ops.Cal.Atty.Gen. 281 (1998); 85 Ops.Cal.Atty.Gen. 55
- 14 *International Longshoremen's and Warehousemen's Union v. Los Angeles Export Terminal* (1999) 69 Cal.App.4th 287, 300 fn. 5
- 15 "The Brown Act," California Attorney General (2003), p. 7
- 16 California Government Code section 54952(d)
- 17 California Government Code section 54952(b); *see also*: *Freedom Newspapers, Inc. v. Orange County Employees Retirement System Board of Directors* (1993) 6 Cal.4th 821
- 18 *Taxpayers for Livable Communities v. City of Malibu* (2005) 126 Cal.App.4th 1123
- 19 56 Ops.Cal.Atty.Gen. 14 (1973)
- 20 *Wilson v. San Francisco Municipal Railway* (1973) 29 Cal.App.3d 870
- 21 59 Ops.Cal.Atty.Gen. 162 (1976)

Updates to this publication responding to changes in the Brown Act or new court interpretations are available at www.cacities.org/opengovernment. A current version of the Brown Act may be found at www.leginfo.ca.gov.

CHAPTER 3:

MEETINGS



BROWN ACT MEETINGS

SIX EXCEPTIONS TO THE MEETING
DEFINITION

COLLECTIVE BRIEFINGS

RETREATS OR WORKSHOPS OF
LEGISLATIVE BODIES

SERIAL MEETINGS

INFORMAL GATHERINGS

TECHNOLOGICAL CONFERENCING

LOCATION OF MEETINGS

CHAPTER 3:

MEETINGS



The Brown Act only applies to meetings of local legislative bodies. The Brown Act defines a meeting as: "... any congregation of a majority of the members of a legislative body at the same time and place to hear, discuss, or deliberate upon any item that is within the subject matter jurisdiction of the legislative body or the local agency to which it pertains."¹ Under the Brown Act, the term "meeting" is not limited to gatherings at which action is taken but includes deliberative gatherings as well.

■ BROWN ACT MEETINGS

Brown Act gatherings include a legislative body's regular meetings, special meetings, emergency meetings and adjourned meetings.

- "Regular meetings" are meetings occurring at the dates, times, and location set by resolution, ordinance, or other formal action by the legislative body and are subject to 72-hour posting requirements.²
- "Special meetings" are meetings called by the presiding officer or majority of the legislative body to discuss only discrete items on the agenda under the Brown Act's notice requirements for special meetings.³
- "Emergency meetings" are a limited class of meetings held when prompt action is needed due to actual or threatened disruption of public facilities and are held on little notice.⁴
- "Adjourned meetings" are regular or special meetings that have been adjourned or re-adjourned to a time and place specified in the order of adjournment, with no agenda required for regular meetings adjourned for less than five calendar days as long as no additional business is transacted.⁵

■ SIX EXCEPTIONS TO THE MEETING DEFINITION

The Brown Act creates six exceptions to the meeting definition: ⁶

Individual Contacts

The first exception involves individual contacts between a member of the legislative body and any other person. The Brown Act does not limit a legislative body member acting on his or her own. This exception recognizes the right to confer with constituents, advocates, consultants, news reporters, local agency staff or a colleague.

Individual contacts, however, cannot be used to do in stages what would be prohibited in one step. For example, a series of individual contacts that leads to discussion, deliberation or action among a majority of the members of a legislative body is prohibited. Such serial meetings are discussed below.

Conferences

The second exception allows a legislative body majority to attend a conference or similar gathering open to the public that addresses issues of general interest to the public or to public agencies of the type represented by the legislative body.

Among other things, this exception permits legislative body members to attend annual association conferences of city, county, school, community college, and other local agency officials, so long as those meetings are open to the public. However, a majority of members cannot discuss among themselves, other than as part of the scheduled program, business of a specific nature that is within their local agency's subject matter jurisdiction.



Community Meetings

The third exception allows a legislative body majority to attend an open and publicized meeting held by another organization to address a topic of local community concern. Again, a majority cannot discuss among themselves, other than as part of the scheduled program, business of a specific nature that is within their local agency's subject matter jurisdiction. Under this exception, a legislative body majority may attend a local service club meeting or a local candidates' night if the meetings are open to the public.

"I see we have four distinguished members of the city council at our meeting tonight," said the chair of the Environmental Action Coalition.

"I wonder if they have anything to say about the controversy over enacting a slow growth ordinance?"

The Brown Act permits a majority of a legislative body to attend and speak at an open and publicized meeting conducted by another organization. The Brown Act may nevertheless be violated if a majority discusses, deliberates, or takes action on an item during the meeting of the other organization. There is a fine line between what is permitted and what is not; hence, members should exercise caution when participating in these types of events.

- Q.** The local chamber of commerce sponsors an open and public candidate debate during an election campaign. Three of the five agency members are up for re-election and all three participate. All of the candidates are asked their views of a controversial project scheduled for a meeting to occur just after the election. May the three incumbents answer the question?
- A.** Yes, because the Brown Act does not constrain the incumbents from expressing their views regarding important matters facing the local agency as part of the political process the same as any other candidates.



Other Legislative Bodies

The fourth exception allows a majority of a legislative body to attend an open and publicized meeting of: (1) another body of the local agency and (2) a legislative body of another local agency.⁷ Again, the majority cannot discuss among themselves, other than as part of the scheduled meeting, business of a specific nature that is within their local agency's subject matter jurisdiction. This exception allows, for example, a city council or a majority of a board of supervisors to attend a controversial meeting of the planning commission.

Nothing in the Brown Act prevents the majority of a legislative body from sitting together at such a meeting. They may choose not to, however, to preclude any possibility of improperly discussing local agency business and to avoid the appearance of a Brown Act violation. Further, aside from the Brown Act, there may be other reasons, such as due process considerations, why the members should avoid giving public testimony or trying to influence the outcome of proceedings before a subordinate body.

- Q.** The entire legislative body intends to testify against a bill before the Senate Local Government Committee in Sacramento. Must this activity be noticed as a meeting of the body?
- A.** *No, because the members are attending and participating in an open meeting of another governmental body which the public may attend.*
- Q.** The members then proceed upstairs to the office of their local Assembly member to discuss issues of local interest. Must this session be noticed as a meeting and be open to the public?
- A.** *Yes, because the entire body may not meet behind closed doors except for proper closed sessions. The same answer applies to a private lunch or dinner with the Assembly member.*

Standing Committees

The fifth exception authorizes the attendance of a majority at an open and noticed meeting of a standing committee of the legislative body, provided that the legislative body members who are not members of the standing committee attend only as observers (meaning that they cannot speak or otherwise participate in the meeting).⁸

- Q.** The legislative body establishes a standing committee of two of its five members, which meets monthly. A third member of the legislative body wants to attend these meetings and participate. May she?
- A.** *She may attend, but only as an observer; she may not participate.*

Social or Ceremonial Events

The sixth and final exception permits a majority of a legislative body to attend a purely social or ceremonial occasion. Once again, a majority cannot discuss business among themselves of a specific nature that is within the subject matter jurisdiction of the local agency.

Nothing in the Brown Act prevents a majority of members from attending the same football game, party, wedding, funeral, reception, or farewell. The test is not whether a majority of a legislative body attends the function, but whether business of a specific nature within the subject matter jurisdiction of the local agency is discussed. So long as no local agency business is discussed, there is no violation of the Brown Act.

■ COLLECTIVE BRIEFINGS

None of these six exceptions permits a majority of a legislative body to meet together with staff in advance of a meeting for a collective briefing. Any such briefings that involve a majority of the body in the same place and time must be open to the public and satisfy Brown Act meeting notice and agenda requirements.

■ RETREATS OR WORKSHOPS OF LEGISLATIVE BODIES

There is consensus among local agency attorneys that gatherings by a majority of legislative body members at the legislative body's retreats, study sessions, or workshops are covered under the Brown Act. This is the case whether the retreat, study session, or workshop focuses on long-range agency planning, discussion of critical local issues, or on team building and group dynamics.⁹

- Q.** The legislative body wants to hold a team-building session to improve relations among its members. May such a session be conducted behind closed doors?
- A.** *No, this is not a proper subject for a closed session, and there is no other basis to exclude the public. Council relations are a matter of public business.*

■ SERIAL MEETINGS

One of the most frequently asked questions about the Brown Act involves serial meetings. At any one time, such meetings involve only a portion of a legislative body, but eventually involve a majority.

The problem with serial meetings is the process, which deprives the public of an opportunity for meaningful participation in legislative body decision-making. The Brown Act provides that "[a] majority of the members of a legislative body shall not, outside a meeting...use a series of communications of any kind, directly or through intermediaries, to discuss, deliberate, or take action on any item of business that is within the subject matter jurisdiction of the legislative body."¹⁰

The serial meeting may occur by either a "daisy-chain" or a "hub-and-spoke" sequence. In the daisy-chain scenario, Member A contacts Member B, Member B contacts Member C, Member C contacts Member D and so on, until a quorum has discussed, deliberated or taken action on an item within the legislative body's subject matter jurisdiction. The hub-and-spoke process involves, for example, a staff member (the hub) communicating with members of a legislative body (the spokes) one-by-one for a decision on a proposed action,¹¹ or a chief executive officer briefing a majority of redevelopment agency members prior to a formal meeting and, in the process, information about the members' respective views is revealed. Each of these scenarios violates the Brown Act.

A legislative body member has the right, if not the duty, to meet with constituents to address their concerns. That member also has the right to confer with a colleague or appropriate staff about local agency business. An employee or official of a local agency may engage in separate conversations or communications outside of an open and noticed meeting "with members of a legislative body in order to answer questions or provide information regarding a matter that is within the subject matter jurisdiction of the local agency if that person does not communicate to members of the legislative body the comments or position of any other member or members of the legislative body."¹²

The Brown Act has been violated however, if several one-on-one meetings or conferences leads to a discussion, deliberation or action by a majority. In one case, a violation occurred when a quorum of a city council directed staff by letter on an eminent domain action.¹³



A unilateral written communication to the legislative body, such as an informational or advisory memorandum, does not violate the Brown Act.¹⁴ Such a memo, however, may be a public record.¹⁵

The phone call was from a lobbyist. "Say, I need your vote for that project in the south area. How about it?"

"Well, I don't know," replied Board Member Aletto. "That's kind of a sticky proposition. You sure you need my vote?"

"Well, I've got Bradley and Cohen lined up and another vote leaning. With you I'd be over the top."

Moments later, the phone rings again. "Hey, I've been hearing some rumbles on that south area project," said the newspaper reporter. "I'm counting noses. How are you voting on it?"

Neither the lobbyist nor the reporter has violated the Brown Act, but they are facilitating a violation. The board member may have violated the Brown Act by hearing about the positions of other board members and indeed coaxing the lobbyist to reveal the other board members' positions by asking "You sure you need my vote?" The prudent course is to avoid such leading conversations and to caution lobbyists, staff and news media against revealing such positions of others.

The mayor sat down across from the city manager. "From now on," he declared, "I want you to provide individual briefings on upcoming agenda items. Some of this material is very technical, and the council members don't want to sound like idiots asking about it in public. Besides that, briefings will speed up the meeting."

Agency employees or officials may have separate conversations or communications outside of an open and noticed meeting "with members of a legislative body in order to answer questions or provide information regarding a matter that is within the subject matter jurisdiction of the local agency if that person does not communicate to members of the legislative body the comments or position of any other member or members of the legislative body."¹⁶ Members should always be vigilant when discussing local agency business with anyone to avoid conversations that could lead to a discussion, deliberation or action taken among the majority of the legislative body.

"Thanks for the information," said Council Member Kim. "These zoning changes can be tricky, and now I think I'm better equipped to make the right decision."

"Glad to be of assistance," replied the planning director. "Any idea what the other council members think of the problem?"

The planning director should not ask, and the member should not answer. A one-on-one meeting that involves communicating the comments or position of other members violates the Brown Act.

Practice Tip:

When briefing legislative body members, staff must exercise care not to disclose other members' views and positions.

- Q.** The agency's Web site includes a chat room where agency employees and officials participate anonymously and often discuss issues of local agency business. Members of the legislative body participate regularly. Does this scenario present a potential for violation of the Brown Act?
- A.** Yes, because it is a technological device that may serve to allow for a majority of members to discuss, deliberate or take action on matters of agency business.
- Q.** A member of a legislative body contacts two other members on a five-member body relative to scheduling a special meeting. Is this an illegal serial meeting?
- A.** No, the Brown Act expressly allows this kind of communication, though the members should avoid discussing the merits of what is to be taken up at the meeting.

Particular care should be exercised when staff briefings of legislative body members occur by email because of the ease of using the “reply to all” button that may inadvertently result in a Brown Act violation.

■ INFORMAL GATHERINGS

Often members are tempted to mix business with pleasure—for example, by holding a post meeting gathering. Informal gatherings at which local agency business is discussed or transacted violate the law if they are not conducted in conformance with the Brown Act.¹⁷ A luncheon gathering in a crowded dining room violates the Brown Act if the public does not have an adequate opportunity to hear or participate in the deliberations of members.

Thursday at 11:30 a.m., as they did every week, the board of directors of the Dry Gulch Irrigation District trooped into Pop’s Donut Shoppe for an hour of talk and fellowship. They sat at the corner window, fronting on Main and Broadway, to show they had nothing to hide. Whenever he could, the managing editor of the weekly newspaper down the street hurried over to join the board.

A gathering like this would not violate the Brown Act if board members scrupulously avoided talking about irrigation district issues. But it is the kind of situation that should be avoided. The public is unlikely to believe the board members could meet regularly without discussing public business. A newspaper executive’s presence in no way lessens the potential for a violation of the Brown Act.

- Q.** The agency has won a major victory in the Supreme Court on an issue of importance. The presiding officer decides to hold an impromptu press conference in order to make a statement to the print and broadcast media. All the other members show up in order to make statements of their own and be seen by the media. Is this gathering illegal?
- A.** *Technically there is no exception for this sort of gathering, but as long as members do not state their intentions as to future action to be taken and the press conference is open to the public, it seems harmless.*

■ TECHNOLOGICAL CONFERENCING

In an effort to keep up with information age technologies, the Brown Act now specifically allows a legislative body to use any type of teleconferencing to meet, receive public comment and testimony, deliberate, or conduct a closed session.¹⁸ While the Brown Act contains specific requirements for conducting a teleconference, the decision to use teleconferencing is entirely discretionary within the body.

“Teleconference” is defined as “a meeting of a legislative body, the members of which are in different locations, connected by electronic means, through either audio or video, or both.”¹⁹ In addition to the specific requirements relating to teleconferencing, the meeting must comply with all provisions of the Brown Act otherwise applicable. The Brown Act contains the following specific requirements:²⁰

- Teleconferencing may be used for all purposes during any meeting;
- At least a quorum of the legislative body must participate from locations within the local agency’s jurisdiction;
- Additional teleconference locations may be made available for the public;



Practice Tip:

Legal counsel for the local agency should be consulted before teleconferencing a meeting.

- Each teleconference location must be specifically identified in the notice and agenda of the meeting, including a full address and room number, as may be applicable;
- Agendas must be posted at each teleconference location, even if a hotel room or a residence;
- Each teleconference location must be accessible to the public and have technology, such as a speakerphone, to enable the public to participate;
- The agenda must provide the opportunity for the public to address the legislative body directly at each teleconference location; and
- All votes must be by roll call.

Q. A member on vacation wants to participate in a meeting of the legislative body and vote by cellular phone from her car while driving from Washington, D.C. to New York. May she?

A. *She may not participate or vote because she is not in a noticed and posted teleconference location.*

The use of teleconferencing to conduct a legislative body meeting presents a variety of new issues beyond the scope of this guide to discuss in detail. Therefore, before teleconferencing a meeting, legal counsel for the local agency should be consulted.

■ LOCATION OF MEETINGS

The Brown Act generally requires all regular and special meetings of a legislative body, including retreats and workshops, to be held within the boundaries of the territory over which the local agency exercises jurisdiction.²¹

An open and publicized meeting of a legislative body may be held outside of agency boundaries if the purpose of the meeting is one of the following:

- Comply with state or federal law or a court order, or for a judicial conference or administrative proceeding in which the local agency is a party;
- Inspect real or personal property, which cannot be conveniently brought into the local agency's territory, provided the meeting is limited to items relating to that real or personal property;

Q. The agency is considering approving a major retail mall. The developer has built other similar malls, and invites the entire legislative body to visit a mall outside the jurisdiction. May the entire body go?

A. *Yes, the Brown Act permits meetings outside the boundaries of the agency for specified reasons and inspection of property is one such reason. The field trip must be treated as a meeting and the public must be able to attend.*

- Participate in multiagency meetings or discussions, however, such meetings must be held within the boundaries of one of the participating agencies, and all involved agencies must give proper notice;
- Meet in the closest meeting facility if the local agency has no meeting facility within its boundaries or at its principal office if that office is located outside the territory over which the agency has jurisdiction;

- Meet with elected or appointed federal or California officials when a local meeting would be impractical, solely to discuss a legislative or regulatory issue affecting the local agency and over which the federal or state officials have jurisdiction;
- Meet in or nearby a facility owned by the agency, provided that the topic of the meeting is limited to items directly related to the facility; or
- Visit the office of its legal counsel for a closed session on pending litigation, when to do so would reduce legal fees or costs.²²

In addition, the governing board of a school or community college district may hold meetings outside of its boundaries to attend a conference on nonadversarial collective bargaining techniques, interview candidates for school district superintendent, or interview a potential employee from another district.²³ A school board may also interview members of the public residing in another district if the board is considering employing that district's superintendent.

Similarly, meetings of a joint powers authority can occur within the territory of at least one of its member agencies, and a joint powers authority with members throughout the state may meet anywhere in the state.²⁴

Finally, if a fire, flood, earthquake, or other emergency makes the usual meeting place unsafe, the presiding officer can designate another meeting place for the duration of the emergency. News media that have requested notice of meetings must be notified of the designation by the most rapid means of communication available.²⁵

Endnotes:

- 1 California Government Code section 54952.2(a)
- 2 California Government Code section 54954(a)
- 3 California Government Code section 54956
- 4 California Government Code section 54956.5
- 5 California Government Code section 54955
- 6 California Government Code section 54952.2(c)
- 7 California Government Code section 54952.2(c)(4)
- 8 California Government Code section 54952.2(c)(6)
- 9 “The Brown Act,” California Attorney General (2003), p. 10
- 10 California Government Code section 54952.2(b)(1)
- 11 *Stockton Newspaper Inc. v. Redevelopment Agency* (1985) 171 Cal.App.3d 95
- 12 California Government Code section 54952.2(b)(2)
- 13 *Common Cause v. Stirling* (1983) 147 Cal.App.3d 518
- 14 *Roberts v. City of Palmdale* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363
- 15 California Government Code section 54957.5(a)
- 16 California Government Code section 54952.2(b)(2)
- 17 California Government Code section 54952.2; 43 Ops.Cal.Atty.Gen. 36 (1964)
- 18 California Government Code section 54953(b)(1)
- 19 California Government Code section 54953(b)(4)
- 20 California Government Code section 54953
- 21 California Government Code section 54954(b)
- 22 California Government Code section 54954(b)(1)-(7)
- 23 California Government Code section 54954(c)
- 24 California Government Code section 54954(d)
- 25 California Government Code section 54954(e)

Updates to this publication responding to changes in the Brown Act or new court interpretations are available at www.cacities.org/opengovernment. A current version of the Brown Act may be found at www.leginfo.ca.gov.

CHAPTER 4:

AGENDAS, NOTICES, AND PUBLIC PARTICIPATION



AGENDAS FOR REGULAR MEETINGS

MAILED AGENDA UPON WRITTEN REQUEST

NOTICE REQUIREMENTS FOR SPECIAL MEETINGS

NOTICES AND AGENDAS FOR ADJOURNED AND
CONTINUED MEETINGS AND HEARINGS

NOTICE REQUIREMENTS FOR EMERGENCY
MEETINGS

EDUCATIONAL AGENCY MEETINGS

NOTICE REQUIREMENTS FOR TAX OR
ASSESSMENT MEETINGS AND HEARINGS

NON-AGENDA ITEMS

RESPONDING TO THE PUBLIC


THE RIGHT TO ATTEND MEETINGS

RECORDS AND RECORDINGS

THE PUBLIC'S PLACE ON THE AGENDA

CHAPTER 4:

AGENDAS, NOTICES, AND PUBLIC PARTICIPATION



Effective notice is essential for an open and public meeting. Whether a meeting is open or how the public may participate in that meeting is academic if nobody knows about the meeting.

■ AGENDAS FOR REGULAR MEETINGS

Every regular meeting of a legislative body of a local agency—including advisory committees, commissions, or boards, as well as standing committees of legislative bodies—must be preceded by a posted agenda that advises the public of the meeting and the matters to be transacted or discussed.

The agenda must be posted at least 72 hours before the regular meeting in a location “freely accessible to members of the public.”¹ The courts have not definitively interpreted the “freely accessible” requirement. The California Attorney General has interpreted this provision to require posting in locations accessible to the public 24 hours a day during the 72-hour period, but any of the 72 hours may fall on a weekend.² Posting may also be made on a touch screen electronic kiosk accessible without charge to the public 24 hours a day during the 72-hour period.³ However, only posting an agenda on an agency’s Web site is inadequate since there is no universal access to the internet. The agenda must state the meeting time and place and must contain “a brief general description of each item of business to be transacted or discussed at the meeting, including items to be discussed in closed session.”⁴

Practice Tip:

Putting together a meeting agenda requires careful thought.

Q. The agenda for a regular meeting contains the following items of business:

- “Consideration of a report regarding traffic on Eighth Street”
- “Consideration of contract with ABC Consulting”

Are these descriptions adequate?

A. *If the first is, it is barely adequate. A better description would provide the reader with some idea of what the report is about and what is being recommended. The second is not adequate. A better description might read “consideration of a contract with ABC Consulting in the amount of \$50,000 for traffic engineering services regarding traffic on Eighth Street.”*

Q. The agenda includes an item entitled “City Manager’s Report,” during which time the city manager provides a brief report on notable topics of interest, none of which are listed on the agenda.

Is this permissible?

A. *Yes, so long as it does not result in extended discussion or action by the body.*

A brief general description may not be sufficient for closed session agenda items. The Brown Act provides safe harbor language for the various types of permissible closed sessions. Substantial compliance with the safe harbor language is recommended to protect legislative bodies and elected officials from legal challenges.

■ MAILED AGENDA UPON WRITTEN REQUEST

The legislative body, or its designee, must mail a copy of the agenda or, if requested, the entire agenda packet, to any person who has filed a written request for such materials. These copies shall be mailed at the time the agenda is posted. If requested, these materials must be made available in appropriate alternative formats to persons with disabilities.

A request for notice is valid for one calendar year and renewal requests must be filed Jan. 1 of each year. The legislative body may establish a fee to recover the cost of providing the service. Failure of the requesting person to receive the agenda does not constitute grounds for invalidation of actions taken at the meeting.⁵



■ NOTICE REQUIREMENTS FOR SPECIAL MEETINGS

There is no express agenda requirement for special meetings, but the notice of the special meeting effectively serves as the agenda and limits the business that may be transacted or discussed. Written notice must be sent to each member of the legislative body (unless waived in writing by that member) and to each local newspaper of general circulation, and radio or television station that has requested such notice in writing. This notice must be delivered by personal delivery or any other means that ensures receipt, at least 24 hours before the time of the meeting.

The notice must state the time and place of the meeting, as well as all business to be transacted or discussed. It is recommended that the business to be transacted or discussed be described in the same manner that an item for a regular meeting would be described on the agenda—with a brief general description. As noted above, closed session items should be described in accordance with the Brown Act’s safe harbor provisions to protect legislative bodies and elected officials from challenges of noncompliance with notice requirements. The special meeting notice must also be posted at least 24 hours prior to the special meeting in a site freely accessible to the public. The body cannot consider business not in the notice.⁶

■ NOTICES AND AGENDAS FOR ADJOURNED AND CONTINUED MEETINGS AND HEARINGS

A regular or special meeting can be adjourned and re-adjourned to a time and place specified in the order of adjournment.⁷ If no time is stated, the meeting is continued to the hour for regular meetings. Whoever is present (even if they are less than a quorum) may so adjourn a meeting; if no member of the legislative body is present, the clerk or secretary may adjourn the meeting. If a meeting is adjourned for less than five calendar days, no new agenda need be posted so long as a new item of business is not introduced.⁸ A copy of the order of adjournment must be posted within 24 hours after the adjournment, at or near the door of the place where the meeting was held.

A hearing can be continued to a subsequent meeting. The process is the same as for continuing adjourned meetings, except that if the hearing is continued to a time less than 24 hours away, a copy of the order or notice of continuance must be posted immediately following the meeting.⁹

■ NOTICE REQUIREMENTS FOR EMERGENCY MEETINGS

The special meeting notice provisions apply to emergency meetings, except for the 24-hour notice.¹⁰ News media that have requested written notice of special meetings must be notified by telephone at least one hour in advance of an emergency meeting, and all telephone numbers provided in that written request must be tried. If telephones are not working, the notice requirements are deemed waived. However, the news media must be notified as soon as possible of the meeting and any action taken.

News media may make a practice of having written requests on file for notification of special or emergency meetings. Absent such a request, a local agency has no legal obligation to notify news media of special or emergency meetings—although notification may be advisable in any event to avoid controversy.

■ EDUCATIONAL AGENCY MEETINGS

The Education Code contains some special agenda and special meeting provisions,¹¹ however, they are generally consistent with the Brown Act. An item is probably void if not posted.¹² A school district board must also adopt regulations to make sure the public can place matters affecting district's business on meeting agendas and to address the board on those items.¹³

■ NOTICE REQUIREMENTS FOR TAX OR ASSESSMENT MEETINGS AND HEARINGS

The Brown Act prescribes specific procedures for adoption by a city, county, special district, or joint powers authority of any new or increased general tax or assessment.¹⁴ At least one public meeting must be held to allow public testimony on the tax or assessment. In addition, there must also be at least 45 days notice of a public hearing at which public testimony may be given before the legislative body proposes to act on the tax or assessment. The agency may recover the reasonable costs of the public meetings, hearings, and notice.¹⁵

The Brown Act exempts certain fees, standby or availability charges, recurring assessments, and new or increased assessments that are subject to the notice and hearing requirements of the Constitution.¹⁶ As a practical matter, the Constitution's notice requirements have preempted this section of the Brown Act.

■ NON-AGENDA ITEMS

The Brown Act generally prohibits any action or discussion of items not on the posted agenda. However, there are three specific situations in which a legislative body can act on an item not on the agenda:¹⁷

- When a majority decides there is an "emergency situation" (as defined for emergency meetings);
- When two-thirds of the members present (or all members if less than two-thirds are present) determine there is a need for immediate action and the need to take action "came to the attention of the local agency subsequent to the agenda being posted." This exception requires a degree of urgency. Further, an item cannot be considered under this provision if the legislative body or the staff knew about the need to take immediate action before the agenda was posted. A new need does not arise because staff forgot to put an item on the agenda or because an applicant missed a deadline; or
- When an item appeared on the agenda of, and was continued from, a meeting held not more than five days earlier.

Practice Tip:

Subject to very limited exceptions, the Brown Act prohibits any action or discussion of an item not on the posted agenda.



The exceptions are narrow, as indicated by this list. The first two require a specific determination by the legislative body. That determination can be challenged in court and, if unsubstantiated, can lead to invalidation of an action.

“I’d like a two-thirds vote of the board, so we can go ahead and authorize commencement of phase two of the East Area Project,” said Chair Lopez.

“It’s not on the agenda. But we learned two days ago that we finished phase one ahead of schedule—believe it or not—and I’d like to keep it that way. Do I hear a motion?”

The desire to stay ahead of schedule generally would not satisfy “a need for immediate action.” Too casual an action could invite a court challenge by a disgruntled resident. The prudent course is to place an item on the agenda for the next meeting and not risk invalidation.



“We learned this morning of an opportunity for a state grant,” said the chief engineer at the regular board meeting, “but our application has to be submitted in two days. We’d like the board to give us the go ahead tonight, even though it’s not on the agenda.”

A legitimate immediate need can be acted upon even though not on the posted agenda by following a two-step process:

- First, make two determinations: (a) that there is an immediate need to take action and (b) that the need arose after the posting of the agenda. The matter is then placed on the agenda.
- Second, discuss and act on the added agenda item.

■ RESPONDING TO THE PUBLIC

The public can talk about anything within the jurisdiction of the legislative body, but the legislative body generally cannot act on or discuss an item not on the agenda. What happens when a member of the public raises a subject not on the agenda?

While the Brown Act does not allow discussion or action on items not on the agenda, it does allow members of the legislative body, or its staff, to “briefly respond” to comments or questions from members of the public, provide a reference to staff or other resources for factual information, or direct staff to place the issue on a future agenda. In addition, even without a comment from the public, a legislative body member or a staff member may ask for information, request a report back, request to place a matter on the agenda for a subsequent meeting (subject to the body’s rules or procedures), ask a question for clarification, make a brief announcement, or briefly report on his or her own activities.¹⁸ However, caution should be used to avoid any discussion or action on such items.

Council Member A: I would like staff to respond to Resident Joe’s complaints during public comment about the repaving project on Elm Street—are there problems with this project?

City Manager: The public works director has prepared a 45-minute power point presentation for you on the status of this project and will give it right now.

Council Member B: Take all the time you need; we need to get to the bottom of this. Our residents are unhappy.

It is clear from this dialogue that the Elm Street project was not on the council's agenda, but was raised during the public comment period for items not on the agenda. Council Member A properly asked staff to respond; the city manager should have given at most a brief response. If a lengthy report from the public works director was warranted, the city manager should have stated that it would be placed on the agenda for the next meeting. Otherwise, both the long report and the likely discussion afterward will improperly embroil the council in a matter that is not listed on the agenda.

■ THE RIGHT TO ATTEND AND OBSERVE MEETINGS

A number of other Brown Act provisions protect the public's right to attend, observe, and participate in meetings.

Members of the public cannot be required to register their names, provide other information, complete a questionnaire, or otherwise "fulfill any condition precedent" to attending a meeting. Any attendance list, questionnaire, or similar document posted at or near the entrance to the meeting room or circulated at a meeting must clearly state that its completion is voluntary and that all persons may attend whether or not they fill it out.¹⁹

No meeting can be held in a facility that prohibits attendance based on race, religion color, national origin, ethnic group identification, age, sex, sexual orientation, or disability, or that is inaccessible to the disabled. Nor can a meeting be held where the public must make a payment or purchase in order to be present.²⁰ This does not mean however that the public is entitled to free entry to a conference attended by a majority of the legislative body.²¹

While a legislative body may use teleconferencing in connection with a meeting, the public must be given notice of and access to the teleconference location. Members of the public must be able to address the legislative body from the teleconference location.²²

Action by secret ballot, whether preliminary or final, is flatly prohibited.²³

Q: The agenda calls for election of the legislative body's officers. Members of the legislative body want to cast unsigned written ballots that would be tallied by the clerk, who would announce the results. Is this voting process permissible?

A: *No. The possibility that a public vote might cause hurt feelings among members of the legislative body or might be awkward—or even counterproductive—does not justify a secret ballot.*

There can be no semi-closed meetings, in which some members of the public are permitted to attend as spectators while others are not; meetings are either open or closed.²⁴

The legislative body may remove persons from a meeting who willfully interrupt proceedings. If order still cannot be restored, the meeting room may be cleared. Members of the news media who have not participated in the disturbance must be allowed to continue to attend the meeting. The legislative body may establish a procedure to re-admit an individual or individuals not responsible for the disturbance.²⁵



■ RECORDS AND RECORDINGS

The public has the right to review agendas and other writings distributed by any person to a majority of the legislative body in connection with a matter subject to discussion or consideration at a meeting. Except for privileged documents, those materials are public records and must be made available upon request without delay.²⁶ A fee or deposit as permitted by the California Public Records Act may be charged for a copy of a public record.²⁷

Q: In connection with an upcoming hearing on a discretionary use permit, counsel for the legislative body transmits a memorandum to all members of the body outlining the litigation risks in granting or denying the permit. Must this memorandum be included in the packet of agenda materials available to the public?

A: *No. The memorandum is a privileged attorney-client communication.*

Q: In connection with an agenda item calling for the legislative body to approve a contract, staff submits to all members of the body a financial analysis explaining why the terms of the contract favor the local agency. Must this memorandum be included in the packet of agenda materials available to the public?

A: *Yes. The memorandum has been distributed to the majority of the legislative body, relates to the subject matter of a meeting, and is not a privileged communication.*

A legislative body may discuss or act on some matters without considering written materials. But if writings are distributed to a majority of a legislative body in connection with an agenda item, they must also be available to the public. A writing distributed to a majority of the legislative body less than 72 hours before the meeting must be made available for inspection at the time of distribution at a public office or location designated for that purpose; and the agendas for all meetings of the legislative body must include the address of this office or location.²⁸ A writing distributed during a meeting must be made public:

- At the meeting if prepared by the local agency or a member of its legislative body; or
- After the meeting if prepared by some other person.²⁹

Any tape or film record of an open and public meeting made for whatever purpose by or at the direction of the local agency is subject to the Public Records Act; however, it may be erased or destroyed 30 days after the taping or recording. Any inspection of a video or tape recording is to be provided without charge on a video or tape player made available by the local agency.³⁰ The agency may impose its ordinary charge for copies.³¹

In addition, the public is specifically allowed to use audio or video tape recorders or still or motion picture cameras at a meeting to record the proceedings, absent a reasonable finding by the legislative body that noise, illumination, or obstruction of view caused by recorders or cameras would persistently disrupt the proceedings.³²

Similarly, a legislative body cannot prohibit or restrict the public broadcast of its open and public meetings without making a reasonable finding that the noise, illumination, or obstruction of view would persistently disrupt the proceedings.³³

■ THE PUBLIC'S PLACE ON THE AGENDA

Every agenda for a regular meeting must allow members of the public to speak on any item of interest, so long as the item is within the subject matter jurisdiction of the legislative body. Further, the public must be allowed to speak on a specific item of business before or during the legislative body's consideration of it.³⁴

Q. Must the legislative body allow members of the public to show videos or make a power point presentation during the public comment part of the agenda, as long as the subject matter is relevant to the agency and is within the established time limit?

A. *Probably, although the agency is under no obligation to provide equipment.*

Practice Tip:

Public speakers cannot be compelled to give their name or address as a condition of speaking. The clerk or presiding officer may request speakers to complete a speaker card or identify themselves for the record, but must respect a speaker's desire for anonymity.

Moreover, the legislative body cannot prohibit public criticism of policies, procedures, programs, or services of the agency or the acts or omissions of the legislative body itself. But, the Brown Act provides no immunity for defamatory statements.³⁵

Q. May the presiding officer prohibit a member of the audience from publicly criticizing an agency employee by name during public comments?

A. *No, as long as the criticism pertains to job performance.*

Q. During the public comment period of a regular meeting of the legislative body, a resident urges the public to support and vote for a candidate vying for election to the body. May the presiding officer gavel the speaker out of order for engaging in political campaign speech?

A. *There is no case law on this subject. Some would argue that campaign issues are outside the subject matter jurisdiction of the body within the meaning of Section 54954.3(a). Others take the view that the speech must be allowed under paragraph (c) of that section because it is relevant to the governing of the agency and an implicit criticism of the incumbents.*

The legislative body may adopt reasonable regulations, including time limits, on public comments. Such regulations should be enforced fairly and without regard to speakers' viewpoints. The legislative body has discretion to modify its regulations regarding time limits on public comment if necessary. For example, the time limit could be shortened to accommodate a lengthy agenda or lengthened to allow additional time for discussion on a complicated matter.³⁶

The public does not need to be given an opportunity to speak on an item that has already been considered by a committee made up exclusively of members of the legislative body at a public meeting, if all interested members of the public had the opportunity to speak on the item before or during its consideration, and if the item has not been substantially changed.³⁷

Notices and agendas for special meetings must also give members of the public the opportunity to speak before or during consideration of an item on the agenda but need not allow members of the public an opportunity to speak on other matters within the jurisdiction of the legislative body.³⁸

Endnotes

- 1 California Government Code section 54954.2(a)(1)
- 2 78 Ops.Cal.Atty.Gen. 327 (1995)
- 3 88 Ops.Cal.Atty.Gen. 218 (2005)
- 4 California Government Code section 54954.2(a)(1)
- 5 California Government Code section 54954.1
- 6 California Government Code section 54956
- 7 California Government Code section 54955
- 8 California Government Code section 54954.2(b)(3)
- 9 California Government Code section 54955.1
- 10 California Government Code section 54956.5
- 11 Education Code sections 35144, 35145 and 72129
- 12 *Carlson v. Paradise Unified School District* (1971) 18 Cal.App.3d 196
- 13 California Education Code section 35145.5
- 14 California Government Code section 54954.6
- 15 California Government Code section 54954.6(g)
- 16 See: Cal.Const.Art.XIIIC, XIIID and California Government Code section 54954.6(h)
- 17 California Government Code section 54954.2(b)
- 18 California Government Code section 54954.2(a)(2)
- 19 California Government Code section 54953.3
- 20 California Government Code section 54961(a); California Government Code section 11135(a)
- 21 California Government Code section 54952.2(c)(2)
- 22 California Government Code section 54953(b)
- 23 California Government Code section 54953(c)
- 24 46 Ops.Cal.Atty.Gen. 34 (1965)
- 25 California Government Code section 54957.9
- 26 California Government Code section 54957.5
- 27 California Government Code section 54957.5(d)
- 28 California Government Code section 54957.5(b)
- 29 California Government Code section 54957.5(c)
- 30 California Government Code section 54953.5(b)
- 31 California Government Code section 54957.5(d)
- 32 California Government Code section 54953.5(a)
- 33 California Government Code section 54953.6
- 34 California Government Code section 54954.3(a)
- 35 California Government Code section 54954.3(c)
- 36 California Government Code section 54954.3(b); *Chaffee v. San Francisco Public Library Com.* (2005) 134 Cal. App.4th 109; 75 Ops.Cal.Atty.Gen. 89 (1992)
- 37 California Government Code section 54954.3(a)
- 38 California Government Code section 54954.3(a)

Updates to this publication responding to changes in the Brown Act or new court interpretations are available at www.cacities.org/opengovernment. A current version of the Brown Act may be found at www.leginfo.ca.gov.

CHAPTER 5:

CLOSED SESSIONS



AGENDAS AND REPORTS

LITIGATION

REAL ESTATE NEGOTIATIONS

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT

LABOR NEGOTIATIONS

LABOR NEGOTIATIONS—SCHOOL AND
COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICTS

OTHER EDUCATION CODE EXCEPTIONS

GRAND JURY TESTIMONY

LICENSE APPLICANTS WITH CRIMINAL RECORDS

PUBLIC SECURITY

MULTIJURISDICTIONAL DRUG LAW
ENFORCEMENT AGENCY

HOSPITAL PEER REVIEW AND TRADE SECRETS

THE CONFIDENTIALITY OF CLOSED SESSION
DISCUSSIONS

CHAPTER 5:

CLOSED SESSIONS



The Brown Act begins with a strong statement in favor of open meetings; private discussions among a majority of a legislative body are prohibited, unless expressly authorized under the Brown Act. It is not enough that a subject is sensitive, embarrassing, or controversial. Without specific authority in the Brown Act for a closed session, a matter must be discussed in public. As an example, a board of police commissioners cannot generally meet in closed session, even though some matters are sensitive and the commission considers their disclosure contrary to the public interest.¹

Practice Tip:

Meetings are either open or closed. There is no “in between.”

Meetings of a legislative body are either fully open or fully closed; there is nothing in between. Closed sessions may involve only the members of the legislative body and only agency counsel, management and support staff, and consultants necessary for consideration of the matter that is the subject of closed session. Individuals who do not have an official role in advising the legislative body on closed session subject matters must be excluded from closed session discussions.²

Q. May the lawyer for someone suing the agency attend a closed session in order to explain to the legislative body why it should accept a settlement offer?

A. *No, attendance in closed sessions is reserved exclusively for the agency’s advisors.*

In general, the most common purpose of a closed session is to avoid revealing confidential information that may, in specified circumstances, prejudice the legal or negotiating position of the agency or compromise the privacy interests of employees. Closed sessions should be conducted keeping those narrow purposes in mind.

In this chapter, the grounds for convening a closed session are called “exceptions” because they are exceptions to the general rule that meetings must be conducted openly. In some circumstances, none of the closed session exceptions apply to an issue or information the legislative body wishes to discuss privately. In these cases, it is not proper to convene a closed session, even to protect confidential information. For example, the Brown Act does not authorize closed sessions for general contract negotiations.

■ AGENDAS AND REPORTS

Closed session items must be briefly described on the posted agenda and the description must state the specific statutory exemption. An item that appears on the open meeting portion of the agenda may not be taken into closed session until it has been properly agendized as a closed session or unless it is properly added as a closed session item by a two-thirds vote of the body after making the appropriate urgency findings.

The Brown Act supplies a series of fill-in-the-blank sample, agenda descriptions for various types of authorized closed sessions, which provide a “safe harbor” from legal attacks. These sample agenda descriptions cover license and permit determinations, real property negotiations, existing or anticipated litigation, liability claims, threats to security, public employee appointments, evaluations and discipline, labor negotiations, multi-jurisdictional drug cases, hospital boards of directors, and medical quality assurance committees.³

If the legislative body intends to convene in closed session, it must include the section of the Brown Act authorizing the closed session in advance on the agenda and it must make a public announcement prior to the closed session discussion. In most cases, the announcement may simply be a reference to the agenda item.⁴

Following a closed session the legislative body must provide an oral or written report on certain actions taken and the vote of every elected member present. The timing and content of the report varies according to the reason for the closed session.⁵ The announcements may be made at the site of the closed session, so long as the public is allowed to be present to hear them.

If there is a standing or written request for documentation, any copies of contracts, settlement agreements, or other documents finally approved or adopted in closed session must be provided to the requestor(s) after the closed session, if final approval of such documents does not rest with any other party to the contract or settlement. If substantive amendments to a contract or settlement agreement approved by all parties requires retyping, such documents may be held until retyping is completed during normal business hours, but the substance of the changes must be summarized for any person inquiring about them.⁶

The Brown Act does not require minutes, including minutes of closed session. A confidential “minute book” may be kept to record actions taken at closed sessions.⁷ If one is kept, it must be made available to members of the legislative body, provided that the member asking to review minutes of a particular meeting was not disqualified from attending the meeting due to a conflict of interest.⁸ A court may order the disclosure of minute books for the court’s review if a lawsuit makes sufficient claims of an open meeting violation.

Practice Tip:

Some problems over closed sessions arise because secrecy itself breeds distrust. The Brown Act does not require closed sessions and legislative bodies may do well to resist the tendency to call a closed session simply because it may be permitted. A better practice is to go into closed session only when necessary.

Practice Tip:

Pay close attention to closed session agenda descriptions. Using the wrong label can lead to invalidation of an action taken in closed session.

■ LITIGATION

There is an attorney/client relationship, and legal counsel may use it for privileged written and verbal communications—outside of meetings—to members of the legislative body. But protection of the attorney/client privilege cannot by itself be the reason for a closed session.⁹

The Brown Act expressly authorizes closed sessions to discuss what is considered litigation. The rules that apply to holding a litigation closed session involve complex, technical definitions and procedures. The essential thing to know is that a closed session can be held by the body to confer with, or receive advice from, its legal counsel when open discussion would prejudice the position of the local agency in litigation in which the agency is a party.¹⁰ The litigation exception under the Brown Act is narrowly construed and does not permit activities beyond a legislative body's conferring with its own legal counsel. For example, it is not permissible to hold a closed session in which settlement negotiations take place between a legislative body and an adverse party or to hold a closed session for the purpose of participation in a mediation.¹¹

The California Attorney General believes that if the agency's attorney is not a participant, a litigation closed session cannot be held.¹² In any event, local agency officials should always consult the agency's attorney before placing this type of closed session on the agenda, in order to be certain that it is being done properly.

Litigation that may be discussed in closed session includes the following three types of matters:

Existing litigation

- Q.** May the legislative body agree to settle a lawsuit in a properly-noticed closed session, without placing the settlement agreement on an open session agenda for public approval?
- A.** *Yes, but the settlement agreement is a public document and must be disclosed on request. Furthermore, a settlement agreement cannot commit the agency to matters that are required to have public hearings.*

In general, the most common purpose of a closed session is to avoid revealing confidential information that may, in specified circumstances, prejudice the legal or negotiating position of the agency or compromise the privacy interests of employees. Closed sessions should be conducted keeping those narrow purposes in mind.

Grounds for convening a closed session in this chapter are called "exceptions" because they are exceptions to the general rule that meetings must be conducted openly. In some circumstances, none of the closed session exceptions apply to an issue or information the legislative body wishes to discuss privately. It is improper in these cases, to convene a closed session, even to protect confidential information. For example, the Brown Act does not authorize closed sessions for general contract negotiations.

Existing litigation includes any adjudicatory proceedings before a court, administrative body exercising its adjudicatory authority, hearing officer, or arbitrator. The clearest situation in which a closed session is authorized is when the local agency meets with its legal counsel to discuss a pending matter that has been filed in a court or with an administrative agency and names the local agency as a party. The legislative body may meet under these circumstances to receive updates on the case from attorneys, participate in developing strategy as the case develops, or to consider alternatives for resolution of the case. Generally, an agreement to settle litigation may be approved in closed session. However, an agreement to settle litigation that requires actions that are subject to public hearings cannot be approved in closed session.¹³

Threatened litigation against the local agency

Closed sessions are authorized for legal counsel to inform the legislative body of specific facts and circumstances that suggest that the local agency has significant exposure to litigation. The Brown Act lists six separate categories of such facts and circumstances.¹⁴ The legislative body may also meet under this exception to determine whether a closed session is authorized based on information provided by legal counsel or staff.

Initiation of litigation by the local agency

A closed session may be held under the pending litigation exception when the legislative body seeks legal advice on whether to protect the agency's rights and interests by initiating litigation.

In certain cases, the circumstances and facts justifying the closed session must be publicly noticed on the agenda or announced at an open meeting. Before holding a closed session under the pending litigation exception, the legislative body must publicly state which of the three basic situations apply. It may do so simply by making a reference to the posted agenda.

Certain actions must be reported in open session at the same meeting following the closed session. Other actions, as where final approval rests with another party or the court, may be announced when they become final and upon inquiry of any person. Each agency attorney should be aware of and should make other disclosures that may be required in specific instances.



■ REAL ESTATE NEGOTIATIONS

A legislative body may meet in closed session with its negotiator to discuss the purchase, sale, exchange, or lease of real property by or for the local agency. A "lease" includes a lease renewal or renegotiation. The purpose is to grant authority to the legislative body's negotiator on price and terms of payment.¹⁵ Caution should be exercised to limit discussion to price and terms of payment without straying to other related issues such as site design, architecture, or other aspects of the project for which the transaction is contemplated.¹⁶

Q. May other terms of a real estate transaction, aside from price and terms of payment, be addressed in closed session?

A. *No. However, there are differing opinions over the scope of the phrase "price and terms of payment" in connection with real estate closed sessions. Many agency attorneys believe that any term that directly affects the economic value of the transaction falls within the ambit of "price and terms of payment." Others take a narrower, more literal view of the phrase.*

The agency's negotiator may be a member of the legislative body itself. Prior to the closed session, or on the agenda, the legislative body must identify its negotiator, the real property that the negotiations may concern and the names of the persons with whom its negotiator may negotiate.¹⁷

After real estate negotiations are concluded, the approval and substance of the agreement must be reported. If its own approval makes the agreement final, the body must report in open session at the public meeting during which the closed session is held. If final approval rests with another party, the local agency must report the approval as soon as informed of it. Once final, the substance of the agreement must be disclosed to anyone who inquires.



“Our population is exploding, and we have to think about new school sites,” said Board Member Jefferson.

“Not only that,” interjected Board Member Tanaka, “we need to get rid of a couple of our older facilities.”

“Well, obviously the place to do that is in a closed session,” said Board Member O’Reilly. “Otherwise we’re going to set off land speculation. And if we even mention closing a school, parents are going to be in an uproar.”

A closed session to discuss potential sites is not authorized by the Brown Act. The exception is limited to meeting with its negotiator over specific sites—which must be identified at an open and public meeting.

■ PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT

The Brown Act authorizes a closed session “to consider the appointment, employment, evaluation of performance, discipline, or dismissal of a public employee or to hear complaints or charges brought against the employee.”¹⁸ The purpose of this exception—commonly referred to as the “personnel exception”—is to avoid undue publicity or embarrassment for an employee or applicant for employment and to allow full and candid discussion by the legislative body; thus, it is restricted to discussing individuals, not general personnel policies.¹⁹ The body must possess the power to appoint, evaluate, or dismiss the employee to hold a closed session under this exception.²⁰ That authority may be delegated to a subsidiary appointed body.²¹

An employee must be given at least 24 hours notice of any closed session convened to hear specific complaints or charges against him or her. This occurs when the legislative body is reviewing evidence, which could include live testimony, and adjudicating conflicting testimony offered as evidence. The employee has the right to have the specific complaints and charges discussed in a public session rather than closed session.²² If the employee is not given notice, any disciplinary action is null and void.²³

Practice Tip:

Discussions of who to appoint to an advisory body and whether or not to censure a fellow member of the legislative body must be held in the open.

- Q.** Must 24 hours notice be given to an employee whose negative performance evaluation is to be considered by the legislative body in closed session?
- A.** *No, the notice is reserved for situations where the body is to hear complaints and charges from witnesses.*

However, an employee is not entitled to notice and a hearing where the purpose of the closed session is to consider a performance evaluation. The Attorney General and the courts have determined that personnel performance evaluations do not constitute complaints and charges, which are more akin to accusations made against a person.²⁴

Correct labeling of the closed session on the agenda is critical. A closed session agenda that identified discussion of an employment contract was not sufficient to allow dismissal of an employee.²⁵ An incorrect agenda description can result in invalidation of an action and much embarrassment.

For purposes of the personnel exception, “employee” specifically includes an officer or an independent contractor who functions as an officer or an employee. Examples of the former include a city manager, district general manager or superintendent. An example of the latter is a legal counsel or engineer hired on contract to act as local agency attorney or chief engineer.

Elected officials, appointees to the governing body or subsidiary bodies, and independent contractors other than those discussed above are not employees for purposes of the personnel exception.²⁶ Action on individuals who are not “employees” must also be public—including discussing and voting on appointees to committees, or debating the merits of independent contractors, or considering a complaint against a member of the legislative body itself.

The personnel exception specifically prohibits discussion or action on proposed compensation in closed session, except for a disciplinary reduction in pay. Among other things, that means there can be no personnel closed sessions on a salary change (other than a disciplinary reduction) between any unrepresented individual and the legislative body. However, a legislative body may address the compensation of an unrepresented individual, such as a city manager, in a closed session as part of a labor negotiation (discussed later in this chapter), yet another example of the importance of using correct agenda descriptions.

Reclassification of a job must be public, but an employee’s ability to fill that job may be considered in closed session. Any closed session action to appoint, employ, dismiss, accept the resignation of, or otherwise affect the employment status of a public employee must be reported at the public meeting during which the closed session is held. That report must identify the title of the position, but not the names of all persons considered for an employment position.²⁷ However, a report on a dismissal or non-renewal of an employment contract must be deferred until administrative remedies, if any, are exhausted.²⁸

“I have some important news to announce,” said Mayor Garcia. “We’ve decided to terminate the contract of the city manager, effective immediately. The council has met in closed session and we’ve negotiated six months severance pay.”

“Unfortunately, that has some serious budget consequences, so we’ve had to delay phase two of the East Area Project.”

This may be an improper use of the personnel closed session if the council agenda described the item as the city manager’s evaluation. In addition, other than labor negotiations, any action on individual compensation must be taken in open session. Caution should be exercised to not discuss in closed session issues, such as budget impacts in this hypothetical, beyond the scope of the posted closed session notice.

- Q.** The school board is meeting in closed session to evaluate the superintendent and to consider giving her a pay raise. May the superintendent attend the closed session?
- A.** *The superintendent may attend the portion of the closed session devoted to her evaluation, but may not be present during discussion of her pay raise. Discussion of the superintendent’s compensation in closed session is limited to giving direction to the school board’s negotiator. Also, the clerk should be careful to notice the closed session on the agenda as both an evaluation and a labor negotiation.*

Practice Tip:

The personnel exception specifically prohibits discussion or action on proposed compensation in closed session except for a disciplinary reduction in pay.

■ LABOR NEGOTIATIONS

The Brown Act allows closed sessions for some aspects of labor negotiations. Different provisions (discussed below) apply to school and community college districts.

A legislative body may meet in closed session to instruct its bargaining representatives, which may be one or more of its members,²⁹ on employee salaries and fringe benefits for both union and non-union employees. For represented employees, it may also consider working conditions that by law require negotiation. These sessions may take place before or during negotiations with employee representatives. Prior to the closed session, the legislative body must hold an open and public session in which it identifies its designated representatives.

During its discussions with representatives on salaries and fringe benefits, the legislative body may also discuss available funds and funding priorities, but only to instruct its representative. The body may also meet in closed session with a conciliator who has intervened in negotiations.³⁰

The approval of an agreement concluding labor negotiations with represented employees must be reported after the agreement is final and has been accepted or ratified by the other party. The report must identify the item approved and the other party or parties to the negotiation.³¹ The labor sessions specifically cannot include final action on proposed compensation of one or more unrepresented employees. For purposes of this prohibition, an “employee” includes an officer or an independent contractor who functions as an officer or an employee. Independent contractors who do not serve in the capacity of an officer or employee are not covered by this closed session exception.

■ LABOR NEGOTIATIONS—SCHOOL AND COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICTS

Employee relations for school districts and community college districts are governed by the Rodda Act, where different meeting and special notice provisions apply. The entire board, for example, may negotiate in closed sessions.

Four types of meetings are exempted from compliance with the Rodda Act:

- (1) A negotiating session with a recognized or certified employee organization;
- (2) A meeting of a mediator with either side;
- (3) A hearing or meeting held by a fact finder or arbitrator; and
- (4) A session between the board and its bargaining agent, or the board alone, to discuss its position regarding employee working conditions and instruct its agent.³²

Public participation under the Rodda Act also takes another form.³³ All initial proposals of both sides must be presented at public meetings and are public records. The public must be given reasonable time to inform itself and to express its views before the district may adopt its initial proposal. In addition, new topics of negotiations must be made public within 24 hours. Any votes on such a topic must be followed within 24 hours by public disclosure of the vote of each member.³⁴ The final vote must be in public.

Practice Tip:

Prior to the closed session, the legislative body must hold an open and public session in which it identifies its designated representatives.



■ OTHER EDUCATION CODE EXCEPTIONS

The Education Code governs student disciplinary meetings by boards of school districts and community college districts. District boards may hold a closed session to consider the suspension or discipline of a student, if a public hearing would reveal personal, disciplinary, or academic information about the student contrary to state and federal pupil privacy law. The student's parent or guardian may request an open meeting.³⁵

Community college districts may also hold closed sessions to discuss some student disciplinary matters, awarding of honorary degrees, or gifts from donors who prefer to remain anonymous.³⁶ Kindergarten through 12th grade districts may also meet in closed session to review the contents of the statewide assessment instrument.³⁷

■ GRAND JURY TESTIMONY

A legislative body, including its members as individuals, may testify in private before a grand jury, either individually or as a group.³⁸ Attendance by the entire legislative body before a grand jury would not constitute a closed session meeting under the Brown Act, since the body would not be meeting to make decisions or reach a consensus on issues within the body's subject matter jurisdiction.

■ LICENSE APPLICANTS WITH CRIMINAL RECORDS

A closed session is permitted when an applicant, who has a criminal record, applies for a license or license renewal and the legislative body wishes to discuss whether the applicant is sufficiently rehabilitated to receive the license. If the body decides to deny the license, the applicant may withdraw the application. If the applicant does not withdraw, the body must deny the license in public, immediately or at its next meeting. No information from the closed session can be revealed without consent of the applicant, unless the applicant takes action to challenge the denial.³⁹

■ PUBLIC SECURITY

Legislative bodies may meet in closed session to discuss matters posing a threat to the security of public buildings, essential public services, including water, sewer, gas, or electric service, or to the public's right of access to public services or facilities over which the legislative body has jurisdiction. Closed session meetings for these purposes must be held with designated security or law enforcement officials including the Attorney General, district attorney, agency attorney, sheriff or chief of police, or their deputies or agency security consultant or security operations manager.⁴⁰ Action taken in closed session with respect to such public security issues is not reportable action.

■ MULTIJURISDICTIONAL DRUG LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY

A joint powers agency formed to provide drug law enforcement services to multiple jurisdictions may hold closed sessions to discuss case records of an on-going criminal investigation, to hear testimony from persons involved in the investigation, and to discuss courses of action in particular cases.⁴¹

The exception applies to the legislative body of the joint powers agency and to any body advisory to it. The purpose is to prevent impairment of investigations, to protect witnesses and informants, and to permit discussion of effective courses of action.⁴²

Practice Tip:

Attendance by the entire legislative body before a grand jury would not constitute a closed session meeting under the Brown Act.

■ HOSPITAL PEER REVIEW AND TRADE SECRETS

Two specific kinds of closed sessions are allowed for district hospitals and municipal hospitals, under other provisions of law.⁴³

1. A meeting to hear reports of hospital medical audit or quality assurance committees, or for related deliberations. However, an applicant or medical staff member whose staff privileges are the direct subject of a hearing may request a public hearing.
2. A meeting to discuss “reports involving trade secrets”—provided no action is taken.

A “trade secret” is defined as information which is not generally known to the public or competitors and which: (1) “derives independent economic value, actual or potential” by virtue of its restricted knowledge; (2) is necessary to initiate a new hospital service or program or facility; and (3) would, if prematurely disclosed, create a substantial probability of depriving the hospital of a substantial economic benefit.

The provision prohibits use of closed sessions to discuss transitions in ownership or management, or the district’s dissolution.⁴⁴

■ THE CONFIDENTIALITY OF CLOSED SESSION DISCUSSIONS

It is not uncommon for agency officials to complain that confidential information is being leaked from closed sessions. The Brown Act prohibits the disclosure of confidential information acquired in a closed session by any person present and offers various remedies to address willful breaches of confidentiality.⁴⁵

It is incumbent upon all those attending lawful closed sessions to protect the confidentiality of those discussions. One court has held that members of a legislative body cannot be compelled to divulge the content of closed session discussions through the discovery process.⁴⁶ Only the legislative body acting as a body may agree to divulge confidential closed session information; regarding attorney/client privileged communications, the entire body is the holder of the privilege and only the entire body can decide to waive the privilege.⁴⁷

Before adoption of the Brown Act provision specifically prohibiting disclosure of closed session communications, agency attorneys and the Attorney General long believed that officials have a fiduciary duty to protect the confidentiality of closed session discussions. The Attorney General issued an opinion that it is “improper” for officials to disclose information received during a closed session regarding pending litigation,⁴⁸ though the Attorney General has also concluded that a local agency may not go so far as to adopt an ordinance criminalizing public disclosure of closed session discussions.⁴⁹ In any event, the Brown Act now prescribes remedies for breaches of confidentiality. These include injunctive relief, disciplinary action against an employee, and referral of a member of the legislative body to the grand jury.⁵⁰

The duty of maintaining confidentiality, of course, must give way to the obligation to disclose improper matters or discussions that may come up in closed sessions. In recognition of this public policy, the Brown Act exempts from its prohibition against disclosure of closed session communications disclosure of closed session information to the district attorney or the grand jury due to a perceived violation of law, expressions of opinion concerning the propriety or legality of actions taken in closed session, including disclosure of the nature and extent of the illegal action, and disclosing information that is not confidential.⁵¹

Practice Tip:

There is a strong interest in protecting the confidentiality of proper and lawful closed sessions.

The interplay between these possible sanctions and an official's first amendment rights is complex and beyond the scope of this guide. Suffice it to say that this is a matter of great sensitivity and controversy.

"I want the press to know that I voted in closed session against filing the eminent domain action," said Council Member Chang.

"Don't settle too soon," reveals Council Member Watson to the property owner, over coffee.
"The city's offer coming your way is not our bottom line."

The first comment to the press is appropriate—the Brown Act requires that certain final votes taken in closed session be reported publicly.⁵² The second comment to the property owner is not—disclosure of confidential information acquired in closed session is expressly prohibited and harmful to the agency.

Endnotes

- 1 61 Ops.Cal.Atty.Gen. 220 (1978)
- 2 82 Ops.Cal.Atty.Gen. 29 (1999)
- 3 California Government Code section 54954.5
- 4 California Government Code sections 54956.9 and 54957.7
- 5 California Government Code section 54957.1(a)
- 6 California Government Code section 54957.1(b)
- 7 California Government Code section 54957.2
- 8 *Hamilton v. Town of Los Gatos* (1989) 213 Cal.App.3d 1050; 2 Cal.Code Regs. section 18702.1(c)
- 9 *Roberts v. City of Palmdale* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363
- 10 California Government Code section 54956.9; *Shapiro v. Board of Directors of Center City Development Corp.* (2005) 134 Cal.App.4th 170 (agency must be a party to the litigation).
- 11 *Page v. Miracosta Community College District* (2009) 180 Cal.App.4th 471
- 12 "The Brown Act," California Attorney General (2003), p. 40
- 13 *Trancas Property Owners Association v. City of Malibu* (2006) 138 Cal.App.4th 172
- 14 Government Code section 54956.9(b)
- 15 California Government Code section 54956.8
- 16 *Shapiro v. San Diego City Council* (2002) 96 Cal.App.4th 172; see also ___ Ops.Cal.Atty.Gen. ___ (May 21, 2010) (2010 WL 2150433) (concluding it is impermissible for a redevelopment agency to meet in closed session to discuss the terms of a rehabilitation loan to a business that was leasing property from the agency when the terms and conditions of the lease itself were not also a matter of discussion.)
- 17 California Government Code section 54956.8
- 18 California Government Code section 54957(b)
- 19 63 Ops.Cal.Atty.Gen. 215 (1980); but see: *Duvall v. Board of Trustees* (2000) 93 Cal.App.4th 902 (board may discuss personnel evaluation criteria, process and other preliminary matters in closed session).
- 20 *Gillespie v. San Francisco Public Library Commission* (1998) 67 Cal.App.4th 1165; 85 Ops.Cal.Atty.Gen. 77 (2002)
- 21 *Gillespie v. San Francisco Public Library Commission* (1998) 67 Cal.App.4th 1165; 80 Ops.Cal.Atty.Gen. 308 (1997). Interviews of candidates to fill a vacant staff position conducted by a temporary committee appointed by the governing body may be done in closed session.
- 22 *Morrison v. Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles* (2003) 107 Cal.App.4th 860
- 23 California Government Code section 54957
- 24 78 Ops.Cal.Atty.Gen. 218 (1995); *Bell v. Vista Unified School District* (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 672; *Furtado v. Sierra Community College* (1998) 68 Cal.App.4th 876; *Fischer v. Los Angeles Unified School District* (1999) 70 Cal.App.4th 87
- 25 *Moreno v. City of King* (2005) 127 Cal.App.4th 17
- 26 California Government Code section 54957
- 27 *Gillespie v. San Francisco Public Library Commission* (1998) 67 Cal.App.4th 1165
- 28 California Government Code section 54957.1(a)(5)

- 29 California Government Code section 54957.6
- 30 57 Ops.Cal.Atty.Gen. 209 (1974)
- 31 California Government Code section 54957.1(a)(6)
- 32 California Government Code section 3549.1
- 33 California Government Code section 3540
- 34 California Government Code section 3547
- 35 California Education Code section 48918, but see *Rim of the World Unified School District v. Superior Court* (2003) 104 Cal.App.4th 1393 (Section 48918 preempted by the Federal Family Educational Right and Privacy Act in regard to expulsion proceedings.)
- 36 California Education Code section 72122
- 37 California Education Code section 60617
- 38 California Government Code section 54953.1
- 39 California Government Code section 54956.7
- 40 California Government Code section 54957
- 41 *McKee v. Los Angeles Interagency Metropolitan Police Apprehension Crime Task Force* (2005) 134 Cal.App.4th 354
- 42 California Government Code section 54957.8
- 43 California Government Code section 54962
- 44 California Health and Safety Code section 32106
- 45 Government Code section 54963
- 46 *Kleitman v. Superior Court* (1999) 74 Cal.App.4th 324, 327; see also: California Government Code section 54963
- 47 *Roberts v. City of Palmdale* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363
- 48 80 Ops.Cal.Atty.Gen. 231 (1997)
- 49 76 Ops.Cal.Atty.Gen. 289 (1993)
- 50 California Government Code section 54963
- 51 California Government Code section 54963
- 52 California Government Code section 54957.1

Updates to this publication responding to changes in the Brown Act or new court interpretations are available at www.cacities.org/opengovernment. A current version of the Brown Act may be found at www.leginfo.ca.gov.

CHAPTER 6:

REMEDIES



INVALIDATION

CIVIL ACTION TO PREVENT
FUTURE VIOLATIONS

COSTS AND ATTORNEY'S FEES

CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS

VOLUNTARY RESOLUTION

CHAPTER 6:

REMEDIES



Certain violations of the Brown Act are designated as misdemeanors, although by far the most commonly used enforcement provisions are those that authorize civil actions to invalidate specified actions taken in violation of the Brown Act and to stop or prevent future violations. Still, despite all the safeguards and remedies to enforce them, it is ultimately impossible for the public to monitor every aspect of public officials' interactions. Compliance ultimately results from regular training and a good measure of self-regulation on the part of public officials. This chapter discusses the remedies available to the public when that self-regulation is ineffective.

■ INVALIDATION

Any interested person, including the district attorney, may seek to invalidate certain actions of a legislative body on the ground that they violate the Brown Act.¹ Violations of the Brown Act, however, cannot be invalidated if they involve the following types of actions:

- Those taken in substantial compliance with the law;
- Those involving the sale or issuance of notes, bonds or other indebtedness, or any related contracts or agreements;
- Those creating a contractual obligation, including a contract awarded by competitive bid for other than compensation for professional services, upon which a party has in good faith relied to its detriment;
- Those connected with the collection of any tax; or
- Those in which the complaining party had actual notice at least 72 hours prior to the meeting at which the action is taken.

Before filing a court action seeking invalidation, a person who believes that a violation has occurred must send a written "cure or correct" demand to the legislative body. This demand must clearly describe the challenged action, the nature of the claimed violation, and the "cure" sought. This demand must be sent within 90 days of the alleged violation or 30 days if the action was taken in open session but in violation of Section 54954.2, which requires (subject to specific exceptions) that only properly agendized items are acted on by the governing body during a meeting.² The legislative body then has up to 30 days to cure and correct its action. If it does not act, any lawsuit must be filed within the next 15 days.

The purpose of this requirement is to offer the body an opportunity to consider whether a violation has occurred and to weigh its options before litigation is filed. The Brown Act does not specify how to cure or correct a violation; the best method is to rescind the action being complained of and to start over.

Although just about anyone has standing to bring an action for invalidation,³ the challenger must show prejudice as a result of the alleged violation.⁴ An action to invalidate fails to state a cause of action against the agency if the body deliberated but did not take an action.⁵

■ CIVIL ACTION TO PREVENT FUTURE VIOLATIONS

The district attorney or any interested person can file a civil action asking the court to:

- Stop or prevent violations or threatened violations of the Brown Act by members of the legislative body of a local agency;
- Determine the applicability of the Brown Act to actions or threatened future action of the legislative body;
- Determine whether any rule or action by the legislative body to penalize or otherwise discourage the expression of one or more of its members is valid under state or federal law; or
- Compel the legislative body to tape record its closed sessions.

It is not necessary for a challenger to prove a past pattern or practice of violations by the local agency in order to obtain injunctive relief. A court may presume when issuing an injunction that a single violation will continue in the future where the public agency refuses to admit to the alleged violation or to renounce or curtail the practice.⁶ Note, however, that a court may not compel elected officials to disclose their recollections of what transpired in a closed session.⁷

Upon finding a violation of the Brown Act pertaining to closed sessions, a court may compel the legislative body to tape record its future closed sessions. In a subsequent lawsuit to enforce the Brown Act alleging a violation occurring in closed session, a court may upon motion of the plaintiff review the tapes if there is good cause to think the Brown Act has been violated, and make public the relevant portion of the closed session recording.

■ COSTS AND ATTORNEY'S FEES

Someone who successfully invalidates an action taken in violation of the Brown Act or who successfully enforces one of the Brown Act's civil remedies may seek court costs and reasonable attorney's fees. Courts have held that attorney's fees must be awarded to a successful plaintiff unless special circumstances exist that would make a fee award against the public agency unjust.⁸ When evaluating how to respond to assertions that the Brown Act has been violated, elected officials and their lawyers should assume that attorneys fees will be awarded against the agency if a violation of the Act is proven.

An attorney fee award may only be directed against the local agency and not the individual members of the legislative body. If the local agency prevails, it may be awarded court costs and attorney's fees if the court finds the lawsuit was clearly frivolous and lacking in merit.⁹

■ CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS

A violation of the Brown Act by a member of the legislative body who acts with the improper intent described below is punishable as a misdemeanor.¹⁰

A criminal violation has two components. The first is that there must be an overt act—a member of a legislative body must attend a meeting at which action is taken in violation of the Brown Act.¹¹

"Action taken" is not only an actual vote, but also a collective decision, commitment or promise by a

Practice Tip:

A lawsuit to invalidate must be preceded by a demand to cure and correct the challenged action in order to give the legislative body an opportunity to consider its options.



Practice Tip:

Attorney's fees will likely be awarded if a violation of the Brown Act is proven.

Practice Tip:

Training and exercising good judgment can help avoid Brown Act conflicts. If an arguably meritorious procedural challenge is raised, it may be more prudent to voluntarily re-notice and reconsider the action subject to the challenge.

majority of the legislative body to make a positive or negative decision.¹² If the meeting involves mere deliberation without the taking of action, there can be no misdemeanor penalty.

A violation occurs for a tentative as well as final decision.¹³ In fact, criminal liability is triggered by a member's participation in a meeting in violation of the Brown Act—not whether that member has voted with the majority or minority, or has voted at all.

The second component of a criminal violation is that action is taken with the intent of a member “to deprive the public of information to which the member knows or has reason to know the public is entitled” by the Brown Act.¹⁴

As with other misdemeanors, the filing of a complaint is up to the district attorney. Although criminal prosecutions of the Brown Act are uncommon, district attorneys in some counties aggressively monitor public agencies' adherence to the requirements of the law.

■ VOLUNTARY RESOLUTION

Arguments over Brown Act issues often become emotional on all sides. Newspapers trumpet relatively minor violations, unhappy residents fume over an action, and legislative bodies clam up about information better discussed in public. Hard lines are drawn and rational discussion breaks down. The district attorney or even the grand jury occasionally becomes involved. Publicity surrounding alleged violations of the Brown Act can result in a loss of confidence by constituents in the legislative body. There are times when it may be preferable to consider re-noticing and rehearing, rather than litigating, an item of significant public interest, particularly when there is any doubt about whether the open meeting requirements were satisfied.

At bottom, agencies that regularly train their officials and pay close attention to the requirements of the Brown Act will have little reason to worry about enforcement.

Endnotes

- 1 California Government Code section 54960.1. Invalidation is limited to actions that violate the following sections of the Brown Act: section 54953 (the basic open meeting provision); sections 54954.2 and 54954.5 (notice and agenda requirements for regular meetings and closed sessions); 54954.6 (tax hearings); and 54956 (special meetings). Violations of sections not listed above cannot give rise to invalidation actions, but are subject to the other remedies listed in section 5490.1.
- 2 California Government Code section 54960.1 (b) and (c)(1)
- 3 *McKee v. Orange Unified School District* (2003) 110 Cal.App.4th 1310
- 4 *Cohan v. City of Thousand Oaks* (1994) 30 Cal.App.4th 547, 556, 571
- 5 *Boyle v. City of Redondo Beach* (1999) 70 Cal.App.4th 1109, 1117-18
- 6 *California Alliance for Utility Safety and Education (CAUSE) v. City of San Diego* (1997) 56 Cal.App.4th 1024; *Common Cause v. Stirling* (1983) 147 Cal.App.3d 518, 524. *Accord Shapiro v. San Diego City Council* (2002) 96 Cal. App. 4th 904, 916 & fn.6
- 7 *Kleitman v. Superior Court* (1999) 74 Cal.App.4th 324, 334-36
- 8 *Los Angeles Times Communications, LLC v. Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors* (2003) 112 Cal.App.4th 1313, 1324-27 and cases cited therein.
- 9 California Government Code section 54960.5
- 10 California Government Code section 54959. A misdemeanor is punishable by a fine of up to \$1,000 or up to six months in county jail, or both. California Penal Code section 19. Employees of the agency who participate in violations of the Brown Act cannot be punished criminally under section 54959. However, at least one district attorney instituted criminal action against employees based on the theory that they criminally conspired with the members of the legislative body to commit a crime under section 54949.
- 11 California Government Code section 54959
- 12 California Government Code section 54952.6
- 13 61 Ops.Cal.Atty.Gen.283 (1978)
- 14 California Government Code section 54959

Updates to this publication responding to changes in the Brown Act or new court interpretations are available at www.cacities.org/opengovernment. A current version of the Brown Act may be found at www.leginfo.ca.gov.





OPEN & PUBLIC IV:
A GUIDE TO THE RALPH M. BROWN ACT, 2ND EDITION

1400 K Street, Sacramento, CA 95814
Phone: (916) 658-8200 | Fax: (916) 658-8240
www.cacities.org

Price: \$25.00
SKU 789

THE BROWN ACT

OPEN MEETINGS FOR
LOCAL LEGISLATIVE BODIES



2003

CALIFORNIA ATTORNEY
GENERAL'S OFFICE

THE --- BROWN --- ACT

OPEN MEETINGS FOR LOCAL LEGISLATIVE BODIES

Office of the Attorney General
Bill Lockyer
Attorney General

Prepared by the Division of Civil Law

Chief Assistant Attorney General Andrea Lynn Hoch
Deputy Attorney General Ted Prim, Editor



State of California
Office of the Attorney General
Bill Lockyer
Attorney General

Throughout California's history, local legislative bodies have played a vital role in bringing participatory democracy to the citizens of the state. Local legislative bodies - such as boards, councils and commissions - are created in recognition of the fact that several minds are better than one, and that through debate and discussion, the best ideas will emerge. The law which guarantees the public's right to attend and participate in meetings of local legislative bodies is the Ralph M. Brown Act.

While local legislative bodies generally are required to hold meetings in open forum, the Brown Act recognizes the need, under limited circumstances, for these bodies to meet in private in order to carry out their responsibilities in the best interests of the public. For example, the law contains a personnel exception based on notions of personal privacy, and a pending litigation exception based upon the precept that government agencies should not be disadvantaged in planning litigation strategy. Although the principle of open meetings initially seems simple, application of the law to real life situations can prove to be quite complex.

The purpose of this pamphlet is to provide a brief description of the Brown Act, along with a discussion of court decisions and opinions of this office that add to our understanding by applying it in specific factual contexts. We hope this pamphlet will assist both public officials and those who monitor the performance of local legislative bodies to minimize and resolve disputes over interpretations of the Brown Act. In recent years, both the California Supreme Court and the courts of appeal have recognized the benefit of pamphlets issued by our office. This recognition by the courts, along with many favorable comments from members of the public, strengthens our resolve to continue producing reliable informational materials on the Brown Act and other California laws. Publication of these materials constitutes a tradition of service that we value greatly.

Ideas and suggestions for future editions of this pamphlet are welcomed and should be addressed to the editor.

Sincerely,

BILL LOCKYER
Attorney General

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
INTRODUCTION	v
SUMMARY OF KEY BROWN ACT PROVISIONS	vi
I. PURPOSE AND SCOPE	1
II. BODIES SUBJECT TO THE BROWN ACT	2
1. Local Agencies	3
2. Legislative Bodies	4
A. Governing Bodies	5
B. Subsidiary Bodies	5
C. Private or Nonprofit Corporations and Other Entities	6
D. Hospital Lessees	7
III. MEETING DEFINED	8
1. Face to Face Meetings	8
A. Conferences and Retreats	9
B. Other Public Meetings	10
C. Meetings of Other Legislative Bodies	10
D. Social or Ceremonial Occasions	11
2. Serial Meetings	11
3. Individual Contacts Between Members of the Public and Board Members	13
4. Teleconference Meetings	14
5. Writings as Meetings	15

Table of Contents
(Continued)

	<u>Page</u>
IV. NOTICE AND AGENDA REQUIREMENTS	15
1. Regular Meetings	16
A. Agenda Requirement	16
B. Exceptions to Agenda Requirements	18
C. Public Testimony	18
2. Special Meetings	20
3. Emergency Meetings	20
4. Closed Sessions	21
A. Agenda Requirement	21
B. Oral Announcement Prior to Closed Sessions	23
C. Report at the Conclusion of Closed Sessions	24
5. Adjournments and Continuances	25
6. Location of Meetings	26
7. Special Procedures Regarding Taxes and Assessments	27
V. RIGHTS OF THE PUBLIC	27
VI. PERMISSIBLE CLOSED SESSIONS	30
1. Introduction	30
A. Narrow Construction	30
B. Semi-Closed Meetings	31
C. Secret Ballots	31
D. Confidentiality of Closed Session	32

Table of Contents
(Continued)

	<u>Page</u>
2. Authorized Exceptions	33
A. Personnel Exception	33
B. Pending Litigation and the Attorney-Client Privilege	37
(1) Historical Background	37
(2) Pending Litigation Exception	37
C. Real Property Negotiations Exception	41
D. Labor Negotiations Exception	42
E. Public Security Exception	43
F. License Application Exception	43
3. Minute Book	43
VII. PENALTIES AND REMEDIES FOR VIOLATION OF THE ACT	44
1. Criminal Penalties	44
2. Civil Remedies	44
A. Injunctive, Mandatory or Declaratory Relief	44
B. Voidability of Action	45
C. Attorney Fees	47
APPENDIX A	49
TIME DEADLINES	49
APPENDIX B	50
THE RALPH M. BROWN ACT	51

Table of Contents
(Continued)

	<u>Page</u>
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	84
Cases	85
Statutes	88
Attorney General Opinions	92
Other Authorities	94

INTRODUCTION

This pamphlet concerns the provisions of the Ralph M. Brown Act, which govern open meetings for local government bodies. The Brown Act is contained in section 54950 et seq. of the Government Code. Accordingly, all statutory references in this pamphlet are to the Government Code unless otherwise noted. The pamphlet contains a table of contents, which may also serve as a topical outline for the reader. The pamphlet also includes a brief summary of the main provisions of the Brown Act, along with references to the appropriate Government Code sections and chapters of the text. The text includes a discussion of the law along with tips on how the law should be applied in particular situations. Numerous references are made to legal authorities throughout the text. A copy of the Brown Act in its entirety is set forth in the appendix to the pamphlet. Lastly, the pamphlet contains a table of authorities so that the reader can determine all of the places in the text where references are made to a particular authority.

In preparing this pamphlet, we relied on a variety of legal resources. Appellate court cases were consulted and are cited throughout the pamphlet. While most of the more significant cases are discussed, this pamphlet is not intended to be a compendium of all court cases in this area. In addition, we drew upon published opinions and unpublished letter opinions issued by this office. Attorney General opinions, unlike appellate court decisions, are advisory only and do not constitute the law of the state. However, with respect to the Brown Act, the courts have frequently adopted the analysis of Attorney General opinions, and have commented favorably on the service afforded by those opinions and this pamphlet. (*Bell v. Vista Unified School Dist.* (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 672; *Freedom Newspapers v. Orange County Employees Retirement System* (1993) 6 Cal. 4th 821, 829.)

Published opinions are cited by volume and page number (e.g., 32 Ops.Cal.Atty.Gen. 240 (1958)). Unpublished letter opinions are cited as indexed letters by year and page number (e.g., Cal.Atty.Gen., Indexed Letter, No. IL 76-201 (October 20, 1976).) Published opinions are available through law libraries and some attorneys' offices. As a general rule, indexed letters are available only in the Office of the Attorney General. Copies may be obtained by a request to the Public Inquiry Unit of the Office of the Attorney General.

If you have specific questions or problems, the statutes, cases and opinions should be consulted. You also may wish to refer the matter to the attorney for the agency in question, a private attorney or the district attorney.

The pamphlet is current through January 2003 with respect to statutes, case law, and Attorney General opinions.

SUMMARY OF KEY BROWN ACT PROVISIONS

COVERAGE

PREAMBLE:

Public commissions, boards, councils and other legislative bodies of local government agencies exist to aid in the conduct of the people's business. The people do not yield their sovereignty to the bodies that serve them. The people insist on remaining informed to retain control over the legislative bodies they have created.	54950	Ch. I
--	-------	-------

GOVERNING BODIES:

Includes city councils, boards of supervisors, and district boards. Also covered are other legislative bodies of local government agencies created by state or federal law.	54952(a)	Ch. I & II
---	----------	------------

SUBSIDIARY BODIES:

Includes boards or commissions of a local government agency as well as standing committees of a legislative body. A standing committee has continuing subject matter jurisdiction or a meeting schedule set by its parent body. Less-than-a-quorum advisory committees, other than standing committees, are exempt.	54952(b)	Ch. II
---	----------	--------

PRIVATE OR NONPROFIT CORPORATIONS OR ENTITIES:

Covered only if:

- | | | | |
|----|--|----------------|--------|
| a. | A legislative body delegates some of its functions to a private corporation or entity; or | 54952(c)(1)(A) | Ch. II |
| b. | If a legislative body provides some funding to a private corporation or entity and appoints one of its members to serve as a voting member of entity's board of directors. | 54952(c)(1)(B) | |

MEETING DEFINED

INCLUDES:

Any gathering of a quorum of a legislative body to discuss or transact business under the body's jurisdiction; serial meetings are prohibited.	54952.2	Ch. III
--	---------	---------

EXEMPTS:

- | | | | |
|-----|---|----------------------------|---------|
| (1) | Individual contacts between board members and others which do not constitute serial meetings; | 54952.2(c)(1) | Ch. III |
| (2) | Attendance at conferences and other gatherings which are open to public so long as members of legislative bodies do not discuss among themselves business of a specific nature under the body's jurisdiction; | 54952.2(c)(2), (3) and (4) | |
| (3) | Attendance at social or ceremonial events where no business of the body is discussed. | 54952.2(c)(5) | |

LOCATIONS OF MEETINGS:

A body must conduct its meetings within the boundaries of its jurisdiction unless it qualifies for a specific exemption.	54954	Ch. IV
--	-------	--------

TELECONFERENCE MEETINGS:

Teleconference meetings may be held under carefully defined conditions. The meeting notice must specifically identify all teleconference locations, and each such location must be fully accessible to members of the public.	54953	Ch. III
---	-------	---------

PUBLIC RIGHTS

PUBLIC TESTIMONY:

Public may comment on agenda items before or during consideration by legislative body. Time must be set aside for public to comment on any other matters under the body's jurisdiction.	54954.3	Ch. IV & V
---	---------	------------

NON-DISCRIMINATORY FACILITIES:

Meetings may not be conducted in a facility that excludes persons on the basis of their race, religion, color, national origin, ancestry, or sex, or that is inaccessible to disabled persons, or where members of the public may not be present without making a payment or purchase.	54953.2; 54961	Ch. V
--	----------------	-------

COPY OF RECORDING:

Public may obtain a copy, at cost, of an existing tape recording made by the legislative body of its public sessions, and to listen to or view the body's original tape on a tape recorder or viewing device provided by the agency.	54953.5	Ch. V
--	---------	-------

PUBLIC VOTE:

All votes, except for those cast in permissible closed session, must be cast in public. No secret ballots, whether preliminary or final, are permitted.	54953(c)	Ch. VI
---	----------	--------

CLOSED MEETING ACTIONS/DOCUMENTS:

At an open session following a closed session, the body must report on final action taken in closed session under specified circumstances. Where final action is taken with respect to contracts, settlement agreements and other specified records, the public may receive copies of such records upon request.	54957.1	Ch. IV, V & VI
--	---------	----------------

TAPING OR BROADCASTING:

Meetings may be broadcast, audio-recorded or video-recorded so long as the activity does not constitute a disruption of the proceeding.	54953.5; 54953.6	Ch. V
---	---------------------	-------

CONDITIONS TO ATTENDANCE:

Public may not be asked to register or identify themselves or to pay fees in order to attend public meetings.	54953.3; 54961	Ch. V
---	-------------------	-------

PUBLIC RECORDS:

Materials provided to a majority of a body which are not exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act must be provided, upon request, to members of the public without delay.	54957.5	Ch. V
--	---------	-------

REQUIRED NOTICES AND AGENDAS

REGULAR MEETINGS:

Agenda containing brief general description (approximately twenty words in length) of each matter to be considered or discussed must be posted at least 72 hours prior to meeting.	54954.2	Ch. IV
--	---------	--------

SPECIAL MEETINGS:

Twenty-four hour notice must be provided to members of legislative body and media outlets including brief general description of matters to be considered or discussed.	54956	Ch. IV
---	-------	--------

EMERGENCY MEETINGS:

One hour notice in case of work stoppage or crippling activity, except in the case of a dire emergency.	54956.5	Ch. IV
---	---------	--------

CLOSED SESSION AGENDAS:

All items to be considered in closed session must be described in the notice or agenda for the meeting. A model format for closed-session agendas appears in section 54954.5. Prior to each closed session, the body must orally announce the subject matter of the closed session. If final action is taken in closed session, the body generally must report the action at the conclusion of the closed session.	54954.2; 54954.5; 54957.1 and 54957.7	Ch. IV
--	--	--------

AGENDA EXCEPTION:

Special procedures permit a body to proceed without an agenda in the case of emergency circumstances, or where a need for immediate action came to the attention of the body after posting of the agenda.	54954.2(b)	Ch. IV
---	------------	--------

CLOSED-SESSION MEETINGS

PERSONNEL EXEMPTION:

The body may conduct a closed session to consider appointment, employment, evaluation of performance, discipline or dismissal of an employee. With respect to complaints or charges against an employee brought by another person or another employee, the employee must be notified, at least 24 hours in advance, of his or her right to have the hearing conducted in public.	54957	Ch. VI
--	-------	--------

PUBLIC SECURITY:

A body may meet with law enforcement or security personnel concerning the security of public buildings and services.	54957	Ch. VI
--	-------	--------

PENDING LITIGATION:

A body may meet in closed session to receive advice from its legal counsel concerning existing litigation, initiating litigation, or situations involving a significant exposure to litigation. The circumstances which constitute significant exposure to litigation are expressly defined in section 54956.9(b)(3).	54956.9	Ch. VI
---	---------	--------

LABOR NEGOTIATIONS:

A body may meet in closed session with its negotiator to consider labor negotiations with represented and unrepresented employees. Issues related to budgets and available funds may be considered in closed session, although final decisions concerning salaries of unrepresented employees must be made in public.	54957.6	Ch. VI
---	---------	--------

REAL PROPERTY NEGOTIATIONS:

A body may meet in closed session with its negotiator to consider price and terms of payment in connection with the purchase, sale, exchange or lease of real property.	54956.8	Ch. VI
---	---------	--------

REMEDIES AND SANCTIONS

CIVIL REMEDIES:

Individuals or the district attorney may file civil lawsuits for 54960; Ch. VII
injunctive, mandatory or declaratory relief, or to void action 54960.1
taken in violation of the Act.

Attorneys' fees are available to prevailing plaintiffs. 54960.5

CRIMINAL SANCTIONS:

The district attorney may seek misdemeanor penalties against 54959 Ch. VII
a member of a body who attends a meeting where action is
taken in violation of the Act, and where the member intended
to deprive the public of information which the member knew
or has reason to know the public was entitled to receive.

Return to Main Body

Brown Act

Govt. Code §§ 54950-54960.5

THE BASICS

Meetings of public bodies must be “open and public,” actions may not be secret, and action taken in violation of open meetings laws may be voided. (§§ 54953(a), 54953(c), 54960.1(d))

WHO'S COVERED

- **Local agencies**, including counties, cities, school and special districts. (§ 54951)
- **“Legislative bodies”** of each agency, the agency’s governing body, plus “covered boards,” that is, any board, commission, committee, task force or other advisory body created by the agency, whether permanent or temporary. (§ 54952(b))
- Any **standing committee** of a covered board, regardless of number of members. (§ 54952(b))
- **Governing bodies of non-profit corporations formed by a public agency** or which includes a member of a covered board and receives public money from that board. (§ 54952(c))

WHO'S NOT COVERED

- **Ad hoc advisory committees** consisting of less than a quorum of the covered board (§54952(b))
- Most other **non-profit corporations**
- All **other government agencies**. State governmental agencies are covered by the Bagley-Keene Open Meeting Act. (Govt. Code §§ 11120-11132)

WHAT'S COVERED

A “meeting” is any gathering of a majority of the members of a covered board to hear, discuss, or deliberate on matters within the agency’s or board’s jurisdiction. (§ 54952.2(a))

Note: No vote or action is required for the gathering to be a meeting, nor must the members meet face to face. (§ 54952.2)

WHAT MUST HAPPEN

Under the Brown Act an agency must:

- **post notice and an agenda** for any regular meeting, (§§ 54954(a), 54954.2(a)); mail notice at least three days before regular meetings to those who request it, (§ 54954.1); post notice of continued meetings, (§54955.1); deliver notice of special meetings at least one day in advance to those who request it, (§ 54956); and deliver notice of emergency meetings at least one hour in advance to those who request it. (§§54956, 54956.5)
- **notify the media** of special or emergency meetings if requested, (§§ 54956, 54956.5); allow media to remain in meetings cleared due to public disturbance. (§54957.9)
- **hold meetings in the jurisdiction** of the agency except in limited circumstances, (§§ 54954(b)-(e)), and in places accessible to all, with no fee. (§ 54961(a))
- **not require a “sign in”** for anyone. (§54953.3)
- **allow non-disruptive recording** and broadcast of meetings, (§54953.5(a)), and let the public inspect any recording made by the agency of its open meetings. (§54953.5(b)) The agency may destroy recordings it made after 30 days. (§54954.3(b))
- **allow the public to address** the covered board at regular or committee meetings on any item in the agency’s jurisdiction not addressed by the agency at an open earlier meeting. (§54954.3(a))
- **conduct only public votes**, with no secret ballots. (§54953(c))
- **treat documents as public** “without delay,” if distributed to all or a majority of members of a board before or at the meeting, unless they are also exempt under the Public Records Act. (§54957.5)

Local Rules

Many local jurisdictions, including San Francisco, Contra Costa County, and Oakland, have adopted local “Sunshine” ordinances that grant greater access and openness. Check for local rules. Other jurisdictions often have rules that *violate* the Brown Act. Challenge such rules or contact the agencies listed on this brochure.

WHAT IF . . .

- a council member is on a board of a non-profit corporation—is the board covered?
 - YES, if the council both appointed him or her to the board, and funds the corporation. (§54952(b),(c)(1))
- an agency delegates authority to another entity—is the entity covered?
 - YES, if it was created by the agency’s elected body. (§§ 54952(b),(c)(1))
- a council committee meeting has less than a quorum—is it required to meet openly?
 - YES, if it is a standing committee and has either a set meeting schedule or a continuing subject matter jurisdiction. (§ 54952(b))
- members use individual contacts to collectively decide an issue—is that a violation?
 - YES, information communicated to a quorum through a series of contacts, individual phone calls (“daisy chain”), or a third person (“spoke and wheel”) to evade the public is a “meeting” (§ 54952.2(b); 63 Ops.Atty.Gen. 820 (1980); Stockton Newspapers v. Stockton Redevelopment Agcy., 171 Cal.App.3d 95 (1985); Common Cause v. Stirling, 147 Cal.App.3d 518 (1983).
- agency members attend a conference called by someone else—is this covered?
 - NO, so long as they do not discuss specific business matters within their jurisdiction (§ 54952.2(c))
- a meeting is held by video/teleconference.
 - YES, if the public’s rights are protected. (§54953(b))
 - Every video/teleconference location must be accessible to the public, and at least a quorum of the members must participate from locations within the body’s jurisdiction. (§ 54953(b))

CLOSED MEETINGS

Closed meetings are the exception and permitted only if they meet defined purposes and follow special requirements (§§ 54953(a), 54954.5, 54962).

EVEN AT CLOSED MEETINGS...

Special public notice and agenda requirements apply (§§ 54954, 54954.2, 54954.5, 54957.7).

All actions taken and all votes in closed session must be publicly reported orally or in writing (§ 54957.1(b)), and copies of any contracts or settlements approved must be made available promptly (§ 54957.1(b),(c)).

CLOSED MEETINGS MAY BE HELD FOR: Personnel

Only to discuss the appointment, employment, performance evaluation, discipline, complaints about or dismissal of a specific employee or potential employee (§ 54957). The employee may request a public meeting on any charges or complaints.

But closed sessions are **NOT ALLOWED** for discussing:

- general employment
- independent contractors not functioning as employees
- salaries
- the performance of any elected official, or member of the board
- the local agency's available funds
- funding priorities or budget

Pending Litigation

Only if open discussion "would prejudice the position of the agency in the litigation." The litigation must be named on the posted agenda or announced in open session unless doing so would jeopardize the board's ability to service process on an unserved party or conclude existing settlement negotiations to its advantage. (§4956.9)

To qualify, the agency must:

- be a party to pending litigation (§ 54956.9(a))
- or expect, based on certain specified facts, to be sued (§§ 54956.9(b)(1),(b)(2))
- or expect to file suit itself (§ 54956.9(c))

Labor Negotiations

Only to instruct the agency's identified negotiator on compensation issues (§ 54957.6). (Note: school districts are covered by the Rodda Act, Govt. Code §§ 3540-3549.3.)

Property Negotiations

Only to discuss, with an agency's identified bargaining agent, price or payment terms. The parcel, negotiators and the prospective seller or purchaser must be identified on the agenda. (§ 54956.8) Final price and payment terms must be disclosed when the actual lease or contract is discussed for approval. (§ 54957.1(a))

Others

License applications for people with criminal records (§54956.7); threats to public services or facilities; (§54957) insurance pooling (§54956.95).

WHAT TO DO IF:

A MEETING IS CLOSED THAT SHOULD BE OPEN

- Refuse to leave, and use this Guide to check the law, to protest, and to enforce all notice requirements.
- Leave only if ordered by law enforcement.
- Call your editor or lawyer at once.

AN ILLEGAL CLOSED MEETING HAS BEEN HELD

- Ask participants what happened, and get reports of actions taken and copies of contracts approved.
- Call FAP, SPJ or CFAC (phone numbers are on the cover of this Pocket Guide).
- Write a story or letter to the editor about it.
- Contact the District Attorney under § 4959, or take legal action under § 54960(a) against violations or a "gag rule" imposed on a body's members.
- A court may: (1) force the agency to make and preserve tapes of closed sessions (§ 54960(b)); (2) declare actions taken null and void (§ 54960.1(d)); (3) award costs and attorneys fees (§ 54960.5).

A POCKET GUIDE TO OPEN MEETING LAWS IN CALIFORNIA: THE BROWN ACT

A SERVICE OF:
THE FIRST AMENDMENT PROJECT
SOCIETY OF PROFESSIONAL
JOURNALISTS (Nor. Cal.)

HOW TO USE THIS GUIDE

This pocket guide is intended to be a quick reference and provide general information to journalists and citizens. It addresses some common public meetings problems, but does not substitute for research or consultation with a lawyer on detailed questions. This guide current as of December 3, 2003.

FOR MORE INFORMATION OR HELP:

FIRST AMENDMENT PROJECT.....510/208-7744
www.thefirstamendment.org

Society of Professional Journalists,
NORTHERN CALIFORNIA CHAPTER.....415/338-7434
www.spj.org/norcal

California First Amendment Coalition.....916/974-8888
www.cfac.org

*Funding provided by the Sigma Delta Chi Foundation
of the Society of Professional Journalists*